TOWN OF YORKTOWN PLANNING BOARD

Albert. A. Capellini Community and Cultural Center, 1974 Commerce Street, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, Phone: (914) 962-6565, Fax: (914) 962-3986

PUBLIC MEETING AGENDA YORKTOWN TOWN HALL BOARD ROOM

363 Underhill Avenue, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

April 11, 2022 7:00 PM

1. Correspondence

2. Meeting Minutes - March 28, 2022

REGULAR SESSION

3. Village Traditions

Request for One-Year Time Extension Location: 15.16-1-32; 1821 East Main Street Contact: Timothy Mallon, property owner Description: Approved site plan by Planning Board Resolutions #18-05 dated May 21, 2018 and #2108 dated May 10, 2021.

WORK SESSION

4. Grishaj Major Subdivision Discussion Subdivision

Location: 16.17-2-77; 3319 Stony Street *Contact:* Site Design Consultants *Description:* Proposed 10 lot subdivision on 8 acres in the R1-20 zone. Plan proposes to connect to High Point Drive and South Shelley Street.

5. Dorchester Glen Subdivision

Discussion Subdivision

Location: 15.20-3-6; 1643 Maxwell Drive *Contact:* Site Design Consultants *Description:* Proposed 4 lot subdivision on 24.26 acres in the R1-20 zone.

6. Par 3 Golf Course

Discussion Tree Mitigation Plan

Location: 16.07-1-38; 795 Route 6 Contact: James Martorano Jr., Parks & Recreation Superintendent Description: Proposed Par 3 golf course and clubhouse with restaurant on Town owned Parkland.

7. Foothill Street Solar

Discussion Site Plan & Special Permit

Location: 15.07-1-5; 3849 Foothill Street *Contact:* Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses, Inc. *Description:* Proposed installation of a 1.875 MW ground mounted solar panel system and Tier 2 battery energy storage system along with associated access road, electric utility upgrades, and perimeter fencing.

8. Old Hill Farm Solar Farm

Discussion Site Plan & Special Use Permit Location: 16.08-1-4 & 17; 571 East Main Street, Jefferson Valley Contact: Hillside Solar, LLC Description: Proposed 3.75 MW ground mounted solar panels disturbing 15 acres on a 19.4 acre property in the R1-20 zone.

9. Building Inspector Referral Solar Application for 2641 Deer Street

Location: 26.18-1-31; 2641 Deer Street *Contact:* Michael Miele *Description:* Proposed 18.5 kW ground mount solar adjacent to home on 1.26 acre property in the R1-40 zone.

10. Town Board Referral EV Charging Stations

Contact: Cuddy & Feder, LLP

Description: Proposed amendments to Chapter 300 to add a definition for EV Charging Stations and make the electronic signage on them exempt from the sign ordinance.

11. Zoning Board Referral ZBA #22/22 Clifford

Location: 48.07-2-28; 1625 Central Street *Contact:* Michael Grace, Esq. *Description:* To allow the subdivision of an existing single-family lot into two non-conforming lots; one fronting on Central Street and one front on Summit Street.

12. Zoning Board Referral

ZBA #23/22 Wallack Family Limited Partners

Location: 36.13-1-4; 1549 Jacob Road *Contact:* Michael Grace, Esq. *Description:* To allow the construction of an accessory barn structure with a building height of 30 ft – 2 in, where 15 ft is the maximum allowed in the R1-40 zone.

13. Discussion on Traffic Mitigation

Last revised: April 7, 2022

Correspondence



Ecogy Energy 315 Flatbush Ave, Suite 393 Brooklyn, NY 11217

February 22, 2022

<u>RE: Proposed amendment to Chapter 300, Section Chapter 300-81.4 of the Code of the</u> <u>Town of Yorktown entitled "Zoning" regarding Solar Energy</u>

Dear Town of Yorktown,

Ecogy Energy respectfully submits these comments in response to the proposed local law to amend Chapter 300-81.4 entitled "Solar Power Generation Systems and Facilities."

Ecogy Energy, based in Brooklyn, NY and founded in 2010, is an experienced developer, financier, and owner-operator of distributed generation projects across the U.S. and Caribbean. Ecogy believes that with sound planning, proper development and fair incentives for these types of projects, the State, its residents, and the clean energy industry as a whole will ultimately be more successful. By focusing on solar projects that are best suited and aligned with the Town of Yorktown's values, the Town of Yorktown can preserve precious and limited natural resources while directing the benefits of clean renewable energy to local small businesses, property owners, nonprofits and other organizations that need them most. These benefits, including new revenue streams and discounted electricity (through community solar), will in turn allow such organizations to continue their operations serving the Town of Yorktown, creating jobs, expanding municipal tax bases and stimulating the local economy.

<u>Ecogy respectfully submits the following comments for the Town of Yorktown to consider</u> when reviewing this proposed amendment:

Section 300-81.4(F)(3)(a) and 300-81.4(F)(3)(b) of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby placed with the following language:

"Large-scale solar energy systems shall adhere to the setback requirements of the underlying zoning district, except that the Planning Board may impose greater setbacks if it determines that the minimum setbacks do not provide adequate protection against identified negative impacts. In residential districts the minimum setbacks shall be complied with except that no setback shall be less than 100 feet from any property boundary. The height of ground-mounted systems shall be limited to 10 feet in residential zones and 20 feet in all other zones. Roof-mounted systems shall be limited to the height requirements of the underlying zone except that panels installed on flat roofs must be installed so that they are not visible or suitably screened."

The setback increase from a minimum of 50' to 100' for "...any property boundary"

718-304-0945



disproportionately affects landowners with multiple parcels and larger parcels as a 100' setback is not always needed to properly screen solar systems from view especially if they border the same parcel owner or if they border a completely forested county property for example.

The change in height requirement to 10' in residential zones compared to a previous 15' height limit is significantly worrisome as a ground mount with two-panel vertical orientation with some slope may reach higher than 10' on the top end. This is with standard tilt and building code requirements that require the panels being raised above the ground a certain height. This includes any type of canopy project as they are required to be 13' 6" at their minimum due to fire access underneath. Ecogy strongly recommends maintaining the 15' height requirement and not adjusting it downward as it would limit any type of system including residential projects or any type of carport and ground-mount project. The Town of Yorktown should greater encourage better sited projects including canopy projects and therefore should have discretion of the height requirements should a properly-sited project be proposed.



Figure 1: This shows how a project built on a slope could be higher than 10' as proposed in the amendment even with a standard 2-panel vertical orientation.

Section 300-81.4(F)(3) of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby amended to add the new following subsection (i):

"The owner, operator or manager of any large scale solar power generation system shall be required to conduct annual inspections of the site's approved landscaping, screening, buffering and any other required vegewtative plantings or structures required under the approval. The inspection shall ascertain the health, effectiveness, condition and viability of such landscaping, screening, buffering and any other required vegetative plantings or structures. The findings of each annual inspection shall be reported to the Town Engineer as a written report with photographs where necessary. Any dead or diseased vegetative material or any other deficiencies shall be promptly replaced or repaired by the site owner, operator or manager. If such diseased dead or deficient material is not promptly replaced or repaired to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer, the Town Engineer shall exercise enforcement action pursuant to Section 300-193 "Screening, drainage facilities and buffer strips."

718-304-0945



While we understand the intention of the proposed new subsection, Ecogy recommends changing the language so as not to require owner-operators to guarantee plantings for 25-years. Climate change has been causing erratic climate conditions that have caused wind storms that have wreaked havoc and increased the difficulty of growing certain plants. In one of Ecogy's projects we have gone above and beyond the screening requirements and are proposing to plant 100+ bushes/shrubs. This language, as proposed, would require an annual inspection and a completion of a report on every single individual planting and penalties if one shrub died or was diseased. This creates significant default risks and significant ongoing operations and maintenance costs which will only decrease the value to the property owner. This will incentivize developers to only focus on donating to the Tree Fund as that will not require ongoing operations and maintenance compared to doing the "right thing" and actually planting on-site as that will require thousands of dollars more in expense and ultimately changing the risk appetite of the project as the project could incur large fines and potentially default if a wind or ice storm hit the property during the middle of winter and new planting couldn't be completed until the following year.

Ecogy recommends that the annual inspection report frequency be changed to 3 or 5 year intervals to reduce project costs and a buffer for the plantings to get back into good health as planting them at certain times of year will greatly affect their health and understanding if they are getting back to good health will also take more time than just one year.

Section 300-81.4(G)(1) of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby placed with the following language:

"All applications for a solar farm shall be accompanied by a decommissioning plan to be implemented upon abandonment, or cessation of activity, or in conjunction with removal of the facility, prior to issuance of a building permit. The Planning Board shall require the applicant to file a decommissioning bond prior to the issuance of any permits."

This section states, "the Planning Board shall require the applicant to file a decommissioning bond prior to the issuance of any permits." Smaller developers may not be able to obtain a bond, therefore the amendment should allow for a security cash deposit as an alternative option unless it has already been posted with the property owner directly. In our experience we have seen that bonds are essentially nonexistent for smaller systems (below 250 kW). An example of this issue with surety bonds can be seen for one of Ecogy's Westchester projects where we had to post the total value of a decommissioning bond (\$25,000), which is currently being held by the bond company. However, on top of the \$25,000 decommissioning bond, Ecogy is also required to pay over a thousand dollars a year to renew the bond certification document annually over the 25-years. In this real example in a project near Yorktown, requiring developers to place the assurance in a bond or surety, hurts smaller solar developers and thus we strongly recommend requiring a security cash deposit instead. Almost all of the value flows to the bond-holder in the proposed language as compared to if a cash deposit was simply placed to the Town directly. Additionally, with this language there could be potentially a doubling of decommissioning

718-304-0945



assurance - in that it is standard (at least for Ecogy) to put up a cash deposit to ensure removal directly with the Property Owner so with this code, Ecogy would have to put up both assurance to the Property Owner and to the Town - thereby increasing project costs and hindering development.

This proposal of a cash deposit is a financial mechanism proposed through NYSERDA's Guidebook for Municipalities on Decommissioning Solar Panel Systems¹ and accepted by The Town of Ossining in their solar code pasted below:

- (12) Security.
 - (a) A cash deposit, bond or other form of security in an amount and form acceptable to the Town Attorney and Town Engineer shall be submitted to the Town, and shall be in an amount sufficient to ensure the good-faith performance of the terms and conditions of the permit issued pursuant hereto, and shall also provide for the removal of the solar energy system and restoration of the lot subsequent to removal. The amount of the cash deposit, bond or other security shall be 125% of the cost of removal of the solar energy system and restoration of the property with an escalator of 2% annually for the life of the solar energy system. The decommissioning amount shall be reduced by the amount of the estimated salvage value of the solar energy system.

Section 300-81.4 of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is amended to add the following new section (I):

"Payments In Lieu of Taxes. To the extent of any real property with a Solar Energy System authorized hereunder is exempt from taxation to the extent of any increase in the assessed value thereof by reason of the inclusion of such solar energy system under NY real property tax law 487, the property owner shall be required to enter a contract with the Town for payments in Lieu of taxes (PILOT) as set forth in NY RPTL 4879. The amount of such PILOT shall be set by the Town Board, upon recommendation of the Town Assessor. Said recommendation shall be based upon recommendation of the Town Assessor. Said recommendation shall be based upon industry-recognized standards (e.g. the NYSERDA PILOT calculators). Under NY RPTL 487, Solar Energy Systems are not exempt from special district ad valorem taxes, which will be the responsibility of the property owner in addition to any PILOT payments."

Ecogy strongly recommends the Town of Yorktown look to the passed PILOT law from the Town of Ossining. In particular, NYSERDA and the Town of Ossining understood that smaller systems <1MW AC have much smaller economies of scale and therefore have very little ability to pay these large PILOT payments.

¹ https://www.nyserda.ny.gov/-/media/B985327F8C9C40F6AA67059E016CB2CE.ashx



- □ § 180-37 PILOT required.
- A. The owner of a property on which a solar energy system is located or installed (including any improvement, reconstruction, or replacement thereof), shall enter into a PILOT agreement with the Town consistent with the terms of this article, except for:
 - (1) Residential solar energy systems.
 - (2) Solar energy systems with a capacity less than one MW.
 - (3) Solar energy systems that do not seek or qualify for an exemption from real property taxes pursuant to Real Property Tax Law § 487(4).

In NYSERDA's Solar Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) guidebook² it explicitly gives an exception to smaller projects <1MW which has been approved and passed by many municipalities in the State of New York. Ecogy asks the Town of Yorktown to make this explicit to give certainty to financiers of this exemption. Project costs for smaller projects, particularly rooftop and canopy projects, are much higher on a per kW basis. Even a couple thousand dollars a year in new PILOT payments can be the difference between doing a project or not.

We thank you for careful consideration of these comments and appreciate your support of the clean energy industry in New York State. Should any questions or comments arise, please do not hesitate to reach out to myself or a member of the Ecogy team.

Warmest regards,

/s/

Brock Gibian Director of Development Ecogy Energy <u>www.ecogyenergy.com</u> 718-304-045

²https://www.nyserda.ny.gov/-/media/Files/Programs/NYSun/Solar-PILOT-Toolkit.pdf

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

ADVISORY BOARD ON ARCHITECTURE & COMMUNITY APPEARANCE (ABACA)

Albert A. Capellini	Community and Cultural Center, 1974 Commerce Street, Yorktown Heights, New York 1	0598, Phone (914) 962-6565
		RECEIVED
		PLANNING DEPARTMENT
To:	Steven Fraietta, Assistant Building Inspector	
From:	ABACA	APR 7 2022
Date:	April 7, 2022	
Subject:	McDonald's Restaurant, 3481 Crompond Road – Sign Application	TOWN OF YORKTOWN
	SBL: 36.05-1-10	

Drawings Reviewed:

Title:	Date:	Produced By:
Signs Ink - Sign application with associated materials by Signs Ink	3/29/22	Building Department Referral

The Advisory Board on Architecture and Community Appearance reviewed the above referenced subject at their meeting held on Tuesday, April 5, 2022. According to the memo from the Building Department dated 3/29/22, the proposal meets the quantitative requirements of the Zoning Ordinance. Brian O'Connor of Frohling Sign Company was present.

The proposal is to replace the existing freestanding sign with a new Next Gen 151 road sign with 3 feature panels as shown in the renderings submitted and attached.

Based on the renderings received and attached, the Board has no objection to a building permit being issued for this location.

Christopher Jaormina

Christopher Taormina, RA Chairman

/nc Attachments cc: Applicant Planning Department Christopher Taormina, RA Chairman

Matthew Slater Town Supervisor

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

ADVISORY BOARD ON ARCHITECTURE & COMMUNITY APPEARANCE (ABACA)

Albert A. Capellini Community and Cultural Center, 1974 Commerce Street, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, Phone (914) 962-6565

ABACA Memo - McDonalds Restaurant, 3481 Crompond Road April 7, 2022 Page 2 of 3

Sign Details



LANDLORD SIGNATURE

DATE

Revised:

Christopher Taormina, RA Chairman Matthew Slater Town Supervisor

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

ADVISORY BOARD ON ARCHITECTURE & COMMUNITY APPEARANCE (ABACA)

Albert A. Capellini Community and Cultural Center, 1974 Commerce Street, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, Phone (914) 962-6565

ABACA Memo – McDonalds Restaurant, 3481 Crompond Road April 7, 2022 Page 3 of 3

Sign Details



Draft Minutes

Village Traditions

VILLAGE TRADITIONS, LLC.

P. O. Box 1054 Baldwin Place, NY 10505 RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT APR 1 2022 TOWN OF YORKTOWN

March 25, 2022

Yorktown Planning Board Yorktown Town Hall 363 Underhill Avenue Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

RE: Sec 15.16 Blk 1 Lot 32

Dear Yorktown Planning Board,

Village Traditions, LLC. requests an extension on the May 21, 2018 resolution approving the amended site plan, located at 1821 East Main Street, Mohegan Lake, NY 10598, Tax No. 15.16, BLK 1, Lot 32.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Regards,

Timothy Mallon timmallon19@gmail.com (845) 721-6520

Grishaj Subdivision

Site Design Consultants

Civil Engineers . Land Planners

March 29, 2022

Ms. Robyn Steinberg, AICP Town Planner - Town of Yorktown 1975 Commerce Street Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Re: Nikolla Grishaj Subdivision of 3319 Stoney Street Scofield Road and Stoney Street SBL 16.17-2-77

RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MAR 2 9 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

Dear Robyn:

We would request that this project be added to the April Planning Board agenda for the purpose of discussing the Planning Board's decision regarding the road connection issue for this subdivision.

We are not submitting any documents at this time. Please contact us if you have any questions. Thank you.

Yours Tr. Joseph C, Riina, P.E.

Cc: **Town Supervisor Building Department Engineering Department** Water Department Nikolla Grishaj Ed Lachterman

JCR / cm / sdc 21-18



251-F Underhill Avenue • Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 60 Walnut Grove Road • Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877 (203) 431-9504 Fax (914) 962-7386

(914) 962-4488







uantity*	Scientific Name	Common Name	Size
19	Acer rubrum	Red Maple	5' - 6'
24 5 22 21 28	Cornus sericea Lindera benzoin Ilex verticillata Vaccinium corymbosum Viburnum dentatum	Redosier dogwood Spicebush Winterberry holly Highbush blueberry Arrowwood	3' - 4' 3' - 4' 3' - 4' 4' - 5' 4' - 5'
150 100 100 50	Carex stricta Carex crinita Juncus effus <i>u</i> s Osmunda cinn <i>a</i> momea	Tussock sedge Fringed sedge Soft rush Cinnamon fern	2" plug 2" plug 2" plug quart
pounds II be held existing	Pinelands Riparian Buffer Mix o equivalent , but final locations will be det trees.	or ermined in the field following removal o	f invasive and dead plant materials

Soil erosion and sediment control fencing will be installed at the outer and down slope limits of the proposed wetland expansion. The location of the proposed mitigation will be cleared as necessary, but with an eye toward preserving any trees or shrubs adjacent to the work area; some may be removed and stockpiled for replanting after completion of grading. Where available, the upper one foot of topsoil will be stripped from the site and set aside from other site grading materials. The temporary storage area will be an upland site either removed from wetlands by 100 feet or separated from same by a soil erosion and sediment

control fence.

All excavations will be to finished grade elevations as indicated in the mitigation drawings. Per the above, topsoil will be stripped from the site and stockpiled for use in finishing grading. The stockpiled topsoil will be returned to the site to create a planting surface four to six inches deep for the wetland mitigation plantings as described above. Finished soils at the invert of the mitigation sites will be of landscape quality.

The finished surfaces of the planting area will be smooth within specified tolerances in uniform levels or slopes between points where elevations are indicated or between such points and existing grades. The accepted grading tolerance will be a smooth and even surface, free of voids, and within 0.25 feet of the specified elevation. Leaving the surface rough, crating mounds and kettles for a variable microtopography can be beneficial. During the course of earthwork, inspections will be schedule at a frequency to be determined by the engineer/environmental consultant but no less than weekly. Some changes to the grades may be appropriate to establish flow paths and preserve trees. These determinations will be made by the wetland specialist supervising the grading.

Planting Details

Plant choices for the wetland expansion were made according to existing site conditions and locally common species.

All planting will proceed by hand. Materials will be brought to the site in good condition (see below) and then placed in central drop locations. The materials will then be hand-carried to their planting locations and in turn, planted by hand. Only rounded, shallow planting shovels will be used in this effort.

Criteria for selecting plant material will include (1) the plant's ability to withstand the expected light and saturation conditions; (2) its demonstrated survival on this site and other nearby sites; (3) the plant must be native and non-invasive; and (4) whether the plant material is available at nurseries in the same region as the site. See Table 1 for complete plant species list. Seed mix was chosen based on the species' ability to survive in moist areas adjacent to the road with some sun.

Planting will be done in spring or early summer (between April 1 and July 1). Shrubs may also be planted in the late summer to early fall (September 1 to October 30). In all cases, a hole will be dug twice as deep as the root ball. The only shovels allowed are rounded, shallow spades. The hole will then be backfilled with a thin layer (two to four inches) of rich, organic topsoil, the plant placed inside, the hole backfield to the top and then gently tamped

Container-grown plant material delivered to the job site will be inspected to assure moist soil/root masses. Any dry and light weight plants will not be accepted. If not planted immediately the container will be stored out of the sun and wind and kept moist (i.e., a means of watering will be provided and watering will occur daily). When removed from the containers, the plants will be the size of the specified container. If in leaf, the plants will appear healthy with no spots, leaf damage, discoloration, insects or fungus. If not in leaf, the buds will be firm and free of damage, discoloration, insects or fungus. Containers will be a minimum of quart size for shrubs and gallon size for trees.

Plants not having an abundance of well developed terminal buds on the leaders and branches will be rejected. The stems and branches of all plants will be turgid and the cambium healthy or the plants rejected.

Seeding within wetland areas should not be completed when there is more than two inches of standing water, or in areas that are likely to be flooded. Seeds should be broadcast by hand or knapsack seeder using the proper seeding rate (13 pounds per acre), and carefully proportioning seed for the entire area. Cover with a light layer of straw mulch following seeding.

Plan Notes

- achieve desired flow paths is acceptable.
- impacts to roots of trees to be saved.
- on the plan and the table above.

Monitoring and Maintenance

At least one pre-construction meeting will occur between the chosen grading and/or planting contractor/subcontractor and the site environmental systems planner prior to beginning construction on site. The construction monitor will have experience in wetland construction and a Bachelor of Science degree in Natural and/or Physical Resources.

Monitoring and maintenance efforts for the mitigation plantings will take place over a five year period following construction. This will include bi-weekly visits for the first growing season, and then twice a year for the next two years, with additional inspections as required depending on conditions. The applicant's environmental monitor will conduct a survey of the site and site conditions will be noted and adjusted as necessary. An annual report will be provided to the Town of Yorktown at the end of the growing season for each of the three years. These reports will include the following information:

- are two plots identified on the plan view planting plan.
- each growing season.

Plantings will meet or exceed and 85 percent survival rate by the end of the second growing season. If this goal is not met, the site will be re-evaluated, and re-grading and/or replanting will be completed as necessary. Invasive species (i.e., Lythrum salicaria and Phragmites australis) will not constitute more than 10 percent of the vegetative community. If this goal is exceeded, measures will be taken to eradicate the invasive species.

It is proposed to excavate the mitigation area in order to establish pools and flow paths as shown on the grading plan. These areas will be accessed for purposes of the wetland mitigation construction from the proposed road. If suitable, topsoil removed from excavated area will be used within the new wetlands as replacement of organic material for surface

Prior to commencement of site work, silt fence is to be placed at limit of disturbance. Regrade area and spread topsoil four to six inches deep using existing stockpiles. Final grading is to be generally completed as shown on this plan. Some field adjustment to Trees to remain will be identified prior to the commencement of site grading. These trees

will be flagged in the field prior to the commencement of any clearing or excavation. Leave smaller existing trees in assumed area of disturbance to the extent practicable. Field adjustments to the grading plan may be necessary in order to ensure minimal Hay and seed area of wetland expansion with Pinelands Riparian Buffer Mix or equivalent. Companion seed with annual ryegrass as per grower's recommendations. Trees and shrubs will be planted within the proposed wetland creation area as specified

All plant species, along with their estimated relative frequency and percent cover, shall be identified by using plots measuring 10 feet by 10 feet, with at least one representative plot located in each of the habitat types within the mitigation site. For this proposal, there Vegetation cover maps, at a scale of one inch equals 100 or larger, shall be prepared for

Photographs showing all representative areas of the mitigation site shall be taken at least once each year during the period between 1 June and 15 August. Surface water and groundwater elevations in representative areas of the mitigation site shall be recorded twice a month during April through September of each year. The location of the monitoring wells are shown on the plan view grading plan









RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MAR 7 - 2022

Nancy Calicchia

From: Sent:	TOWN OF YORKTOWN Shane Davanzo <sldavanzo@gmail.com> Monday, March 7, 2022 8:43 PM</sldavanzo@gmail.com>
To:	Planning Department
Subject:	RE: Grishaj Major subdivision to connect High Point Drive to South Shelley Street

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

My name is Shane Davanzo. I live on Judy Road, Mohegan Lake. As a resident of Yorktown, I object to the extension of South Shelley Street to High Point Drive as proposed in the plans for the Grishaj subdivision. Please consider this objection when making your decision on the extension of South Shelley Street.

Nancy Calicchia

	1
	Maureen Milazzo <maureen.milazzo@gmail.com< th=""></maureen.milazzo@gmail.com<>
ł	Tuesday, March 8, 2022 3:47 PM
ž	Planning Department; Bob Milazzo
	RE: Grishaj Major subdivision to connect High Point Drive
	1 1 1

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

My name is Maureen Milazzo and I live at 1315 Lydia Court In Mohegan Lake. As a resident of Yorktown, I object to the extension of South Shelley Street to High Point Drive as proposed in the plans for the Grishaj subdivision. Please consider this objection when making your decision on the extension of South Shelley Street.

> RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT

to South Shelley Street

MAR 9 - 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

Thanks much. Maureen Milazzo

Sent from my iPhone

Nancy Calicchia

From:	Robert Milazzo <ramilazzo@icloud.com></ramilazzo@icloud.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, March 8, 2022 4:33 PM
То:	Planning Department
Subject:	Fwd: Grishaj Major subdivision to connect High Point Drive to South Shelley Street

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

My name is Robert Milazzo and I live at 1315 Lydia Court In Mohegan Lake. As a resident of Yorktown, I object to the extension of South Shelley Street to High Point Drive as proposed in the plans for the Grishaj subdivision. Please consider this objection when making your decision on the extension of South Shelley Street.

Robert A. Milazzo

RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MAR 9 - 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

From: Sent:	Rob Buchanan <holeymanrob@gmail.com> Sunday. March 13, 2022 11:28 AM</holeymanrob@gmail.com>	TOWN OF YORKTOW
To: Subject:	Planning Department Grishaj Major Subdivision to connect High Point Drive	to South Shelley Street

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

My name is Robert Buchanan. I live on South Shelley Street, Mohegan Lake. As a resident of Yorktown, I object to the extension of South Shelley Street to High Point Drive as proposed in the plans for the Grishaj subdivision. Please consider this objection when making your decision on the extension of South Shelley Street.

As South Shelley Street is now a dead end and so has no through traffic, the proposed extension of South Shelley Street would bring a significant and noticeable increase in traffic not only from the planned residences but from delivery and service vehicles going into the subdivision. The extension of South Shelley to an extension of High Point Drive would also increase traffic on South Shelley Street as it would become another route to get to Spruce Street/Cedar Lane from Judy Road.

Respectfully yours, **Robert Buchanan**

Nancy Calicchia

RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MAR 1 4 2022

IN

RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT MAR 1 4 2022

Nancy Calicchia

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

From:	Stacy Scarduzio <staceyscarduzio@gmail.com></staceyscarduzio@gmail.com>
Sent:	Sunday, March 13, 2022 12:25 PM
To:	Planning Department
Subject:	Grishaj Major subdivision to connect High Point to South Shelley Street

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello

Our names are Stacy Scarduzio and Thomas Peters. We reside at 1295 Judy Road, Mohegan Lake. We are one of the homes located on the corner of Judy Road and South Shelley Street. We are one of many families that have children under the age of 11, who all play together outside, in each other's yards. As residents of Yorktown, we object to the extension of South Shelley Street to High Point Drive that is proposed in the plans for the Grishaj subdivision. Having this connection would cause more traffic in our quiet neighborhood, and cause more harm than good.

Please consider this objection when making your decision on the extension of South Shelley Street.

Thank you,

Stacy Scarduzio & Thomas Peters 1295 Judy Rd, Mohegan Lake, NY 10547

		PLANNING DEPARTMENT
Nancy Calicchia		MAR 1 5 2022
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Tim Sullivan <timsullivan206@gmail.com> Monday, March 14, 2022 4:38 PM Planning Department Grishaj Major subdivision to connect High Point D</timsullivan206@gmail.com>	TOWN OF YORKTOWN Drive to South Shelley Street

RECEIVED

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello. My name is Tim Sullivan and I live at 1313 Lydia Court, Mohegan Lake. As a resident of Yorktown, I object to the extension of South Shelley Street to High Point Drive as proposed in the plans for the Grishaj subdivision. Several of my neighbors and I have spoken out about this at several Planning Board meetings. Currently, we have a quiet street where people regularly walk and children ride their bikes and skateboards or play ball. This would be taken away by the through street created by extending South Shelley and would turn this quiet street into a busy thoroughfare and make it more dangerous for the many residents, many of whom have small children. Our quality of life will be significantly altered will our property values.

If access to the development by emergency vehicles is a concern, then why not have a smaller road directly off of Stony Street? The argument that this would increase traffic on Stony Street doesn't make sense because Stony Street is the only outside access (directly or indirectly) to this neighborhood anyway.

Please consider this objection when making your decision on the extension of South Shelley Street.

A Contemporary Look at Cul-de-Sacs and Dead End Streets

by Carol Thomas, FAICP

"Live on a quiet street. No through traffic!" These words, commonly associated with the Garden City movement, have been used to promote residential areas since Radburn, New Jersey, was developed in the late 1920s. These short streets are generally between 400 to 1,000 feet long, have a turn around at the end, and have limited access, which can provide privacy and quietness for residents' pleasure. On the other hand, safety officials have long objected to them, and they have sought design and construction standards to limit such "dead end" streets.

Public works and safety officials' efforts to prohibit or limit the creation of dead end streets are reinforced by planners and sociologists who often object to the isolation and lack of connectivity, as well as the increased costs and difficulties of maintaining these streets. The isolation, some say, may contribute to obesity, because the lack of connection forces people to drive to nearby destinations.

PAS Memo covered this topic in 1985 ("Standards for Dead-End Streets") and in 1998 ("The Loop Lane: A Cul-de-Sac Alternative"). The topic has recently resurfaced, with surprising interest and complexity. As available buildable land becomes rarer, the cul-de-sac has again become a popular design element to maximize land development. Because of the many issues surrounding them, planners and safety officials are rethinking design and construction standards and policies. This *PAS Memo* examines the advantages and disadvantages of the dead end street, looking at current practice and, where such streets are allowed, appropriate standards to be followed.

Two related topics are important to note. After World War II, as narrow business and industrial strips were developed in zoned highway areas, non-residential culde-sacs began to appear in industrial parks. These cul-de-sacs are not part of this discussion. Also, this article does not include engineering issues, and, while cul-desacs are gaining in popularity elsewhere, the focus here is on the U.S. experience.

Definitions

A dead end street has access from only one end. The word "cul-de-sac" means "the bottom of a sack." A cul-de-sac street is a dead end street with some type of turnaround area at the closed end. In this article, I use "cul-de-sac" interchangeably with "dead end street" to discuss policy, design, and maintenance implications of streets with only one means of access.

Advantages of Cul-de-sacs

Developers and residents see several advantages of cul-de-sacs.

Reduced Vehicle Trips and Vehicle Speed

Typically there are fewer vehicle trips along a dead end street. Because there are limited destination points, vehicles may travel more slowly. Using these

assumptions, there currently is a proposal in Massachusetts to create dead ends at each side of the border of two municipalities through which a street passes, to prevent it from becoming a bypass during construction work on an adjacent highway.

Sense of Community

Because of the perception of fewer vehicle trips than on a conventional street, residents may use the street as a gathering place, and play space may even be allowed in the turnaround or in the street itself. This assumption supports the perception that these streets provide a safer environment. (For more information on this discussion, see Lucy and Phillips, 2006, Chapter 10.)

Increased Lot Yield and Home Values

Because one or more lots may be placed in the corner of a subdivision on parcels that otherwise might not have adequate frontage, development projects may get higher lot yields with cul-de-sacs. Also, real estate agents report a premium selling price of up to five percent for a house on a cul-de-sac.

Snow Maintenance

Snow may be stored and runoff handled in the center of the turn round.

Design



Figure 1: Acceptable. Short, private, and neighborly.

The street design may be used to encourage cluster development. Dead end streets are also common where there are constraints to through streets, such as significant wetlands, grade changes, or abutting limited access highways.

Disadvantages of Cul-de-sacs

While they have perceived benefits, cul-de-sacs have real and perceived disadvantages that may outweigh the advantages.

Lack of Road Network

Because they do not connect to other roadways and do not allow connectivity of the street or neighborhood, cul-de-sacs do not support street networks. In some parts of the country, walking paths and sidewalks link individual neighborhoods, but the roadways in these cul-de-sac neighborhoods still require driving out to peripheral roadways and then beginning the trip.

Impact of Length on Traffic Speed

When cul-de-sacs are relatively long (generally between 500 to 1,000 feet), they reduce their possible advantages because they may encourage increased traffic speeds and mid-block turning to reverse direction (mentioned anecdotally by police officials).

Children

School buses rarely travel down dead end streets. Students often have to wait on more heavily traveled through streets.

Safety

While cul-de-sac streets are often lauded for their safety, there can be many safety issues.

- An accident, stalled car or truck, fallen tree, snow pile, construction, flooding, or other obstruction can block access to interior lots, either at the open end of a dead-end street or along the cul-de-sac "spine." Fire equipment, trucks, and public works and other maintenance and service vehicles may have difficulty and spend significant time maneuvering within dead end street environments, and may have special problems at the turn around.
- Hydrants may be located too far to provide adequate water in the event of an emergency. Distance from a hydrant may adversely affect homeowner insurance rates.
- Because there are fewer people than on a through street to observe activities, crime may be a problem.
- Emergency and maintenance personnel are likely to be limited in low-density areas, where cul-de-sacs are often prevalent. Because maintenance and delivery vehicles must double back, service costs are higher per unit along a dead-end street. In addition to the time loss, there is also additional fuel consumption and related air pollution.

Affordability of Homes

The cost of homes may be increased. As mentioned earlier, comparables show at least a five percent higher value than for the similar house type on a through street. This may have an adverse effect on affordability.

Snow Maintenance

Although snow can be stored and runoff handled in the center of the turnaround, snow plowing is a particular challenge on dead end streets. Because the streets are not continuous, the plows must back up or turn around, spending an excessive amount of time (and fuel) to clean just one low-volume roadway. Frequently, because vehicles cannot drive through, the street is not entirely cleared, or not cleared at all.

Ownership and Maintenance

If the street is extended and the turnaround abandoned there may be legal problems with title to the excess land and with the legal requirement for frontage. Responsibility for maintenance of the land in the cul-de-sac may be undetermined.

Water Service

Historically public water systems were located on main or through streets, and stubbed to the cul-de-sac homes. Today water delivery systems have generally become more sophisticated. It is essential that the design and construction of these systems provide capacity and pressure to assure adequate delivery of water, and to prevent sedimentation and other degradation of the infrastructure. Some municipalities require sprinkler systems in residences if the street is longer than standard, although this is largely ineffective where there is no on-site water supply.

Isolation



Figure 2: Unacceptable. Cul-de-sac off cul-de-sac extends the length.

While a cul-de-sac neighborhood can provide the sense of a close-knit community, the limited interaction may result in more than physical isolation. The long-term impacts from this will likely continue to be studied over the coming decades.

Street Standards

Keeping these advantages and disadvantages in mind, planners and engineers generally have agreed that, if allowed, cul-de-sacs should be used with caution.

As discussed, financial, safety, and aesthetic considerations all contribute to determining an appropriate length for dead-end streets. In urban areas a reasonable maximum length for dead-end streets is 500 feet, unless additional emergency access is provided. If topographic conditions are unusual, such as in hilly terrain or along canals in water-oriented communities, longer lengths may be considered. In these circumstances, greater lengths or extensions should be allowed only when services can be provided.

Over the years other standards for dead-end streets have been developed, addressing cul-de-sac diameter, "T" or hammerhead design, maximum grade, pavement width, right-of-way, median strips, and number of residential units to be served, for example.

In 1939, the American Society of Civil Engineers Committee of the City Planning Division on Land Subdivision, chaired by pioneer planning consultant Harland Bartholomew, recommended that:

- dead-end streets not exceed 300 feet in length;
- they be at least 40 feet wide; and
- they terminate in a circular right-of-way with a minimum diameter of 70 feet unless "the Planning Commission approves an equally safe and convenient form of paved space instead of the required turning circle."

These early standards have been augmented and revised over time. Below are the standards presented in the 1985 *PAS Memo* together with recommended practice based on responses to a call for information earlier this year.

	1985 Standards	2007 Standards
Length (the linear distance from the entrance to furthest point, exclusive of interior branch streets ¹	500 feet maximum ² No minimum	500 feet maximum ² 250 feet minimum
Right-of-way width	50 feet	Varies, depending on requirements for divided street, center landscaped strip, and run-off provisions
Cul-de-sac diameter	90 feet	90 to 120 feet
Maximum grade	5%	5%
Pavement width	20 feet	24 feet
Trips per day	Not addressed	250 (based on 25 dwelling units with an average of 10 trips per day)
Number of residential units to be served	Varies	25 single-family units; number of multifamily units varies with design
Turnaround design	Circle	Circle, dog leg, or "T" (circle preferred)
Turnaround landscaping	None required	Previous surface required
Parking on turnaround	Not addressed	Prohibited
Stormwater management areas	None required	Required where effective
Divided entrance	Not addressed	Required for safety
Signage	Not addressed	Required for safety and to avoid confusion
Maintenance	Not addressed	Agreement required; includes land in turnaround
Parking	Not addressed	Prohibited on the roadway unless the right-of-way or paved area is increased
Connections	Not addressed	Required to bikeways and walkways where they exist; breakaway gates and other vehicles

	1985 Standards	2007 Standards
Dead-end street off dead- end street	Not addressed	Prohibited
Hydrants	Not addressed	Located at end of water line or at the low point
Legal issues	Not addressed	Municipal agreements and deed restrictions required for future extensions and disposition of excess land if there is an extension
View lines, pavement types, drainage	Not addressed	Subject to local design and construction standards
Street lighting	Not addressed	Required
Sidewalks	Not addressed	Desirable

Conclusion

While there are pluses and minuses to dead end streets, generally they should be avoided, or at best used with caution. When they are used, there are certain principles that must be followed:

- Limit the length of a dead-end street to no longer than 500 feet, especially on slopes.
- If the length exceeds the recommended maximum, provide emergency access by easements or other similar means.
- Diameter of the cul-de-sac should be 100 feet (size may vary if drainage is good or if the turnaround is more oval in shape).
- Grade should not exceed five percent.
- Interior of the circle should be landscaped.
- Pave the street for safety and ease of snow clearance.
- Consider looping the water supply system to ensure delivery.
- Do not branch a second dead-end street off of a cul-de-sac, in effect extending the dead-end street.

Author Information

Carol Thomas, FAICP, is a land use planner who practices in the northeast and in China. She is a former AICP president and former chair APA's Private Practice Division. For many years she was an adjunct professor at the University of Rhode Island and at Harvard University's Graduate School of Design. She received the 1996 APA Distinguished Service Award. Megan Diprete, AICP, assisted with the early research on current practice for this article, and Whitman Stephens provided the illustrations.

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. 1981. Design

Guide for Local Roads and Streets. Washington, D.C.: AASHTO.

American Health Association. 1948. *Planning the Neighborhood*. Chicago: Public Administration Services.

American Society of Civil Engineers. 1939. "Land Subdivision." *Manual of Engineering Practice — No. 16*. New York: Committee of the City Planning Division on Land Subdivision.

American Society of Planning Officials. 1975. *Model Subdivision Regulations, Text and Commentary*. Chicago: American Society of Planning Officials.

DiChiara, Joseph, and Lee Koppleman. 1978. *Planning Design Criteria*. New York: VanNostrand.

_____. 1978. Site Planning Standards. New York: McGraw-Hill.

_____. 1984. *Time-Saver Standards for Site Planning*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Efrati, Amir. June 2, 2005. "Homeowners Love Cul-de-Sacs; Planners Say They're Perils." *The Wall Street Journal*.

Handy, Susan. 2002. "Street Connectivity: You Can Get There from Here." *PAS Memo. Chicago*: American Planning Association. November.

Handy, Susan, Robert G. Paterson, and Kent Butler. 2003. *Planning for Street Connectivity: Getting from Here to There*. PAS Report 515. Chicago: American Planning Association.

Institute of Transportation Engineers. 1964. "Recommended Practices for Subdivision Streets." *Traffic Engineering*. Arlington, Va.: ITE. September.

Kostka, V. Joseph. 1957. *Neighborhood Planning*. Winnipeg: The Appraisal Institute of Canada.

Listokin, David, and Carole Walker. 1989. *The Subdivision and Site Plan Handbook*. New Brunswick, N.J.: Center for Urban Policy Research, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey.

Lucy, William H., and David L. Phillips. 2006 *Tomorrow's Cities, Tomorrow's Suburbs*. Chicago: American Planning Association.

Pelletier, Mike. 1998."The Loop Lane: A Cul-de-Sac Alternative." *PAS Memo*. Chicago: American Planning Association. May.

Notes

1. Branch streets are not generally allowed.

2. Length may be up to 1,000 feet in unusual circumstances, in which case an intermediate turnaround or a potential extension may be required. Length is generally measured from the right-of-way of the intersecting street to the outer edge of the turnaround. In some cases it is measured from the center line of the

intersecting street to the center of the turn around or the property line of a "T." There are examples of measurement from the access point to the furthest property line.

Copyright 2007. All Rights Reserved. PAS Memo (ISSN 2169-1908) is published by the American Planning Association, 205 N. Michigan Ave., Ste. 1200, Chicago, IL 60601.

.

Dorchester Glen Subdivision


Site Design Consultants

Civil Engineers . Land Planners

RECEIVED

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MAR 2 9 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

March 29, 2022

Ms. Robyn Steinberg, AICP Town Planner - Town of Yorktown **Commerce Street** Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Re: Dorchester Glen Subdivision Minor Subdivision Application 1643 Maxwell Drive

Dear Robyn:

John and Elaine Kincart, property owners, are proposing 4 new single-family residential lots on the above referenced address. The lots will be served by Town sewer and water, with stormwater management. Initially a Conventional Subdivision plan is proposed, with the possibility of a Flexibility Subdivision plan.

Enclosed please find the following items being submitted for distribution and discussion at the Planning Board Meeting.

- Application for Minor Subdivision;
- Short EAF;

cc:

- MS4 Application;

- Five sets of plans titled "Preliminary Subdivision Plan Prepared for Dorchester Glen," Sheets 1-2 of 2, dated 03-30-2022.

We are also submitting a digital copy of this submission. Please add this project to the agenda for the Planning Board Meeting of 4-11-2022. Please provide a fee schedule for this project. Please contact me if you have any questions. Thank you.

Yours Joseph C. Riina, P.E.

Town Supervisor Town Engineer Building Department Planning Department Water Department

JCR / dd / enc./ sdc 00-16



251-F Underhill Avenue • Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 60 Walnut Grove Road • Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877 (203) 431-9504

(914) 962-4488

Fax (914) 962-7386

orktown Commun	itv and Cultural Center, 1974. Commerce Street, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, Phone (914) 962-6565, Fax (914) 96
PRE	APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF A MINOR SUBDIVISION PLAT OR LIMINARY APPROVAL OF A MAJOR SUBDIVISION PLAT
	Date 03-29-2022
Name of I	Project: Dorchester Glen Subdivision
. Tax Map	Designation: Section 15.20 Block 3 Lot 6
. Zone: <u>R1</u>	-20 Acreage: 24.2644
. Total nun	aber of lots proposed: 4
Project po	reative (brief description of proposed development).
- toject na	matrie (one) description of proposed development);
e proposad	for dovelopment of 4 single family and down a All late to be the to be the to be
s proposed	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer.
s proposed ormwater	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of
s proposed ormwater exibility P	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan.
is proposed itormwater lexibility P C tact Pe Applic Attorn	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. erson - CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant ey Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect
is proposed itormwater lexibility P Ctate Pe Applic Applican	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. erson - CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant ey Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect t
is proposed tormwater exibility P Ctact Pe Applic Attorn Applican Name	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. erson - CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect John and Elaine Kincart
is proposed tormwater lexibility P Ctact Pe Applic Attorn Applican Name Firm	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. erson - CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect John and Elaine Kincart
is proposed Stormwater lexibility P Ctact Pe Applic Attorn Name Firm Address	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of an. erson - CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant Owner ey Banineer Architect Surveyor Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect John and Elaine Kincart 1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 044 204 2005
s proposed cormwater exibility P C tact Pe Applic Attorn Applican Name Firm Address Phone	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. erson - CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor Use the served by Town water and sewer. Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect 1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 914-384-3385
s proposed ormwater exibility P Catact Pe Applic Attorn Applican Name Firm Address Phone Fax Emeil	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. erson - CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect 1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 914-384-3385 ikincart@ontonline_net
s proposed ormwater exibility P Catact Pe Applic Attorn Applican Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. reson - CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant Owner Engineer Architect Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect John and Elaine Kincart 1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 914-384-3385 jkincart@optonline.net
s proposed ormwater exibility P Catact Pa Applic Applican Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Owner of	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. ant Owner ey Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor Used and Elaine Kincart 1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 914-384-3385 jkincart@optonline.net Record
proposed province of the second seco	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. an. troop of the convertional plan with possibility of lan. troop of CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant owner ey Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor tundescape Architect John and Elaine Kincart 1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 914-384-3385 ikincart@optonline.net kincart@optonline.net
s proposed ormwater exibility P Catact Pe Applic Applican Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Owner of Name Firm	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. tan. ey Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect John and Elaine Kincart 1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 914-384-3385 ikincart@optonline.net Record same as applicant
s proposed ormwater exibility P Catact Pe Applican Applican Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Owner of Name Firm Address	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. triant Owner Engineer Architect Surveyor Wetland Scientist Landscape Architect John and Elaine Kincart 1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 914-384-3385 jkincart@optonline.net Record same as applicant
s proposed ormwater exibility P Catact Pe Applic Attorn Applican Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Owner of Name Firm Address Phone	for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by Town water and sewer. management will be designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of lan. ant Powner CHOOSE ONLY ONE: ant Owner ey Baneer Architect Surveyor Bohn and Elaine Kincart 1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 914-384-3385 jkincart@optonline.net Record same as applicant

RECEIVED

н į

1.0

ſ

9. Attorney		
Name		
Firm		
Address		•
Phone		
Fax		
Email		
10. Engineer		
Name	Joseph C. Riina, P.E.	
Firm	Site Design Consultants	
Address	251-F Underhill Avenue, Yorktown Heights, New York 1059	98
Phone	914-962-4488	
Fax	914-962-7386	
Email	jriina@sitedesignconsultants.com	
Lic. No.	64431	
11. Surveyor		
11. Surveyor Name Firm	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC	
11. Surveyor Name Firm Address	<u>Eric Link, LS</u> Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541	
11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857	
11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857	
11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No.	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No.	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
 11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No. 12. Architect 	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
 11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No. 12. Architect Name 	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
 11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No. 12. Architect Name Firm 	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
 11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No. 12. Architect Name Firm Address 	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
 Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No. Architect Name Firm Address Phone 	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
 Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No. Architect Name Firm Address Phone Fax 	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
 11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No. 12. Architect Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email 	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	
 11. Surveyor Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No. 12. Architect Name Firm Address Phone Fax Email Lic. No. 	Eric Link, LS Link Land Surveyors, PC 21 Clark Place, Mahopac, New York 10541 845-628-5857 elink@landsurveyors.com	

	chust/ Specialist		
Name	Anthony Russo		
Firm	ECSI		
Address	35 Roosevelt Avenue, Middletown, New York 10940	4	
Phone	845-342-6540	~	
Ear			
Fax .	acsi@frontiornat.nat		*
Email		8	
14. Landscape	Architect		
Name		v	
Firm		8	
Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
Phone	· · · · · ·		
Fax			
тал <u>-</u>			
Email _			
Lic. No.			
18. Is this proje	ct within 500 feet of:		
The righ The bou	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any	Yes No	
The righ The bour state or The bour	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/	☐Yes ☑No ☐Yes ☑No	
The righ The bour state or The bour instituti An evisti	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/ ion is located?	□Yes ☑No □Yes ☑No □Yes ☑No □Yes ☑No	
The righ The bour state or The bour instituti An existi The bour	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/ ion is located? ng or proposed county drainage line? ndary of a farm located in an agricultural district?	□ Yes ☑ No	
The righ The bourstate or The bours instituti An existi The bour 19. Does the ent of land? Note: 1 20. This project	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/ ion is located? ng or proposed county drainage line? ndary of a farm located in an agricultural district? the development plan for this project propose the disturbance If project is phased, include all phases in determination.	☐ Yes ☑ No I Yes ☑ No	SI
The righ The bour state or The bour instituti An existi The bour 19. Does the ent of land? Note: 1 20. This project Wetland	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/ ion is located? ng or proposed county drainage line? ndary of a farm located in an agricultural district? tire development plan for this project propose the disturbance If project is phased, include all phases in determination. requires the following permits or approvals from the Town o Permit ter Permit	Yes Image: No f Yorktown: Image: No	SI
The righ The bourstate or The boursinstituti An existi The bours 19. Does the ent of land? Note: 1 20. This project Wetland Stormwa Tree Per	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/ ion is located? ng or proposed county drainage line? ndary of a farm located in an agricultural district? the development plan for this project propose the disturbance If project is phased, include all phases in determination.	☐ Yes ☑ No e of more than 5,000 Yes □ No f Yorktown: ☐ Yes	S
The righ The bourstate or The bourstate or The bours An existi The bours 19. Does the ent of land? Note: 1 20. This project Wetland Stormwa Tree Per Planning	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/ ion is located? ng or proposed county drainage line? ndary of a farm located in an agricultural district? tire development plan for this project propose the disturbance If project is phased, include all phases in determination. requires the following permits or approvals from the Town o Permit ter Permit mit Board special permit:	Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	SI
The righ The bours state or The bours instituti An existi The bours 19. Does the ent of land? Note: 1 20. This project Wetland Stormwa Tree Per: Planning Town Bo	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/ ion is located? ng or proposed county drainage line? ndary of a farm located in an agricultural district? ire development plan for this project propose the disturbance If project is phased, include all phases in determination. requires the following permits or approvals from the Town o Permit ter Permit mit Board special permit: paperoval: <u>approval for Flexibility plan</u> Board of Appeale variance or apacial parmit.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yetktown: ☐ Yorktown:	S
The righ The bourse state or The bourse instituti An existi The bourse 19. Does the ent of land? Note: D 20. This project Wetland Stormwa Tree Per Planning Town Bou Zoning F 21. This project Westches NYC DE NYS DE Other:	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/ ion is located? ng or proposed county drainage line? ndary of a farm located in an agricultural district? the development plan for this project propose the disturbance If project is phased, include all phases in determination.	☐ Yes ☑ No e of more than 5,000 Yes Yes ☑ No f Yorktown: ☐ le agencies: ☐	SI
The righ The bour state or The bour instituti An existi The bour 19. Does the ent of land? Note: I 20. This project Wetland Stormwa Tree Per: Planning Town Bo Zoning F 21. This project NYC DE NYC DE Other:	t-of-way of any existing or proposed state or county road? ndary of an existing or proposed state or county park or any county recreation area? ndary of state or county-owned land on which a public building/ ion is located? ng or proposed county drainage line? ndary of a farm located in an agricultural district? the development plan for this project propose the disturbance If project is phased, include all phases in determination.	☐ Yes ☑ No e of more than 5,000 Yes Yes ☑ No f Yorktown: ☐ le agencies: ☐	SI

22. This parcel is in the following districts:

School District	Yorktown School District	Water District	Yorktown Consolidated
Fire District	Yorktown Fire	Sewer District	Yorktown Sewer

23. Is a statement of easements relating to property attached?

None exist

Yes

A Long Form/Full EAF with the original signature of the applicant must be attached to this application when submitted. The signature of the applicant's design professional or attorney is not acceptable.

The applicant agrees to comply with the requirements of the Road Specifications, the Land Use Regulations, Zoning Ordinance, Tree Removal and Excavation ordinance, and any additions or amendments thereto.

The applicant agrees to execution and delivery of deeds and required documents for reserved parks/recreation/open space/drainage control, roads and road widening strips and descriptions of easements at the time of the public hearing. Such execution and delivery shall not operate to vest title of said property in the Town of Yorktown until such dedication is accepted in the form of a resolution adopted by the Town Board at a regular meeting of said Board.

The execution and delivery of the deeds to the roads in the proposed subdivision as provided for by the terms of the deeds to the roads in the proposed subdivision as provided for by the terms of the approving resolution shall not operate to vest title of said roads in the Town of Yorktown until such deed is accepted in the form of a resolution adopted by the Town Board at regular meeting of said Board.

This application shall be considered officially submitted when all plans and date required by Land Development Regulations, including final reports from the Director of Planning and Town Engineer are received by the Board.

Applicant	Owner of Record
John Kincart	same as applicant
NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	NAME (PLEASE PRINT)
Alu Centrat	
SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
3/29/22	
DATE	DATE

Note: If the property owner is <u>not</u> the applicant for this application, in addition to the signature above, the owner of the property must also complete and have notarized one of the owner affidavits on the following page.

Note: By signing this document the owner of the subject property grants permission for Town Officials to enter the property for the purpose of reviewing this application.

REFER TO AFFIDAVITS ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Page 4 of 6

ONE OF THE FOLLOWING AFFIDAVITS MUST BE COMPLETED

AFFIDAVIT TO BE COMPLETED BY OWNER, OTHER THAN CORPORATION STATE OF NEW YORK; COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER SS.: TOHN KINCART, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the owner in fee of the property described in the foregoing application for consideration of preliminary plat, and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of his knowledge and belief. Sworn before me this CATHERINE M. MILLS 29th date of Notary Public, State of New York No. 5002516 Qualified in Westchester County Commission Expires 10-5. 2022 Notary Public AFFIDAVIT TO BE COMPLETED BY CORPORATION OWNER STATE OF NEW YORK; COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER SS.: _____, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he resides at ______ in the County of ______ and State of ______. That he is the _____. the corporation which is owner in fee of the property described in the of and that the statements contained therein foregoing application for _____ are true to the best of his knowledge and belief. Sworn before me this _____ date of _____, 20 ___ Notary Public Page 5 of 6

AFFIDAVIT TO BE COMPLETED BY AGENT OF OWNER

STATE OF NEW YORK; COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER SS.:

______, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the agent named in the foregoing application for _______ and that he has been duly authorized by the owner in fee to make such application and that foregoing statements are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Sworn before me this

______ date of ______, 20 ____

Notary Public

F:\Office\WordPerfect\APPLICATION FORMS\APPMIN.wpd Last updated: December 2011

Page 6 of 6

RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT

617.20

Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

MAR 2 9 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information						
John and Elaine Kincart						
Name of Action or Project:						
Dorchester Glen Subdivision						
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):						
1643 Maxwell Drive, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598						
Brief Description of Proposed Action:	11					
It is proposed for development of 4 single-family residences. All lots to be served by To designed for each lot. A Conventional plan with possibility of Flexibility plan.	wn water	and sewer. Stormwater	manageme	nt will be		
	2					
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Teleph	ione: 914-962-4488				
Joseph C. Riina, P.E., Site Design Consultants	E-Mai	l: jriina@sitedesignconsi	ultants.com	-		
Address:						
251-F Underhill Avenue						
City/PO:		State:	Zip Cod	e:		
Yorktown Heights		NY	10598			
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, le	ocal law	, ordinance,	NO	YES		
administrative rule, or regulation?						
may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to	question	ronmental resources the resour	hat			
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any	other go	vernmental Agency?	NO	YES		
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	-					
WCHD, NYC DEP, NYS DEC, Town of Yorktown Planning Board, Town Board						
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? 24.2644 acres						
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?acres						
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 24 2644 acres						
24.2044 acres						
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.						
\Box Urban \blacksquare Rural (non-agriculture) \Box Industrial \Box Commercial \blacksquare Residential (suburban)						
☐Forest ☐Agriculture ☐Aquatic ☐Other ((specify)	:		-		

5. Is the proposed action, a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?	NO	YES	N/A
h Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?			
		V	
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?		NO	YES
landscape:			\checkmark
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental A	rea?	NO	YES
If Yes, identify:			
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?		\checkmark	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed ac	tion?		
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?		NO	YES
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:			
All new construction will be in accordance with NYS Code.			\checkmark
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:			
			V
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
a the first for the first sector provides between the sector of the sect			
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:			\checkmark
			·
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic		NO	YES
Places?		\checkmark	
b. is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain	in	NO	YES
wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?			\checkmark
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	r.		
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:		V	
			5
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check	all that	apply:	
Shoreline Forest Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-success	ional		
🗌 Wetland 🔄 Urban 🗹 Suburban			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed	ý.	NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?	*	NO	YES
If Yes,	ж С		
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?			
h Will storm water discharges he directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drain	ns)?		
If Yes, briefly describe:	13):		
Proposed stormwater management for development			
		e - 2 - 1	

If Yes, explain purpose and size: If Yes, explain purpose and size: If Yes, explain purpose and size: If Yes, describe: 20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: If Yes, describe: 20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: If Yes, describe:	18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond waste lagoon dam)?	NO	YES
Image: A problem purpose and size. Image: A problem prob	If Yes explain nurnose and size.		
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: Complete of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: Image: Complete of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: Complete of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: Image: Complete of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of the proposed action or an adjoint property been the subject of the proposed action or an adjoint property been the subject of the proposed action or an adjoint property been the subject of the proposed action or an adjoint prope	·		
If Yes, describe: Image: Complete in the subject of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongoing or complete) Image: Complete in the subject of remediation (ongo	19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	YES
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe:	If Yes, describe:	\checkmark	
If Yes, describe: Image: Construction of the second se	20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?	NO	YES
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: Joseph C. Riina Date: 3-29-2022	If Yes, describe:	\checkmark	
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: Joseph C. Riina Date: 3-29-2022 Signature:			
KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: Joseph C. Riina Date: 3-29-2022	I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE I	BEST O	FMY
Applicant/sponsor name: Joseph C. Riina Date: 3-29-2022	KNOWLEDGE		
Signature	Applicant/sponsor name: Joseph C. Riina Date: 3-29-2022		
	Signature:		

Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2. Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

		No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?		
2.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?		
3.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?		
4.	Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?		2
5.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?		
6.	Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?		
7.	Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?		
	b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?		
8.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?		
9.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?		

		No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
10.	Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?		
11.	Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?		

Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3. For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

Name of Lead Agency

Date

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Title of Responsible Officer

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

PRINT

TOWN OF YORKTOWN - ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT MS4 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PERMIT APPLICATION WETLAND PERMIT APPLICATION and/or TREE PERMIT APPLICATION

Section Block Lot #	<u>15.</u> 3 6	20		Approval Authority: TE [] PB [] TB [] Application #: RECEIVED Date Received: PLANNING DEPARTMENT Date Issued: MAR 2 9 2022 Date Expires: TOWN OF YORKTOWN		
Job Site Addre	ess:	1643 Ma	axwell Drive			
City/State/Zip:		Yorktown Heights, NY 10598		NOTE: Application, Fee, Short/Long Form EAF, Map/Survey to be submitted to the Engineering		
APPLICANT:			<u>(</u>	DWNER:		
YOUR NAME:	Joh	n Kinc	art	YOUR NAME: John Kincart		
COMPANY:		а. Полого (1996)		COMPANY:		
ADDRESS: 1	643	Maxwe	ell Drive	ADDRESS: 1643 Maxwell Drive		
Yorktown Heights, NY ZIP 10598			_{ZIP} 10598	Yorktown Heights, NY ZIP 10598		
PHONE: (914) 384-3385			- -	PHONE: (914) 384-3385		
EMAIL: jkincart@optonline.net			nline.net	EMAIL: jkincart@optonline.net		
•						

APPROVED PLANS AND PERMIT SHALL BE ON-SITE AT ALL TIMES

Select One	Туре	Approval Authority	Cost
	Wetland/Watercourse/Buffer Area Permit (Administrative)	Town Engineer	\$800.00
	Wetland/Watercourse/Buffer Area Permit	Town Board/Planning Board	\$1,800.00
	Renewal of Wetlands/Watercourse/Buffer Area Permit (1 Year)	Town Engineer	\$1 <mark>5</mark> 0.00
\checkmark	MS4 Stormwater Management Permit (Administrative)	Town Engineer	\$300.00
\checkmark	MS4 Stormwater Management Permit	Town Board/Planning Board	\$1,500.00
	Renewal of a MS4 Stormwater Management Permit (1 Year)	Town Engineer	\$150.00
	Tree Permit	Town Engineer	\$0.00

Application fees are doubled with issuance of a Stop Work Order/Notice of Violation as per Town Code.

PROPOSED ACTIVITY - If not located in wetland/wetland buffer (skip to 2b)

1. <u>Description of wetlands</u> (check all that apply):

a. Lake/pond

b. Stream/River/Brook _____ c. Wetlands Control area of lake/pond Control area of stream/river/brook Control area of wetlands

2a. <u>Description of activity in the wetland and/or wetland buffer.</u> Describe the proposed work including the following: i.e. maintenance, construction of dwelling, addition, driveway, culverts, including size and location.

2b. Stormwater/Excavation - Description of proposed activity:

Excavation will be for driveways, homes and utilities.

3. Tree Removal:

Amount of trees and/or stumps to be removed: <u>TBD</u> Sizes; approximate DBH: _____

Species of trees to be removed (i.e. Birch, Spruce - if known): ______ Reason for removal:

Trees marked In field (trees must be marked prior to inspection): Yes: _____ No:_____ Tree removal contractor: _____

Attach survey/sketch indicating property boundaries, existing structures, driveways, roadways and location of existing trees. Trees must be marked in the field before inspection.

4. <u>PROPERTY OWNER CONSENT</u>: If another entity (e.g. contractor, consultant) is applying on the owner's behalf, the PROPERTY OWNER is to complete, sign and date this authorization:

, John Kincart	hereby authorize Joseph C. Riina, P.	Ξ.	to apply
for this Stor	mwater/Wetland Permit/Tree Permit on my behalf.		
Signature:	All Must be original signature. Digital signatures not accepted.	Date: _	3/30/22

No application will be processed without the above-mentioned, required information.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

- 1. The permittee is responsible for maintaining an active application. If no activity occurs within a six (6) month period, as measured from the date of application, the application will become null and void. <u>Applications fees are non-refundable.</u>
- 2. The Town of Yorktown reserves the right to modify, suspend or revoke this permit at any time after due notice when:
 - a. Scope of the project is exceeded or a violation of any condition of the permit or provision of the law pertinent regulations are found; or
 - b. Permit was obtained by misrepresentation or failure to disclose relevant facts; or
 - c. Newly discovered information or significant physical changes are discovered.
- 3. The permittee is responsible for keeping the permit active by requesting renewal from the Approval Authority. Any supplemental information that may be required by the Approval Authority, including forms and fees, must be submitted <u>30 days prior to the expiration date</u>. The expiration date is one year from the date the bond is paid to the Engineering Department. In accordance with Chapter 178 of the Town Code, Freshwater Wetlands, Section 178-16 -Expiration of a Permit.
- 4. This permit shall not be construed as conveying to the applicant any right to trespass upon private lands or interfere with the riparian rights of others in order to perform the permitted work or as authorizing the impairment of any right, title or interest in real or personal property held or vested in person not party to this permit.
- 5. The permittee is responsible for obtaining any other permits, approvals, easements and right-of-way, which may be required.
- 6. Any modification of this permit granted by the Approval Authority must be in writing and attached hereto.
- 7. Granting of this permit does not relieve the applicant of the responsibility of obtaining any other permission, consent or approval from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, N.Y.C. Department of Environmental Protection, N.Y.S. Department of Environmental Conservation or local government, which may be required.

John Kincart

3/30/22 DATE

Must be original signature. Digital signatures not accepted.

-3-



NOTE: UNAUTHORIZED ALTERATIONS OR ADDITIONS TO THIS DRAWING IS A VIOLATION OF SECTION 7209 (2) OF THE NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION LAW.











Par 3 Golf Course



TOWN OF YORKTOWN PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Albert A. Capellini Community and Cultural Center, 1974 Commerce Street, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598, Phone 914-962-6565, Fax 914-962-3986

PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION ROUTING TRANSMITTAL

DATE:	January 6, 2022	
TO:	 [X] File [X] Applicant (via e-mail) [X] Town Clerk [X] Building Inspector (via e-mail) [X] Town Engineer (via e-mail) [] Fire Inspector (via e-mail) [] Highway Superintendent (via e-mail) [] Water Department (via e-mail) [] Town Assessor (via e-mail) 	
FROM:	Planning Department	
SUBJECT:	UBJECT: Par 3 Golf Course aka Valley Fields Golf Course	
RESOLUTION:	#21-30	
SBL:	16.07-1-38; 795 Route 6, Shrub Oak	

Attached please find a copy of Planning Board Resolution #21-30 approving site plan, stormwaer pollution prevention plan, wetland permit and tree permit for the Town of Yorktown Par 3 Golf Course aka Valley Fields Golf Course dated December 6, 2021.

Thank you,

John A. Tegeder, R.A. Director of Planning

/nc Attachment THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the attached copy is a true and correct copy of the Town of Yorktown Planning Board Resolution:

PLANNING BOARD **TOWN OF YORKTOWN**

RESOLUTION APPROVING SITE PLAN, STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN, WETLAND PERMIT AND TREE PERMIT FOR THE **TOWN OF YORKTOWN PAR 3 GOLF COURSE AKA VALLEY FIELDS GOLF COURSE**

DATE OF RESOLUTION: DECEMBER 6, 2021

HEREBY signed by the secretary of the Planning Board:

M Ann

William LaScala, Secretary

1/3/2022

Date

PLANNING BOARD TOWN OF YORKTOWN

RESOLUTION APPROVING SITE PLAN, STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN, WETLAND PERMIT AND TREE PERMIT FOR THE TOWN OF YORKTOWN PAR 3 GOLF COURSE AKA VALLEY FIELDS GOLF COURSE

RESOLUTION NUMBER: #21-30

DATE: DECEMBER 6, 2021

On motion of Robert Garrigan, seconded by William LaScala, and unanimously voted in favor by Fon, LaScala, Bock, and Garrigan, the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS in accordance with the Planning Board's Land Development Regulations, Town of Yorktown Town Code Chapter 195, adopted February 4, 1969 and as amended, a formal application for the approval of a site plan titled "Valley Fields Golf Course," prepared by Revans Design, P.E., P.C., dated April 7, 2021, was submitted to the Planning Board on behalf of R. C. Recreation Development Corp., LLC (hereinafter referred to as "the Applicant"); and

WHEREAS the property owned by the Town of Yorktown is located at 795 Route 6, Shrub Oak, also known as Section 16.07, Block 1, Lot 38 on the Town of Yorktown Tax Map (hereinafter referred to as "the Property"); and

WHEREAS on August 5, 2014, the Town Board adopted Resolution #321 awarding the request for proposals to manage, operate and maintain the Valley Field Golf Course and Restaurant Concession to R.C. Recreational Development, LLC; and

WHEREAS on August 12, 2014, the Town Board adopted Resolution #353 authorizing the Supervisor to sign agreements for the management, operation, and maintenance of Valley Field Golf Course (formerly known as Shallow Creek Golf Course and Indian Valley Par 3 Golf Course); and

WHEREAS pursuant to SEQRA:

- 1. The action has been identified as an Unlisted action.
- 2. The Planning Board has been declared lead agency on December 6, 2021.
- 3. A negative declaration has been adopted on December 6, 2021 on the basis of a Short EAF dated February 28, 2020.

WHEREAS the applicant has submitted as part of his application the following maps and documents:

1. A parking plan, Sheet 1 of 1, titled "Valley Fields Golf Course," prepared by Revans Design, P.E., P.C., dated April 7, 2021; and

Par 3 Golf Course Site Plan Approval

- 2. A plan, titled "Tree & Shrub Planting Plans for Old Shallow Creek Golf Course 2021" dated April 30, 2021that includes a tree & shrub planting plan titled, "Shallow Creek Golf Learning Center," submitted on March 12, 2021, and a tree & shrub planting plan titled Field Stone Golf Learning Center submitted on March 15, 2021; and
- 3. A survey, titled "Topographic Survey for R C Development LLC," prepared by Badey & Watson Surveying & Engineering, P.C., dated January 20, 2016 and last revised February 3, 2016; and
- 4. A drawing titled, "Valley Fields Golf Course," prepared by Golf Course Designs, LLC, and dated December 9, 2014; and
- 5. A drawing, sheet 1 of 6, titled, "Master Plan," prepared by Arnold Palmer Design Company, and dated June 30, 2016; and
- 6. A drawing, sheet 2 of 6, titled, "Stripping Plan," prepared by Arnold Palmer Design Company, and dated June 30, 2016; and
- 7. A drawing, sheet 3 of 6, titled, "Grading & Drainage Plan," prepared by Arnold Palmer Design Company, and dated June 30, 2016; and
- 8. A drawing, sheet 4 of 6, titled, "Grassing Plan," prepared by Arnold Palmer Design Company, and dated June 30, 2016; and
- 9. A drawing, sheet 5 of 6, titled, "Miscellaneous Details," prepared by Arnold Palmer Design Company, and dated June 30, 2016; and
- 10. A drawing, sheet 6 of 6, titled, "Miscellaneous Details," prepared by Arnold Palmer Design Company, and dated June 30, 2016; and
- 11. A narrative and sketches titled, "Mitigation Plan for Par 3 Golf Course," submitted on August, 16 2021; and

WHEREAS the applicant must provide at least five parking spaces for each hole, plus one space for each two employees, thereby requiring a total of 50 parking spaces, and the applicant has shown on the site plan 47 parking spaces within the existing parking lot and 2 parking spaces within an existing garage for a total of 49 parking spaces and the site is adjacent to an existing commuter parking lot where at least 1 additional parking space is available; and

WHEREAS the Town obtained a change of use approval from the Westchester County Board of Health approval for a full service food service establishment subject to the conditions listed in their letter dated August 17, 2015, which included the condition that the restaurant is Par 3 Golf Course Site Plan Approval Resolution #21-30 Page 3 of 4

permitted to have 42 seats; and

WHEREAS the sixty-seven protected trees were removed in February 2019 at the site and the applicant has submitted a landscape plan that proposes 90 tree planting locations at the site and this is shown on the Tree & Shrub Planting Plans listed herein; and

WHEREAS the Applicant obtained coverage under SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activity and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan must be implemented in accordance with GP-0-20-001; and

WHEREAS the Planning Board has referred this application to the following boards and agencies and has received and considered reports of the following:

Boards & Agencies	Report Date
Conservation Board	11/05/2020, 05/24/2021
Planning Department	08/07/2020, 11/5/2020, 5/24/2021
Town Engineer	09/10/2020
Tree Conservation Advisory Commission	09/13/2018, 5/10/2021
NYSDEC	07/24/2020
Westchester County Planning Board	10/30/2020

WHEREAS the requirements of this Board's Land Development Regulations, Town Code Chapter 195, have been met; and

WHEREAS having reviewed all current site plans, building plans, environmental plans and reports, comments and reports from Town professional staff, the public, and other interested and involved agencies associated with the application before it; and having conducted a public hearing held in accordance with §195-39(B)(2) of the Yorktown Town Code on the said site plan application on September 14, 2020, October 26, 2020, and May 10, 2021 by video conferencing and November 22, 2021 by in person meeting held at Yorktown Town Hall;

BE IT NOW RESOLVED that the application of R.C. Recreational Development, LLC for the approval of the plans listed herein, be approved subject to the modifications and conditions listed below, and that the Chairman of this Board be and hereby is authorized to endorse this Board's approval of said plan; and

Additional requirements prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy:

1. Proposed plan must comply with all current applicable ADA standards.

2. Applicant must obtain all necessary permits from outside agencies.

Par 3 Golf Course Site Plan Approval Resolution #21-30 Page 4 of 4

- 3. Modify the landscape plan to include wetland and stream plantings along the watercourse running through the golf course generally following the sketch and narrative submitted on August, 16 2021 to the satisfaction of the Planning Board; and
- 4. Modify the landscape plan to include tree plantings of at least 90 trees generally following the tree and shrub planting plans as enumerated in the submitted application materials above to the satisfaction of the Planning Board; and
- 5. Submission of a final landscaping plan showing tree planting locations, and stream and wetland plantings, in addition to any other decorative landscaping proposed to the satisfaction of the Planning Board; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that in accordance with Town Code Chapter 178, Chapter 248, and Chapter 270, the application of R.C. Recreational Development, LLC for the approval of a Wetland, Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, and Tree Permit **#WP-FSWPPP-T-069-20** is approved subject to the conditions listed therein; and

RESOLVED, Permit **#WP-FSWPPP-T-069-20** shall not be valid until it has been signed by the Chairman of this Board.

	PLANNING BOARD TOWN OF YORKTOWN
SITE PL	RESOLUTION APPROVING AN, STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN, ETLAND REPAILT AND THEE REPAILT FOR THE
W	TOWN OF YORKTOWN PAR 3 GOLF COURSE
/	AKA VALLEY FIELDS GOLF COURSE
	DATE: DECEMBER 6, 2021
GIGNED BY:	MA SEM
	Richard Fon, Chairman
ROLL CALL:	
AYES: _	12. mi
	Richard Fon, Chairman
1. S. S.	Man
	William LaSeata
	Aaron Bock
- 11 - 1 1	Polori Contran
	Kabert Garrigan
NAYES: _	
-	

Foothill Solar

RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT

APR 6 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

FROM: Joe Shanahan, Senior Project Developer, Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses

MEMO

TO: John Tegeder, Director of Planning, Town of Yorktown

SUBJECT: Proposed Solar Facility, 3849 Foothill Street Supplemental Comment Letter from Barton & Loguidice dated April 4, 2022

DATE: April 6, 2022

Reference is made to the subject Barton & Loguidice (B&L) Supplemental Comment Letter and, more particularly the following recommendations contained therein:

Fencing: Currently the Applicant is proposing (7-foot chain link) fencing around the development with a 6" clearance gap at the bottom to allow for animal passage.

B&L is recommending the following fencing specifications to provide a safe passage to a wider range of animals found in the area. The fencing should be wildlife-friendly with smooth wires to prevent injury. The fencing should have a maximum height of forty-two inches to allow for deer to leap over it and provide at least sixteen inches of clearance between the ground and the lowest beam or wire to allow wildlife to crawl under it. If it is not desired for deer to enter the property, height can be increased from forty-two inches to six feet. The fence should have wide spacing between wires or beams to prevent tangling and incorporate visibility markers to allor wildlife to the presence of an access point to traverse the fence.

First, the National Electrical Code requires that, to deter access by those who are not qualified to be close to the equipment, a solar facility such as this must be enclosed by a fence not less than 2.1 meters (7 feet) in height or a combination of 1.8 meters (6 feet) or more of fence fabric and 300 millimeters (1 foot) or more extension using three or more strands of barbed wire or the equivalent. A fence with a height of forty-two inches or six feet as recommended by B&L would not comply with the National Electrical Code. The Applicant has proposed a 7-foot fence around the solar facility as it must comply with the requirements of the National Electrical Code.¹

A fence of this height will not only deter unqualified persons from being close to the equipment, but it will also prevent deer, bear and other larger animals from entering the project site, where they may cause damage to the equipment or be injured by that equipment.

¹ Section 110.31, National Electrical Code

Such larger animals will continue to have unrestricted access to and passage through the remaining 19 acres of undeveloped land at the property.

Second, per the National Electrical Code, as the fence is to deter access by those who are not qualified to be close to the solar facility equipment, providing the sixteen or more inches of clearance between the ground and the lowest part of the fence as recommended by B&L would make the fence of no value as persons (particularly children) could easily crawl under it. So, too, could the undesired deer, as a space as small as 9 inches is plenty of space for an adult deer to crawl under if there is enough motivation.²

Third, the stated intent of the recommendations is to afford safe passage for wildlife through the project site. The Applicant's proposed 6-inch clearance gap at the bottom of the fence will allow such passage for the 25 most well-known animals in Westchester County,³ except for the larger animals like deer and bear that the Applicant does not want entering the project enclosure. For example, a coyote can fit through a 6-inch x 4-inch gap,⁴ a fox through a 4-inch x 4-inch opening,⁵ and a skunk⁶ or a raccoon⁷ can fit through a 4-inch hole.

Finally, it should be noted that these B&L fencing recommendations are taken, verbatim, from an article entitled "Wildlife-Friendly Fencing Standards,"⁸ which is entirely about the fencing of **livestock** ... not a solar facility or any other project for which a fence is intended for safety and security. The Introduction to that article begins with "Countless miles of fences run across the U.S. **These fences help contain livestock** and define property boundaries Local governments can enact regulations or ordinances to **require fencing that effectively contains livestock** and defines property boundaries, while ensuring that wildlife can traverse them." And further along the article notes that its fencing standards "**can be used while still effectively containing most common livestock species** (emphasis added)." While the "Wildlife-Friendly Fencing Standards" from which the B&L fencing recommendations have been taken may "be used while still effectively containing most livestock species," they are not instructive to the fencing of a solar facility so as to deter access by those who are not qualified to be close to the equipment, as is the intent of the requirements of the National Electrical Code.

² https://appliedbehavior.wordpress.com > deer-fence

³ https://www.sawmillriveraudubon.org/LunettaExplorer/mammals/

⁴ https://www.faa.gov/airports/airport_safety/certalerts/media/part-139-cert-alert-16-03.pdf

⁵ https://unis.mcgill.ca/en/uw/mammals/foxes.html#:~:text

⁶ https://findanyanswer.com/how-big-of-a-hole-can-a-skunk-get-through

⁷ https://www.google.com/search?q=how+much+space+does+a+raccoon+need+to+crawl+through

⁸ https://sustainablecitycode.org/brief/adopt-wildlife-friendly-fencing-standards



April 4, 2022

RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT

APR 5 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

Mr. John Tegeder Director of Planning Town of Yorktown Albert A. Capellini Community and Cultural Center 1974 Commerce Street Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

- Re: Yorktown A Solar Project 3849 Foothill Street Yorktown, New York
- Subj: Expansion on B&L Comment Letters dated 01 November 2021 and 06 December 2021

File: 2478.001.001 Phase 03

Dear Mr. Tegeder and Members of the Planning Board:

In response to a request from the Town, Barton & Loguidice, D.P.C. (B&L) is expanding on its two (2) initial comment letters with respect to this application, to address specific concerns expressed at the public hearing on this application on February 2, 2022 and subsequent public comment letters.

B&L offers the following expansion of our initial reviews based on the concerns and responses heard to date and provided to B&L from the Town.

- Section 270-3 of the Town's tree ordinance 'Preservation of Yorktown's Trees and Woodlands' mentions many benefits of individual trees and woodlands. Concerns given to the Town relate to the loss of these benefits and functions when they are removed for the solar farm. Outlined are some expansions to general comments and items in the Town's ordinances with respect to the proposed use of this property in this Application.
 - a) Woodlands filter and recharge the drinking water aquifer. *Response: According to Mapping Westchester County GIS, the site in question does not contribute to the filtering or recharge of the drinking water aquifer. See attached.*
 - b) Healthy trees provide oxygen and slow climate change by acting as a sink for carbon dioxide and mitigate other air pollution problems.
 Response: As noted in the Con Edison Tree Ordinance Mitigation Plan from October 23, 2021 attached, on page 2 it states "The EPA Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator attached demonstrates that the greenhouse gas offsets from this 1.87 MW AC solar project will be 60 million pounds of carbon dioxide (C02) over the 25-year life of the project. That is the equivalent of taking nearly 6 million passenger car miles driven ... and their fossil fuel emissions ... off the road. By comparison the trees currently on the site which are to be cut would sequester less than 5% of that amount of carbon dioxide during the same period."



- c) Trees help to stabilize soil, reducing the risk of soil erosion and siltation in watercourses and clogging of drainage channels.
 Response: As can be seen in the most recent site plans, Level spreaders/Energy Dissipaters have been provided for every row of panels to offset any additional erosion that would occur due to altering the land cover from woodlands to grasslands.
- d) Woodlands help to moderate temperature.

Response: In a study conducted by Greg Barron-Gafford at the University of Arizona, it was found that temperatures at PV plants tend to be 3-4 degrees Celsius (5-7 degrees Fahrenheit) warmer than wildlands at night and can create a PVHI (Photovoltaic Heat Island) effect (Barron-Gafford, G., Minor, R., Allen, N. et al.). It was found that the spatial extent of the PVHI effect is constrained within thirty meters (98 feet) of the edge of the array, after which point effects are not detectable.

This study was performed on PV sites that were mechanically bladed to remove all vegetation, and the PVHI effect is largely driven by the absence of vegetation. Greg Barron-Gafford noted in his expert witness statement that co-locating grasses under PV arrays (something of which the Applicant is proposing) can "yield multiple ecosystem services (tangible and non-tangible amenities) including continued carbon dioxide sequestration from our atmosphere, localized cooling from the transpiration of the plants, grazing forage, and storm-water regulation. In my (Barron-Gafford's) team's own preliminary work on the effects of revegetating PV solar farms with grasses, we found significant cooling of the local atmosphere." Therefore, with the proposed co-location of grasses beneath the panels, B&L believes that PVHI effects should be less than the 5-7 degrees that were found in the study conducted on barren lands.

This study was also conducted in Tuscon, Arizona where temperatures typically vary between 42 degrees and 102 degrees Fahrenheit (Weather Spark). It was found that in the cooler months, the PVHI effect was less severe. The temperatures in Yorktown, New York typically vary between 20 and 83 degrees Fahrenheit (Weather Spark). In Greg Barron-Gafford's expert witness statement in which he compared his study in Tuscon, AZ with Shepparton, AU (an area of Australia that is both cooler and has more vegetative cover than Tuscon, AZ, similar to Yorktown, NY versus Tuscon, AZ) he predicted that "the degree of PVHI within Shepparton might be lower than the values we measured in Tuscon because of the differences in background temperatures and vegetation." B&L believes that this conclusion can be similarly applied to Yorktown, New York as the basis of the parameters of that conclusion is a similar comparison of AZ versus NY.

While we could not find any studies specifically comparing a forested area to a solar farm with co-located grasses and a forested vegetative buffer, based on these studies we can conclude that there will likely be warming within the solar farm but it should be less than a 5-7 degree Fahrenheit difference, and it will be negligible past 100 feet of the panels or less.

e) Woodlands provide habitat for wildlife.



Response: The solar panels themselves are 3' off the ground at their lowest and 12' off the ground at their highest point, providing ample space for animals to pass under and around the proposed panels.

The site is proposed to be planted with tall grasses which will allow for groundcover and habitat for much of the wildlife found in this area.

Fencing: Currently the Applicant is proposing fencing around the development with a 6" clearance gap at the bottom to allow for animal passage.

B&L is recommending the following fencing specifications to provide a safe passage to a wider range of animals found in the area. The fencing should be wildlife-friendly with smooth wires to prevent injury. The fencing should have a maximum height of forty-two inches to allow for deer to leap over it and provide at least sixteen inches of clearance between the ground and the lowest beam or wire to allow wildlife to crawl under it. If it is not desired for deer to enter the property, height can be increased from forty-two inches to six feet. The fence should have wide spacing between wires or beams to prevent tangling and incorporate visibility markers to alert wildlife to the presence of an access point to traverse the fence.

f) Specimen trees make disproportional contributions to ecological benefits of woodlands, as well as beautify our residential and commercial neighborhoods, provide a visible link to our history and are a critical reservoir of seeds for woodland regeneration, mitigating the impacts of overbrowsing by deer.

Response: Specimen trees are defined as any tree with a dbh of 24 inches or more. Based on an analysis of the tree inventory data, only 4.5% of trees to be removed can be defined as specimen trees. Therefore, the majority of the trees to be removed (95.5%) are not classified as specimen trees as per the Town's tree ordinance. The woodlands around the proposed solar farm will remain on three sides of the development allowing the existing aesthetic and ecological benefits of this woodland to function comparatively to its current function.

g) Woodlands function as vital ecological communities not just because of the presence of trees, but because of the presence of canopy, shrub and ground cover layers of vegetation. Response: As can be seen in the photos below from a site visit on 10/19/2021, the site has no significant layer of woodland plants, understory, or ground cover layers in the fall season. This represents the condition found in many Westchester County woodlands, where there is close to zero tree regeneration, and no native understory. In some cases, the understory has been replaced by invasive species, disrupting the entire ecology of the woodland.

B&L is recommending that within the Application, the Applicant designate a portion of the woodland to remain to be planted with native understory species. With deer being the largest contributor to this change in the understory, and with their numbers not forecasted to decrease in the near future, a deer enclosure should be included with ample S-gates, allowing people and small animals to traverse, but not deer. Within this space, any invasive species that exist should be removed and replanted with appropriate native



understory. This work can be conducted using a portion of the tree fund money provided in response to the proposed development.







2. Concerns were raised that the documents submitted do not successfully mitigate for the loss of trees and forest function. The applicant claims that contributing a significant sum of money to the tree fund is sufficient.

Response: In the paper sited earlier in this letter by Barron-Gafford, G., Minor, R., Allen, N. et al., ecosystem degradation associated with the development of a utility scale PV site can be mitigated through targeted revegetation and could increase "the collective ecosystem services associated with an area."

B&L recommends that this tree fund money go towards the recommended revitalization and replanting of native understory as outlined in response 1g above and/or the reforestation of the area as part of the decommissioning plan as outlined in response 6 below.

3. Concerns were noted that the applicant states how a housing development would disturb the site, stating that sound housing development would not eliminate the benefits of the forest but the clear cutting for a solar field will. It was further debated that suburban development provides a variety of landscapes while solar fields are limited.

Residential subdivision (cluster or conventional) vs. solar farm development. This discussion has been brought up multiple times with respect to this application. *Response: Please reference the table below provided by Con Edison comparing the 'variety of landscapes' provided by the proposed housing developments versus the solar farm.*



This outlines the comparable reduction of treed areas for each development researched, while highlighting a clear difference in impervious materials which impacts many environmental features including vegetative cover and erosion, with the proposed solar farm having the least impact with respect to this feature.

Feature	Existing Site (Vacant)	Yorktown A Solar Farm	Cluster Subdivision	Conventional Subdivision
Treed Area	34.23 Acres	18.33 +/- Acres	19.97 +/- Acres	18.12 +/- Acres
Grass/ Meadow	0.00 Acres	15.66± Acres	11.47 +/- Acres	13.50 +/- Acres
Impervious	0.00 Acres	0.07± Acres	Roads/Driveways	Roads/Driveways
Materials Added			1.63 +/- Acres	1.76 acres +/-
			Houses	Houses
			1.16 +/- Acre	0.85 +/-acres
			Total 2.79+/- acres	Total: 2.61± Acres
Pervious Gravel	0.00 Acres	0.17± Acres	0.00 Acres	0.00 Acres
Added				

4. Clarification of the use and evaluation of the project site with respect to the term core forest is required as there were statements made contesting the findings that no core forest is present at this site. Concerns were made with preserving all forests stating that woodlands of moderate-size and -age trees continue to provide valuable habitat and function.

Response: The definition of a core forest does not imply a large parcel. A core forest does not account for imaginary parcel boundaries. The definition of a core forest from the Hudson Valley Natural Resource Mapper is as follows:

"Core forests are interior forest areas surrounded by at least a 100-meter wide buffer of edge forest habitat. Core forest is especially important for sensitive wildlife including many forest songbirds, which avoid nesting near areas with human disturbance. Although the value of individual forest patches for wildlife depends on landscape context and other factors, core forests that are at least 500 acres in size are more likely to provide enough suitable habitat to support a diversity of interior forest species.

The fragmentation of large forests by new roads and development into smaller forest patches reduces or eliminates core forest and is a leading driver of biodiversity loss. Fragmentation decreases forest habitat quality, disrupts wildlife movement, and facilitates the spread of invasive species. This layer represents the results of a landscape fragmentation analysis applied to forest patches in the 2016 Hudson Valley Forest Condition Index. Avoiding further fragmentation of core forests will help conserve the integrity and habitat value of ecologically significant forest patches."

The objective of this project was to delineate road-less forest patches throughout New York State, based on the latest version of the National Land Cover Dataset (2016), and then to assess the condition of those patches within the Hudson River Estuary Watershed. While approximately 65% of the Hudson River estuary watershed is forested, the condition of that forest land is variable, with only about half meeting the criteria of higher-quality, intact core forest.



Using this map allows us to ensure avoidance of fragmentation and degradation of highquality forests and core forest areas. As shown in the map attached from the Hudson Valley Natural Resource Mapper, there are core forests present in the general area of this project matching the criteria of existing offsets from roadways and development. While the proposed solar site location site is not indicated as a core forest, we can assume that the woodlands in question match the characteristics of the adjacent woodlands based on our field observations and understanding of Westchester County's woodlands. The proposed application does not fragment this forested area and maintains a continuous swath of forest as currently exists preserving this forest corridor to maintain habitat connectivity by concentrating the proposed solar farm development on the forest edge as recommended in forest conservation measures.

It is key to note the Hudson Valley Forest Condition Index prioritizes forest patches based on metrics relating to size, fragmentation, connectivity, stressors, habitat value, and carbon sequestration. This allows one to better understand individual forest values within a regional context and to prioritize forest areas for conservation and land-use planning efforts.

The woodlands in question, and the woodlands to the south of Shrub Oak Brook and to the east of the Mohegan outlet, are listed in the lowest category of value, with a 0-20 percent Forest Condition Index, supporting our field observations of this patch of forest lacking the key important understory layer reducing the overall value of the woodlands themselves.

While it is agreed that forests and tree cover are important to a successful ecosystem, it is important to understand a particular woodland's value. In this case, with no native understory present due to deer browsing and the establishment of invasives, the habitat quality is lower and less carbon is stored in the soil long term.

Solar farms are valuable in the goal of reaching 80% renewable energy in the USA to assist in cutting carbon emissions and slowing climate change. And with the degradation of the forest understory in this area, the mitigation measures proposed for and recommended for this application can aid in bringing back key local species and potentially improving lands that have been impacted by deer and invasives. This leads this proposed solar farm with the potential net benefit of restoring a native habitat and improving ecosystem services.

The pollinator-friendly proposed native meadow seed mix can help boost energy yields, maintain erosion control and groundwater stores. It is recommended that the applicant take reasonable efforts to keep and to score high on the New York Pollinator-Friendly Solar Scorecard for vegetation standards for solar arrays, encouraging the planting and maintenance of low-growing and flowering meadows of deep-rooted native plants to benefit honey bees, native pollinators, birds, and enrich soils.

5. Environmentalists have determined that cool ravines can support unique species and larger buffers are needed to protect them. What will the impact of the runoff from a grassland habitat have on the stream? Will a 100 ft. buffer adequately shield this riparian corridor from heat island effects of a solar installation?

Response: From B&L's findings, "It has been demonstrated that riparian buffers narrower than 10m on each side of the stream are insufficient to sustain the desired ecosystem functions (Davies & Nelson, 1994; Kiffney et al., 2003; Sweeney & Newbold, 2014) and much wider



buffers (>30 m) are necessary to preserve biodiversity (Marczak et al., 2010; Oldén et al., 2019; Selonen & Kotiaho, 2013)" (Kuglerova L. et al.). 30m equates to 98.43 feet.

The applicant meets this criteria, providing a 100 foot buffer to adequately distance the riparian corridor from the PV system.

Regarding the grassland habitat, grassland systems provide carbon storage, improved water infiltration, root mass and organic matter in the soil, and have deep root beds aiding in a decrease in soil erosion and helps to hold the soil together when the wind blows strongly or when rains flood the ground. The proposed dense cover of grasslands will aid in soil stabilization as well as provide a habitat for smaller wildlife.

6. The B&L report assumes no other portion of the property will be developed. The Board is concerned about the adverse effects on the Mohegan Outlet if the 16 remaining acres to the SW of the outlet are developed without a conservation easement. The B&L conclusions do not address this possibility.

Response: The applicant has agreed to impose a conservation restriction on the remaining portion of the property to prevent anything else from being developed over the lifespan of the project.

While B&L has not received the updated decommissioning plan, the applicant stated in a response to comments letter that they would update the plan to not fill in the stormwater detention ponds and swale, and would remove the checkdams, as they have chosen to keep the grasses instead of reforesting the area. B&L recommends that the applicant consider revising the decommissioning plan to include the reforestation of the solar farm area instead of keeping the grasses, in order to re-establish the existing conditions and functions. Monies collected for the Tree Fund from the project could be used to re-forest the area after decommissioning.

It is important to note that solar panels create limited damage to soils and can be fairly easily removed compared to lands developed with housing or paving making them easily returned to regular forestry use if the solar farm is decommissioned in the future.

7. A Pos Dec (EIS must be prepared and made available for public review) is requested to be issued to address the perceived potential significant adverse impacts on site due to the proposed development as part of the SEQRA process to address losing sixteen (16) acres of forest and due to the presence of both wetlands and floodplain. *Response:*

As we have not received parts 2 and 3 of the Town's SEQR Environmental Assessment Form (EAF), we cannot conclude whether or not the removal of sixteen (16) acres of forest and presence of wetlands and floodplain are considered as significant adverse impacts. The Town should consider these topics during their close-out of the SEQRA process and progression of the Parts 2 and 3 materials.

B&L hopes that this addresses all concerns in regards to this project.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.
Mr. John Tegeder, Director of Planning Town of Yorktown April 4, 2022 Page 9



Sincerely,

BARTON & LOGUIDICE, D.P.C.

ones, PLA eigh

Project Manager

NN/LGJ

Sources

Barron-Gafford, G., Minor, R., Allen, N. *et al.* The Photovoltaic Heat Island Effect: Larger solar power plants increase local temperatures. *Sci Rep* **6**, 35070 (2016). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35070</u>

Lenka Kuglerová, Jussi Jyväsjärvi *et al.* Cutting Edge: A Comparison of Contemporary Practices of Riparian Buffer Retention Around Small Streams in Canada, Finland, and Sweden. (September 10, 2020) <u>Cutting Edge: A Comparison of Contemporary Practices of Riparian</u> <u>Buffer Retention Around Small Streams in Canada, Finland, and Sweden - Kuglerová - 2020 -</u> Water Resources Research - Wiley Online Library

Mapping Westchester County



2/21/2022, 10:15:47 AM Aquifers 10-100 gal/min >100 gal/min

Probably <10 gal/min

Unknown



Michaelian Office Building 148 Martine Avenue Rm 214 White Plains, New York 10601

2/21/22, 12:20 PM

February 20, 2022 | 4:46 pm COVID-19 Vaccines Hudson Valley Natural Resource Mapper

Children ages 5+ are eligible for the COVID-19 vaccine and children ages 12+ are eligible for a booster. Parents and guardians: make sure your child gets vaccinated and stays up to date with all recommended doses.

VAX FOR KIDS >

1/1



https://gisservices.dec.ny.gov/gis/hvnrm/



October 23, 2021

BY EMAIL

Richard Fon, Chairman Planning Board Town of Yorktown 363 Underhill Avenue Yorktown, NY 10598

Subject: Tree Ordinance Mitigation Plan - Proposed Solar Project, Foothill Street, Yorktown

Dear Mr. Fon:

Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses, Inc. is proposing to develop a ground-mounted solar facility on 15.90 acres of the 34.23-acre site at 3849 Foothill Street in Yorktown owned by William Lockwood.

In accordance with the local Tree Ordinance, the Applicant has recently submitted a Tree Inventory to the Planning Board showing that a total of 1871 "protected" trees, of varying quality and condition, will be removed to develop the proposed project.

The Tree Ordinance also requires that the Applicant submit a "mitigation plan" to the Planning Board to "address and compensate for the impact of the removal of protected trees and removal or disturbance of protected woodlands."

The Applicant previously submitted a draft mitigation plan for discussion purposes, but, with the completion of the Tree Inventory, is now able to propose a final mitigation plan for consideration.

The Tree Ordinance provides for "Payment into the Tree Bank Fund. In lieu of replacing a lost protected tree or disturbance to a protected woodland, the payment shall be \$100 for every protected tree removed and \$300 for every 5,000 square feet of protected woodland disturbed." In gross terms, this formula would result in a payment to the Tree Bank Fund of \$228,656, based upon the 1871 trees @ \$100 (\$187,100) to be removed and the 15.90 acres of the 34.23-acre site to be disturbed (\$41,556).

As a part of its mitigation plan, the Applicant has submitted plans for an additional 212 plantings, installed at a cost of \$160,000, at the project site to enhance the natural screening and in mitigation for the trees to be removed for the project. See the Landscaping & Plantings in Mitigation Plan attached (and included in the Site Plan set as Sheet C006 at a larger scale). See also the Landscaping and Plantings for Mitigation Inventory and Cost Estimate attached.



The Applicant will also post a Bond to ensure the sustainability of those plantings and to pay for their replacement if necessary.

It is suggested that this \$160,000 expenditure for new plantings be credited toward the \$228,656 payment to the Tree Bank Fund and, as a result, the net payment to the Fund by the Applicant will be \$68,656.

In further mitigation, the Applicant notes that, over and above the 18.32 acres at the site left wholly undisturbed and untouched by the solar project development, once the project is completed, almost all of the 15.90 acres that is disturbed will be returned to grass and meadow, using a pollinator-friendly seed mix, as prescribed by a Certified Ecological Restoration Practitioner, providing a new, much-needed habitat for bees, butterflies and other native pollinators.

The solar project will also have a positive, indirect effect on the environment as solar energy replaces or reduces the use of other energy sources that have larger effects on the environment. The EPA Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator attached demonstrates that the greenhouse gas offsets from this 1.87 MW AC solar project will be 60 million pounds of carbon dioxide (CO2) over the 25-year life of the project. That is the equivalent of taking nearly 6 million passenger car miles driven ... and their fossil fuel emissions ... off the road. By comparison the trees currently on the site which are to be cut would sequester less than 5% of that amount of carbon dioxide during the same period.

This mitigation plan will be in addition to a Payment in Lieu of Taxes Agreement (PILOT) that the Applicant proposes to enter upon with the Town. Please refer to the attached PILOT Toolkit, which is information and guidance provided by the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA). As you can see, the proposed range for PILOT payments in the ConEd Territory is from a base of \$3,700 to a high of \$11,100 per MW AC of capacity. The reason for the range is that each Solar Project has individual characteristics which greatly affect its profitability. In this case, the Applicant is proposing to make payment to the Town at the top end of the NYSERDA Guidance, that is \$11,100 per MW AC. Though some of the project specific characteristics are higher than the NYSERDA Base Case which was used to come up with the PILOT guidance, such as higher lease payments and utility interconnection costs, in the spirit of collaboration the Applicant does not propose any discounts to the PILOT rate. These payments will be made in addition to the standard property tax currently paid to the Town.

As currently designed, this proposed project has a capacity of approximately 1.87 MW AC. Based on the \$11,100 per MW AC payment, this equals an additional tax payment to the Town of approximately \$20,757 per year, or a total of approximately \$311,355 over the term of the PILOT Agreement. This provides great tax benefit to the Town without placing any burden on Town resources or services. More specifically, such projects do not use sewer or water, do not require trash pick-up or police or fire response and, most importantly, do not put any additional



children in the school system. As a result, all of this additional revenue can be used for enhancing Town programs and/or or infrastructure ... or to lower the tax burden for residents.

Your consideration of this mitigation plan is appreciated. Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses, Inc. looks forward to becoming a good corporate neighbor in the Town and to assisting in further enhancing the community in which you and the Planning Board justifiably take such pride. It is also excited to bring this clean, renewable electricity project to the Town.

Regards,

Joe Shanahan

Project Developer

Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses 100 Summit Lake Drive Valhalla, NY 10595 M: (978) 888-4088 E: <u>ShanahanJ@conedceb.com</u> W: <u>ConEdCEB.com</u>

CC: Town Supervisor Matthew Slater (By Email) Tree Conservation Advisory Commission Attn: Bill Kellner (By USPS)



Yorktown A Solar Farm

Town of Yorktown, Westchester County, NY



Landscaping & Planting for Mitigation Budget Cost Estimate

February 5, 2021

Item Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price (2020 \$)	Cost
Tree Plantings				
AC - Abies concolor - White Fir (6-7' Height)	EA	39	\$600	\$23,400
JV - Juniperus virginiana - Eastern Red Cedar (8' Height)	EA	59	\$700	\$41,300
PG - Picea glauca - White Spruce (8' Height)	EA	38	\$700	\$26,600
PP - Picea pungens - Colorado Spruce (8' Height)	EA	43	\$650	\$27,950
TO - Thuja occidentalis 'Emerald Green' - Emerald Green Arborvitae (5' Height)	EA	33	\$450	\$14,850
		S	UB-TOTAL	\$134,100
Basic Work Zone traffic Control (5%)	LS	1		\$6,705
Mobilization (4%)	LS	1		\$5,364
Survey Operations (2%)	LS	1		\$2,682
Erosion and Sediment Control (0.5%)	LS	1		\$671
			TOTAL	\$149,522
	Construe	ction Contin	gency (5%)	\$7,476
		GRA	ND TOTAL	\$156,998
			SAY	\$160.000

Assumptions:

1. Unit cost includes installation.

				Ma	ture Size			
Key	Qty.	Botanical Name	Common Name	Height	Spread	Installed Size	Condition	Approximate Size in 5 Year
Everg	reen T	rees		-				
AC	39	Abies concolor	White Fir	50-75' Ht.	20-30' Sprd.	6-7' Ht.	B&B	14-15 Ht. /10-12 Sord.
N	59	Juniperus virginiana	Eastern Red Cedar	30-60' Ht.	10-25' Sprd.	8" Ht.	B&B	15-16' Ht. /8-9' Sprd.
PG	38	Picea glauca	White Spruce	40-60' Ht.	10-20' Sprd.	8' Ht.	B&B	15-16' Ht. /8-9' Sprd.
PP	43	Picea pungens	Colorado Spruce	30-60' Ht	10-20' Sprd.	7-8' Ht.	B&B	14-15' Ht. /10-12' Sprd.
Everg	reen S	ihrubs					Salar an	
то	33	Thuja occidentalis 'Emerald Green'	Emerald Green Arborvitae	7-15 Ht	3-4' Sprd.	5' Ht.	B&B	7-8' Ht. /2-3' Sprd.
1. Ave	rage g	rowth rates were based on information	from the Arbor Day Foundation.				1	1
2. Size	in 5 v	ears represented on this table are app	oximate and do not take into acc	count exact site	conditions the tre	es will be planted in	1	

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator

1.87 MW AC Solar Project

3,132,000 kilowatt-hours of electricity







https://www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gas-equivalencies-calculator

3/3

Solar Payment-In-Lieu-Of-Taxes (PILOT)

Assisting New York State municipalities considering payment-in-lieu-of taxes (PILOT) agreements for community solar projects larger than one megawatt.



Solar Guidebook for Local Governments NYSERDA 17 Columbia Circle Albany, NY 12203

Section Content

1.	Con	nmunity Solar
2.	Rea	l Property Tax Law (RPTL) § 487 115
3.	Sola	ar PILOT Toolkit 116
	3.1 3.2 3.3	The Model Solar PILOT Law
4.	New	v York Model Solar Energy System DT Law
5.	Moo a Si	lel Solar PILOT Agreement for ngle Jurisdiction120

Overview

The following toolkit is for local governments in New York State who are considering a payment-in-lieu-of-taxes (PILOT) agreement for solar projects larger than one megawatt (MW). We provide resources for local governments to gain more information on PILOT agreements. A few notable resources within the toolkit are the New York Model Solar Energy PILOT Law, Model Solar PILOT Agreement for a single jurisdiction, and the PILOT calculator for taxing jurisdictions, which can be accessed here and under the PILOT toolkit section below.¹⁸

1. Community Solar

In addition to residential, commercial, and municipal projects, a relatively new kind of solar project, "community solar," has emerged as an efficient and affordable way for all New Yorkers to gain access to clean energy. Community solar projects are much larger, typically in the 2,000-kw range and allow individuals (including renters and others who cannot install a system on their own roof) to purchase individual panels or some fraction of the electricity the entire system generates. These customers receive credits for this electricity on their monthly utility bills.

A community solar project brings revenues and benefits to a community and its residents in several ways. The owner of a project site will typically lease land to the solar company in return for lease payments. Community solar customers, which may include municipalities, businesses, and residents, save money on their utility bills. Taxing jurisdictions can benefit from PILOT payments. At the same time, given the passive nature of a solar array, a solar project does not create increased demands on municipal services and infrastructure.

2. Real Property Tax Law (RPTL) § 487

As a measure to promote the installation of clean energy sources, the New York State legislature adopted a section of the RPTL § 487 that exempts the value of a solar panel system from local property taxes.¹⁹ Under the law, any increase in the property value attributable to the addition of the solar panel system is exempt from property tax. The RPTL § 487 exemption has been a cornerstone of the State's efforts to meet its clean energy goals, providing essential economic incentives for solar. The law does, however, allow any taxing jurisdiction (town, school, etc.) to "opt-out" of the tax exemption by adopting a local law or resolution, making the added value of a solar panel system fully taxable. Alternatively, a taxing jurisdiction that does not opt-out can require a solar developer to pay an annual fee or "payment- in-lieu of taxes" as a replacement for the taxes it would have otherwise collected. Under the law, PILOT amounts cannot exceed what the tax amount would have been without the exemption. Additionally, the law does not allow jurisdictions to partially opt out of the law to generate tax revenue from large solar projects while exempting the small systems of homeowners. Opting out of RPTL § 487 makes community solar projects financially unviable and makes homeowners' rooftop systems more expensive.

¹⁸ The terms "taxing jurisdictions" and "jurisdictions" include counties, cities, towns, villages and school districts.

¹⁹ New York State Real Property Tax Law § 487 provides a 15-year real property tax exemption for properties located in New York State with renewable energy systems, including solar electric systems. The law applies only to the value that a solar electric system adds to the overall value of the property; it does not mean that landowners with an installed renewable energy system are exempt from all property tax. Local governments have the option to opt out of RPTL § 487 and tax solar projects at the full property tax rate, but doing so can impact project economics in a way that unintentionally prohibits developers from building projects. For more information on RPTL § 487, see Understanding New York State's Real Property Tax Law § 487 fact sheet. A local government that does not of RPTL § 487 can still generate revenue through PILOT agreements.

NYSERDA understands that many communities have little or no experience with solar PILOT agreements or with assessing the value of large-scale solar projects. Information is difficult to obtain by consulting other communities because few communities have completed large-scale solar projects.

Two common questions have arisen from New York State municipal officials and other interested parties:

- (1) If we do not opt-out and seek a PILOT, what is a fair PILOT amount based on what projects can afford?
- (2) What are the steps to negotiate a successful PILOT agreement?

The answer to the first question is complicated, as PILOTs are often negotiated for individual projects, and the PILOT amount a project can afford depends on many factors, including construction and maintenance costs, and the amount of revenue from electricity sales. From the point of view of solar developers, if the PILOT amount is too high, they will not be able to make the project economically feasible and will not proceed. So, the amount of revenue available for a PILOT is dependent on the overall project economics. The first question then becomes, "What PILOT amount will allow the jurisdiction and its residents to enjoy the benefits of the project, but will not make the project financially unviable and unattractive to a developer?"

NYSERDA's research indicates that PILOT rates should be negotiable between 1% and 3% of the compensation solar developers receive for the electricity their projects generate.²⁰ This research includes an independent analysis of current solar market data and an analysis of solar project compensation rates established under the preliminary value stack in the New York Public Service Commission's March 2017 Value of Distributed Energy Resources (VDER) order. The new solar energy compensation methodology will likely reduce project revenue. NYSERDA will review and update its PILOT guidance regularly; taxing jurisdictions are encouraged to adjust their PILOT rates accordingly.

NYSERDA offers the Solar PILOT Toolkit as a resource to help municipalities and solar developers negotiate successful PILOT agreements. The following describes the Toolkit's contents.

3. Solar PILOT Toolkit

3.1 The Model Solar PILOT Law

The Model Solar PILOT Law, or resolution, provides a sample template for jurisdictions that wish to establish the legal authority to implement a formulaic, jurisdiction-wide PILOT agreement process with solar developers. The model law cites the appropriate laws to do so and includes blank fields for jurisdictions to fill in. The model law exempts projects smaller than 1 MW AC as the amount of PILOT revenue may not justify the cost of negotiating the PILOT.

3.2 The Model Solar PILOT Agreement

Only jurisdictions that do not opt out of RPTL § 487 may enter PILOT agreements. The Model Solar PILOT Agreement provides a draft contract that jurisdictions can sign with solar developers. The agreement can be tailored to meet a jurisdiction's specific needs and includes blank fields for the jurisdiction to fill in. Jurisdictions may negotiate PILOT rates with solar developers on a project-by-project basis or may adopt a jurisdiction-wide rate for certain types of solar panel systems, typically in the form of annual payments based on a dollar-per-MW rate.

²⁰ NYSERDA continuously assesses market data and Public Service Commission proceedings and may revise this Toolkit when appropriate.

3.3 The Solar PILOT Calculator

The Solar PILOT Calculator can be accessed here.

This tool provides PILOT rate guidance for solar projects and includes two separate calculators.²¹ Calculator One should be used to set a uniform PILOT rate across an entire jurisdiction.

The following table displays sample PILOT rates generated by Calculator One for a 2-MW AC community solar project in each utility service territory. The "Low" and "High" rates represent 1% and 3% of the compensation solar developers receive for the electricity their projects generate. NYSERDA's research of solar project economics across the State indicates that such projects should be able to afford rates within this range.

	Low (\$/MW AC)	High (\$/MW AC)
Central Hudson	\$2,600	\$7,600
Orange & Rockland	\$3,200	\$9,500
National Grid	\$1,700	\$5,100
NYSEG	\$1,700	\$5,000
Con Edison	\$3,700	\$11,100
Rochester Gas & Electric	\$1,700	\$5,000

Calculator Two should be used to set PILOT rates on a project-by-project basis. It is highly customizable, taking into account extensive project-specific data and all factors affecting solar project economics. Users may accept the default values but are encouraged to enter project-specific data. Calculator Two estimates PILOT rates based on the net present value of a project's unlevered cash flow that achieves a specified pre-tax internal rate of return.

²¹ Each calculator's outputs reflect the sum total of all PILOT payments, property taxes from taxing jurisdictions which have opted-out of the exemption, and special district taxes (which are not exempt under RPTL § 487).

117

RECEIVED

MAR 1 0 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

MEMO

FROM: Joe Shanahan, Project Developer, Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses

TO: Richard Fon, Chairman, Planning Board, Town of Yorktown

SUBJECT:	Proposed Solar Facility, 3849 Foothill Street
	Final Mitigation Plan

DATE: March 10, 2022

On October 23, 2021, the Applicant/Developer submitted a proposed Mitigation Plan to the Planning Board pursuant to the Town's Tree Ordinance, Chapter 270 of the Town Code. That Plan has since been discussed and revised in response to comments made by the Tree Conservation Advisory Commission, the Conservation Board, the Planning Board and the Board's attorney. This Memo is intended to incorporate all of the elements of the proposed Mitigation Plan in one document for the Planning Board's consideration.

Payment into the Tree Bank Fund

In accordance with Chapter 270, the Applicant/Developer proposes to make a payment into the Tree Bank Fund of \$207,356. This amount was calculated as follows: 1658 trees to be removed @ \$100 (\$165,800) and the 15.90 acres of the 34.23-acre site to be disturbed @ \$300 for every 5,000 square feet (\$41,556) for a total of \$207,356.

Conservation Restriction on the Remaining 19 Acres

In response to concerns expressed that after the solar project is developed on the approximately 15acre project site, the remaining approximately 19 acres of the 34-acre Lockwood parcel might still be developed for the installation of an additional solar project or a smaller version of one of the residential subdivisions which Mr. Lockwood has previously presented to the Town, Mr. Lockwood shall commit to enter upon a Conservation Restriction, or another restriction deemed acceptable to the Town, ensuring that the remaining 19 acres will NOT be developed, but left undisturbed and in its current natural state, for the life of the Lease for the solar project.

Plantings for Screening and Mitigation

The Applicant/Developer's proposal would leave undisturbed a 15-foot strip of existing vegetation along Foothill Street and further enhance that natural buffer with an additional 212 plantings, installed at a cost of \$160,000, to enhance the natural screening and in mitigation for the trees removed for the project.

Pollinator Habitat

Almost all of the 15.90 acres disturbed to develop the solar project will be returned to grass and meadow, using a pollinator seed and/or plantings, as suggested by a Certified Ecological Restoration Practitioner with whom the Applicant/Developer has previously consulted. The resulting much-needed pollinator habitat will provide valuable ecosystem services and positive climate impacts.

Pollution and the Greenhouse Gas Effect

While the development of the Lockwood parcel for any other use would result in vehicular traffic which would introduce fuel, oil, grease, road salt and other pollutants to the watershed and emissions which produce harmful greenhouse gases, the proposed solar project would not result in such pollutants nor produce air pollution, but would have a positive, indirect effect on the environment as solar energy replaces or reduces the use of other energy sources that have larger effects on the environment. This one 1.87 MW AC solar project would offset 2,214 metric tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) during its lifetime which is the equivalent of offsetting 478 passenger vehicles driven for one year or 5,494,911 miles driven by an average passenger vehicle. By comparison, the trees currently on the site which are to be removed for the solar project would sequester less than 1% of that amount of carbon dioxide during the same period.

PILOT Agreement

In addition to the proposed payment into the Tree Bank Fund (\$207,356), the Applicant/Developer has proposed entering upon a PILOT (Payment In Lieu Of Taxes) Agreement with the Town whereby it would pay the Town approximately \$20,757 per year in connection with the solar project, based upon a rate of \$11,100 per MW AC for this approximately 1.87 MW AC project. This would represent payments totaling approximately \$311,355 to the Town over the term of the PILOT Agreement, providing a great tax benefit without placing any burden on Town resources or services as such projects do not use sewer or water, require trash pick-up or police or fire response and, most importantly, do not put any additional children in the school system. As a result, all of this additional revenue can be used for enhancing Town programs and/or infrastructure improvements ... or to lower the tax burden for residents.





ECR	WD
Designed By:	Drawn By:
ККВ	ККВ
Date Issued:	Scale:
FEBRUARY 8, 2022	1" = 80'
Project Number:	
14847.00	



		REVISIONS		
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	REV.	CK'D
1	02/14/2022	UPDATED PER TOWN COMMENTS	WD	ECR









	SHEET INDEX				
C000	SHEET 1 OF	14	COVER SHEET		
C001	SHEET 2 OF	14	OVERALL SITE PLAN		
C002	SHEET 3 OF	14	SITE PLAN		
C003	SHEET 4 OF	14	GRADING / SWPPP PLAN		
C004	SHEET 5 OF	14	DETAILED GRADING PLAN		
C005	SHEET 6 OF	14	DRIVEWAY DETAILS		
C006	SHEET 7 OF	14	LANDSCAPING & PLANTING FOR MITIGATION PLAN		
C007	SHEET 8 OF	14	PHASING PLAN		
C008	SHEET 9 OF	14	GENERAL NOTES		
C009	SHEET 10 OF	14	EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS		
C010	SHEET 11 OF	14	EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL DETAILS		
C011	SHEET 12 OF	14	SITE DETAILS		
C012 & C013	SHEET 13 & 14 OF	14	CONSTRUCTION DETAILS		

CON EDISON CLEAN ENERGY BUSINESSES, INC.

YORKTOWN A SOLAR FARM SITE PLANS

FOOTHILL STREET TOWN OF YORKTOWN



LOCATION MAP 1"=500'

PROJECT INFORMATION:

LATITUDE:	<u>41.333 N</u>
LONGITUDE:	73.859 W

COUNTY:

TOWN: YORKTOWN WESTCHESTER

STATE: <u>NEW YORK</u>

PROJECT OWNER/APPLICANT:

CON EDISON CLEAN ENERGY BUSINESSES, INC 100 SUMMIT LAKE DRIVE VALHALLA, NY 10595 PH: (973) 600-4328 CONTACT: JOE SHANAHAN

	CON EDISON CLEAN ENERGY BUSINESSES, INC.
	100 SUMMIT LAKE DRIVE VALHALLA, NY 10595
	B BERGMANN Architects engineers planners
	Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C. 2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 office: 518.862.0325 www.bergmannpc.com
	REVISIONSNO.DATEDESCRIPTIONREV.CK'D11/28/2021PLAN REVISIONSWDECR211/22/2021PLAN REVISIONSWDECR
	PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
PREPARED BY:	COF NEW HO THE OF NEW HO THE C. REDOMO PARTIES OF THE STORE COF HERE IN THE STORE COF
C. BERGMANN 2 WINNERS CIRCLE, SUITE 102 ALBANY, NY 12205 PH: (518) 862-0325 CONTACT: ERIC REDDING, P.E.	Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C Note: Unauthorized alteration or addition to this drawing is a violation of the New York State Education Law Article 145, Section 7209. Project Manager: ECR Designed By: Designed By: Date Issued: OCTOBER 27, 2020 Project Number: 14847.00
CALL BEFORE YOU DIG ! NEW YORK LAW REQUIRES NOTICE AT LEAST 2 FULL WORKING DAYS, BUT NOT MORE THAN 10 FULL WORKING DAYS	COVER SHEET
Dig Safely. New York 1-800-962-7962	Drawing Number: COOOO 1 of 14

YORKTOWN A

SOLAR FARM

FOOTHILL STREET

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

WESTCHESTER COUNTY NEW YORK



100 SUMMIT LAKE DRIVE
VALHALLA, NY 10595

		REVISIONS		
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	REV.	CK'D
1	1/28/2021	PLAN REVISIONS	WD	ECR
2	11/22/2021	PLAN REVISIONS	WD	ECR

Project Manager:	Checked By:
ECR	ECR
Designed By:	Drawn By:
WD	WD
Date Issued:	Scale:
OCTOBER 27, 2020	1"=100'
Project Number:	
14847.00	

	~			
				\bigvee
/			SOFTBALL DIAMOND	
)				
			Ö	
				PROPO
			CLEARING (TYP.)	DETEN
			i i	
			xx	×
HUULL.		YORKTOWN .		
		TOWNSHIP LINE		
<u>EGEND:</u>				
	PROPERTY LINE SE	LIBACK - 50 FEET		
	PROPERTY/R.O.W.	LINE		*******
	EXISTING LOT LINE	ADJUSTMENT		EXCESS I
	PROPOSED GRAVEL	_ DRIVEWAY		BE STO
	FEMA 1% ANNUAL	CHANCE FLOOD HAZARD		100' OHWM SETBAC
	FEMA Ο 29 ΑΝΝΙΙΑ			
	TEMA 0.2% ANNOP	AL CHANCE LEGOD HAZARD		
	EXISTING FEMA RE	GULATORY FLOODWAY		
	EXISTING ROAD			
	ADJ. PROPERTY/R	.O.W. LINE (SURVEYED)		EXISTING ST
_xxx	FENCE LINE	· · · ·		FEMA 0.2%
				FLOOD HA
	CONTOUR - MAJC)R		Fema 1 % Flood H4
	CONTOUR - MINO			F
				·
20000000000000000000	EXISTING ROCK WA	ALL.		
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	PROPOSED LIMITS	OF TREE CLEARING		ST
MET	BERGMANN DELINE	ATED		
WET	PALUSTRINE EMER	B SHRUB WETLAND (PSS)		
· · · · · ·	¢ stream			
	100' WETLAND BU	FFFR		
<b>^ ^</b>				
	PROPUSED RUCK	WALL		
$\odot$	PROPOSED SCREE	NING TREES		
— SVL ———— SVL ———	PROPOSED SWALE			$\sim$
SITE	PLAN DATA TABLE	]		
S LOCATED IN THE "R1-40" RESIDE	NTIAL ZONING DISTRICT.			
EL 15.07-1-5 N OF YORKTOWN, COUNTY OF WESTCH	ESTER			
E OF NEW YORK	OWNER(S) OF RECORD:		TA∰ 13.10-2-3.8	
I EDISON CLEAN ENERGY SINESSES, INC.	WILLIAM LOCKWOOD			1
7 SOMMIT LAKE UKIVE HALLA NY, 10595 78) 888-4088				l
-,				
ANS PREPARED BY:			Ś.	
WINNERS CIRCLE, SUITE 102 BANY, NY 12205				
8) 862-0325 CRIPTION	REQUIRED	PROPOSED		
LOT SIZE	2 AC.	34.2± AC.	00000	
n. Lot width N. Lot depth	150 FT 150 FT	1,011± FT 1,114± FT		
I. SIDE YARD SETBACK I. FRONT YARD SETBACK	50 FT 50 FT	50± FT 50± FT	TA# 13.10-3-5	15.07-1-2
I. REAR YARD SETBACK	50 FT	50± FT		RIC
(. LOT COVERAGE CLUDING PANFIS)	80%	11.3± %		
	1	]	N/F DAVID KOWATCH	
ELECTRIC	AL DATA CHART		TA <mark>#</mark> 13.10−3−6	
TEM SIZE	1,875 KW AC/2,337 K	W DC		
DULE TYPE	JINKO JKM390M-72-H	1LV		
	5,994			
DULE QUANTITY DULE WATTAGE	390			
DULE QUANTITY DULE WATTAGE	390 Chint			
DULE QUANTITY DULE WATTAGE /ERTER TYPE	390 CHINT (17) 100KW. (2) 85 KW (20	) KW BESS.		





# YORKTOWN A SOLAR FARM FOOTHILL STREET

TOWN OF YORKTOWN WESTCHESTER COUNTY NEW YORK

# CON EDISON CLEAN ENERGY BUSINESSES, INC.

100 SUMMIT LAKE DRIVE VALHALLA, NY 10595



Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C. 2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205

office: 518.862.0325

www.bergmannpc.com

		REVISIONS		
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	REV.	CK'D
1	1/28/2021	PLAN REVISIONS	WD	ECR
2	11/22/2021	PLAN REVISIONS	WD	ECR

# PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Note: Unauthorized alteration or addition to this drawing is a violation of the New York State Education Law Article 145, Section 7209.

Project Manager:	Checked By:
ECR	ECR
Designed By:	Drawn By:
WD	WD
Date Issued:	Scale:
<b>OCTOBER 27, 2020</b>	1" = <b>80</b> '
Project Number:	
14847.00	

# **GRADING / SWPPP PLAN**

Drawing Number:





		REVISIONS		
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	REV.	CK'D
1	1/28/2021	PLAN REVISIONS	WD	ECR
2	11/22/2021	PLAN REVISIONS	WD	ECR

Project Manager:	Checked By:	
ECR	ECR	
Designed By:	Drawn By:	
WD	WD	
Date Issued:	Scale:	
<b>OCTOBER 27, 2020</b>	1"=30'	

GENERAL NOTES:

FXCFFD 15%

SOILS AND COMPACTION LEVEL.

THAT IMPEDES STORM WATER DRAINAGE.

THAT IMPEDES STORM WATER DRAINAGE.

ROADWAY WIDTH TO BE DETERMINED BY CLIENT.

100 LINEAR FEET ALONG THE PROPOSED ROADWAY.

SEDIMENT IS OBSERVED WITHIN THE CLEAN STONE.

TO PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS.

LIFE OF THE ACCESS ROAD.

- 1. USE OF THIS DETAIL/CRITERION IS LIMITED TO ACCESS ROADS USED ON AN OCCASIONAL BASIS ONLY (I.E. PROVIDE ACCESS FOR MOWING, EQUIPMENT REPAIR OR MAINTENANCE)
- 2. LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD IS LIMITED TO LOW IMPACT IRREGULAR MAINTENANCE

ENGINEER, COMPACT TO THE DEGREE OF THE NATIVE IN SITU SOIL. DO NOT PLACE IN AN AREA

3. REMOVE STUMPS. ROCKS AND DEBRIS AS NECESSARY, FILL VOIDS TO MATCH EXISTING NATIVE

4. REMOVED TOPSOIL MAY BE SPREAD IN ADJACENT AREAS AS DIRECTED BY THE PROJECT

5. GRADE ROADWAY, WHERE NECESSARY, TO NATIVE SOILS AND DESIRED ELEVATION. MINOR

6. REMOVE REFUSE SOILS AS DIRECTED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. DO NOT PLACE IN AN AREA

THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD CROSS SLOPE SHALL BE 1.5% IN MOST CASES AND

SHOULD NOT EXCEED 6%. THE LONGITUDINAL SLOPE OF THE ACCESS DRIVE SHOULD NOT

9. LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD IS NOT INTENDED TO BE UTILIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION

WHICH MAY SUBJECT THE ACCESS TO SEDIMENT TRACKING. THIS SPECIFICATION IS TO BE DEVELOPED FOR POST-CONSTRUCTION USE. SOIL RESTORATION PRACTICES MAY BE APPLICABLE

BE VERIFIED BY SOIL PENETROMETER READINGS. THE PENETROMETER READINGS SHALL BE

10. TO ENSURE THAT SOIL IS NOT TRACKED ONTO THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD. IT

CONSTRUCTION AND UTILIZED TO REMOVE SEDIMENT FROM CONSTRUCTION VEHICLES AND

ON, OR OFF SITE. MAINTENANCE OF THE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD WILL BE REQUIRED IF

11. THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUCTED OR USED UNTIL ALL

12. PROJECTS SHOULD AVOID INSTALLATION OF THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD IN

13. THE DRAINAGE DITCH IS OFFERED IN THE DETAIL FOR CIRCUMSTANCES WHEN CONCENTRATED

TO HYDROLOGY, HOWEVER WHEN DEALING WITH 5%-15% GRADES NOT PARALLEL TO THE

APPLICABLE FOR SIZING AND STABILIZATION. DIMENSIONS FOR THE GRASSED WATERWAY SPECIFICATION WOULD BE DESIGNED FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC HYDROLOGIC RUNOFF CALCULATIONS, AND A SEPARATE DETAIL FOR THE SPECIFIC GRASSED WATERWAY WOULD BE

INCLUDED IN THIS PRACTICE. RUNOFF DISCHARGE WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE OUTLET

14. IF A ROADSIDE DITCH IS NOT UTILIZED TO CAPTURE RUNOFF FROM THE ACCESS ROAD, THE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD WILL HAVE A WELL-ESTABLISHED PERENNIAL VEGETATIVE COVER, WHICH

SHALL UTILIZE WOVEN GEOTEXTILE MATERIAL AS DETAILED IN FOLLOWING NOTES.

THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS IS COMPLETED DURING THE INITIAL PHASES OF

COMPARED TO THE RESPECTIVE RECORDED READINGS TAKEN PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, EVERY

SHALL NOT BE USED BY CONSTRUCTION VEHICLES TRANSPORTING SOIL, FILL MATERIAL, ETC. IF

EQUIPMENT PRIOR TO ENTERING THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD FROM ANY LOCATION

AREAS SUBJECT TO RUNOFF ONTO THE PERVIOUS ACCESS HAVE ACHIEVED FINAL STABILIZATION.

POORLY DRAINED ARES, HOWEVER IF NO ALTERNATIVE LOCATION IS AVAILABLE, THE PROJECT

FLOW COULD NOT BE AVOIDED . THE INTENTION OF THE DESIGN IS TO MINIMIZE ALTERATIONS

CONTOUR, A ROADSIDE DITCH MAY BE REQUIRED. THE NYS STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS FOR GRASSED WATERWAYS AND VEGETATED WATERWAYS ARE

REQUIREMENTS OF THE REFERENCED STANDARD. INCREASED POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF FROM

THE ASSOCIATED ROADSIDE DITCH MAY REQUIRE ADDITIONAL PRACTICES TO ATTENUATE RUNOFF

SHALL CONSIST OF UNIFORM VEGETATION (I.E. BUFFER), 20 FEET WIDE AND PARALLEL TO THE DOWN GRADIENT SIDE OF THE ACCESS ROAD. POST-CONSTRICTION OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PRACTICES WILL MAINTAIN THIS VEGETATIVE COVER TO ENSURE FINAL STABILIZATION FOR THE

15. THE DESIGN PROFESSIONAL MUST ACCOUNT FOR THE LIMITED USED PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD IN THEIR SITE ASSESSMENT / HYDROLOGY ANALYSIS. IF THE HYDROLOGY ANALYSIS SHOWS THAT THE HYDROLOGY HAS BEEN ALTERED FROM PRE- TO POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS (SEE APPENDIX A OF GP-0-15-002 FOR THE DEFINITION OF "ALTER THE HYDROLOGY ... "), THE DESIGN MUST INCLUDE THE NECESSARY DETENTION/RETENTION PRACTICES TO ATTENUATE THE

RATES (10 AND 100 YEAR EVENTS) TO PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS.

TO RESTORE CONSTRUCTION RELATED COMPACTION TO PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS AND SHOULD

GRADING FOR CROSS SLOPE CUT AND FILL MAY BE REQUIRED.

- ACCESS ASSOCIATED WITH RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS IN NEW YORK STATE.
- - 1. THE GEOGRID, OR COMPARABLE PRODUCT, IS INTENDED FOR USE IN ALL CONDITIONS, IN ORDER TO ASSIST IN MATERIAL SEPARATION FROM NATIVE SOILS AND PRESERVE ACCESS LOADS.
  - GRAVEL FILL MATERIAL SHALL CONSIST OF 1-4" CLEAN, DURABLE, SHARP
  - ANGLED CRUSHED STONE OF UNIFORM QUALITY, MEETING THE SPECIFICATION OF NYSDOT 703-02, SIZE DESIGNATION 3-5 OF TABLE 703-4. STONE MAY BE PLACED IN FRONT OF AND SPREAD WITH A TRACKED VEHICLE. GRAVEL SHALL
  - NOT BE COMPACTED. 3. GEOGRID SHALL BE MIRAFI BXG110 OR APPROVED EQUAL. GEOGRID SHALL BE
  - DESIGNED BASED ON EXISTING SOIL CONDITIONS AND PROPOSED HAUL ROAD
  - SLOPES 4. IF MORE THAN ONE ROLL WIDTH IS REQUIRED, ROLLS SHOULD OVERLAP A
  - MINIMUM OF SIX INCHES. 5. REFER TO MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATION FOR PROPER TYING AND
  - CONNECTIONS. 6. LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD SHALL BE DRESSED AS REQUIRED WITH
  - ONLY 1-4" CRUSHED STONE MEETING NYSDOT 703-02 SPECIFICATIONS.

BASIS OF DESIGN: TENCATE MIRAFI BXG110 GEOGRIDS; 365 SOUTH HOLLAND DRIVE, PENDERGRASS, GA; 800-685-9990 OR 706-693-2226; WWW.MIRAFI.COM

WOVEN GEOTEXTILE MATERIAL NOTES:

GEOGRID MATERIAL NOTES:

- 1. SPECIFIED GEOTEXTILE WILL ONLY BE UTILIZED IN PLACID SOILS. PLACID SOILS CONSIST OF POORLY DRAINED SOILS COMPOSED OF FINELY TEXTURED PARTICLES AND ARE PRONE TO RUTTING. PLACID SOILS ARE TYPICALLY PRESENT IN LOW-LYING AREAS WITH HYDROLOGIC SOILS GROUP (HSG) OF C OR D OR AS SPECIFIED FROM AN ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST, SOIL SCIENTIST OR GEOTECHNICAL DATA. 2. THE CONCERN OF POTENTIAL REDUCTION OF NATIVE INFILTRATION RATES DIE TO
- THE GEOTEXTILE MATERIAL WOULD NOT BE A SIGNIFICANT CONCERN IN POORLY DRAINED SOILS WHERE SEGREGATION OF PERVIOUS STONE AND NATIVE MATERIALS IS CRUCIAL FOR LONG TERM OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

BASIS OF DESIGN: TENCATE MIRAFI RSI-SERIES WOVEN GEOSYNTHETICS; 365 SOUTH HOLLAND DRIVE, PENDERGRASS, GA; 800-685-9990 OR 706-693-2226; WWW.MIRAFI.COM



NO SCALE



CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

- 1. STONE WILL BE PLACED ON A FILTER FABRIC FOUNDATION TO THE LINES GRADES AND LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE PLAN.
- 2. SET SPACING OF CHECK DAMS TO ASSUME THAT THE ELEVATIONS OF THE CREST OF THE
- 3. EXTEND THE STONE A MINIMUM OF 1.5' BEYOND THE DITCH BANKS TO PREVENT CUTTING AROUND THE DAM.
- 4. PROTECT THE CHANNEL DOWNSTREAM OF THE LOWESTCHECK DAM FROM SCOUR AND EROSION WITH STONE OR LINER AS APPROPRIATE.
- 5. ENSURE THAT CHANNEL APPURTENANCES SUCH AS CULVERT ENTRANCES BELOW CHECK DAMS ARE NOT SUBJECT TO DAMAGE OR BLOCKAGE FROM DISPLACED STONES.





# $\mathbf{v}$ - GRAVEL MATERIAL — GEOGRID MATERIAL

PERVIOUS ROAD TO BE FLUSH WITH ENTRANCE AND MATCH EXISTING ELEVATION



	$\backslash$
	$\backslash$
	$\backslash$
$\langle \rangle$	2
	$\land$
	$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle = \langle \rangle \langle \rangle \rangle$
イメーンビー	
	· ]/
	< $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
111	
	FXISTING VE
	LIMITS OF DISTUR
	1 .
	,
	/
	VEGETATION
	XXX
	$\times$ $\times$ $\times$
	XIAAA
_//	
//	
	- 2

LEGEND:	
Ø XX	PROPOSED TREE PLANTING
xxx	VEGETATION PROTECTION BARRIER
	SEED LIMIT LINE
	SEED SCHEDULE 'B'
	PROPOSED GRAVEL DRIVEWAY
	FEMA 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD HAZARD
	FEMA 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD HAZARD
	EXISTING FEMA REGULATORY FLOODWAY
	EXISTING ROAD
	ADJ. PROPERTY/R.O.W. LINE (SURVEYED)
XXX	FENCE LINE
$\cdots$	EXISTING VEGETATION
	PROPOSED LIMITS OF TREE CLEARING
LIM LIM	BERGMANN DELINEATED PALUSTRINE EMERGENT WETLAND (PEM) / PALUSTRINE SCRUB SHRUB WETLAND (PSS)
· · · · · · ·	Q STREAM
	100' WETLAND SETBACK

FARMLAND CLASSIFICATION BOUNDARY

### NOTES:

- 1. SEE SHEET C006 FOR LANDSCAPE NOTES.
- 2. SEE SHEET C007 FOR LANDSCAPE DETAILS.
- 3. SEE SHEET C009 FOR SEED SCHEDULES.

	PLANT LIST							
	Mature Size							
Key	Qty.	Botanical Name	Common Name	Height	Spread	Installed Size	Condition	Notes
Everg	green T	<b>Frees</b>						
AC	39	Abies concolor	White Fir	50-75' Ht.	20-30' Sprd.	6-7' Ht.	B&B	
тс	59	Tsuga Canadensis	Canadian Hemlock	40-70' Ht.	25-35' Sprd.	8' Ht.	B&B	
PG	38	Picea glauca	White Spruce	40-60' Ht.	10-20' Sprd.	8' Ht.	B&B	
PP	43	Picea pungens	Colorado Spruce	30-60' Ht.	10-20' Sprd.	7-8' Ht.	B&B	
Everg	jreen S	Shrubs						
TO	33	Thuja occidentalis 'Emerald Green'	Emerald Green Arborvitae	7-15 Ht.	3-4' Sprd.	5' Ht.	B&B	



REVISIONS

DESCRIPTION

1"=100'

7 of 14

REV. CK'D

WD ECR WD ECR



## **GENERAL NOTES**

- 1. THE UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THIS MAP HAVE BEEN PLOTTED FROM AVAILABLE SURVEYS AND RECORD MAPS, THEY ARE NOT CERTIFIED TO THE ACCURACY OF THEIR LOCATION AND/OR COMPLETENESS. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY THE LOCATION AND EXTENT OF ALL UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES AND UTILITIES PRIOR TO ANY DIGGING OR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THEIR VICINITY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE ALL EXISTING UTILITIES FIELD STAKED BEFORE STARTING WORK BY CALLING 1-800-962-7962.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM ALL WORK IN COMPLIANCE WITH TITLE 29 OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, PART 1926, SAFETY AND HEALTH REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION(OSHA).
- 3. HIGHWAY DRAINAGE ALONG ALL ROADS AND PRIVATE DRIVES SHALL BE KEPT CLEAN OF MUD, DEBRIS ETC. AT ALL TIMES.
- 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSULT THE DESIGN ENGINEER BEFORE DEVIATING FROM THESE PLANS.
- 5. IN ALL TRENCH EXCAVATIONS, CONTRACTOR MUST LAY THE TRENCH SIDE SLOPES BACK TO A SAFE SLOPE, USE A TRENCH SHIELD OR PROVIDE SHEETING AND BRACING.
- 6. IF SUSPICIOUS AND/OR HAZARDOUS MATERIAL IS ENCOUNTERED DURING DEMOLITION/CONSTRUCTION, ALL WORK SHALL STOP AND THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION SHALL BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY. WORK SHALL NOT RESUME UNTIL THE DEVELOPER HAS OUTLINED APPROPRIATE ACTION FOR DEALING WITH THE WASTE MATERIAL AND THE DEVELOPMENT PLANS ARE MODIFIED AS MAY BE NECESSARY.
- 7. EXCAVATED WASTE MATERIAL REMOVED FROM THE SITE SHALL BE PLACED AT A LOCATION ACCEPTABLE TO THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION.
- 8. AREAS DISTURBED OR DAMAGED AS PART OF THIS PROJECTS CONSTRUCTION THAT ARE OUTSIDE OF THE PRIMARY WORK AREA SHALL BE RESTORED, AT THE CONTRACTORS EXPENSE, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
- 9. UNLESS COVERED BY THE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS OR AS NOTED ON THE PLANS, ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS DATED MAY 1, 2008 AND ANY SUBSEQUENT REVISIONS.

## SITE STABILIZATION

- WHEN FINAL GRADE IS ACHIEVED DURING NON-GERMINATING MONTHS, THE AREA SHOULD BE MULCHED UNTIL THE BEGINNING OF THE NEXT PLANTING SEASON.
- MULCHES SHOULD BE APPLIED AT THE RATES SHOWN IN THE MULCH APPLICATION RATES TABLE. VERY LITTLE BARE GROUND SHOULD BE VISIBLE THROUGH THE MULCH.
- STRAW AND HAY MULCH SHOULD BE ANCHORED OR TACKIFIED IMMEDIATELY AFTER APPLICATION TO PREVENT BEING WINDBLOWN. A TRACTOR-DRAWN IMPLEMENT MAY BE USED TO "CRIMP" THE STRAW OR HAY INTO THE SOIL - ABOUT 3 INCHES. THIS METHOD SHOULD BE LIMITED TO SLOPES NO STEEPER THAN 3H:1V. THE MACHINERY SHOULD BE OPERATED ALONG THE CONTOUR. NOTE: CRIMPING OF HAY OR STRAW BY RUNNING OVER IT WITH TRACKED MACHINERY IS NOT RECOMMENDED.
- BEFORE SEEDING IS APPLIED THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SPREAD SOIL TO PREVENT PONDING AND CONFIRM THAT SOIL WILL SUSTAIN THE SEED GERMINATION AND ESTABLISHMENT OF VEGETATION.
- GRADED AREAS SHOULD BE SCARIFIED OR OTHERWISE LOOSENED TO A DEPTH OF 3 TO 5 INCHES TO PERMIT BONDING OF THE TOPSOIL TO THE SURFACE AREAS AND TO PROVIDE A ROUGHENED SURFACE TO PREVENT TOPSOIL FROM SLIDING DOWN SLOPE. COMPACTED SOILS SHOULD BE SCARIFIED TO A DEPTH OF 6 TO 12 INCHES, ALONG CONTOUR WHEREVER POSSIBLE, PRIOR TO SEEDING.
- TOPSOIL OR AMENDED SOIL SHOULD BE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE DISTURBED AREA TO A MINIMUM DEPTH OF 4 INCHES. SPREADING SHOULD BE DONE IN SUCH A MANNER THAT SODDING OR SEEDING CAN PROCEED WITH A MINIMUM OF ADDITIONAL PREPARATION OR TILLAGE. IRREGULARITIES IN THE SURFACE RESULTING FROM TOPSOIL PLACEMENT SHOULD BE CORRECTED IN ORDER TO PREVENT FORMATION OF DEPRESSIONS.
- TOPSOIL SHOULD NOT BE PLACED WHILE THE TOPSOIL OF SUBSOIL IS IN A FROZEN OR MUDDY CONDITION, WHEN THE SUBSOIL IS EXCESSIVELY WET, OR IN A CONDITION THAT MAY OTHERWISE BE DETRIMENTAL TO PROPER GRADING AND SEEDBED PREPARATION.
- WHEN USED AS A MULCH REPLACEMENT, THE APPLICATION RATE (THICKNESS) OF THE COMPOST SHOULD BE 1/2" TO 3/4". COMPOST SHOULD BE PLACED EVENLY AND SHOULD PROVIDE 100% SOIL COVERAGE. NO SOIL SHOULD BE VISIBLE.
- POLYMERIC AND GUM TACKIFIERS MIXED AND APPLIED ACCORDING TO MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS MAY BE USED TO TACK MULCH. AVOID APPLICATION DURING RAIN AND ON WINDY DAYS. A 24-HOUR CURING PERIOD AND A SOIL TEMPERATURE HIGHER THAN 45° F ARE TYPICALLY REQUIRED. APPLICATION SHOULD GENERALLY BE HEAVIEST AT EDGES OF SEEDED AREAS AND AT CRESTS OF RIDGES AND BANKS TO PREVENT LOSS BY WIND. THE REMAINDER OF THE AREA SHOULD HAVE BINDER APPLIED UNIFORMLY. BINDERS MAY BE APPLIED AFTER MULCH IS SPREAD OR SPRAYED INTO THE MULCH AS IT IS BEING BLOWN ONTO THE SOIL. APPLYING STRAW AND BINDER TOGETHER IS GENERALLY MORE EFFECTIVE.
- SYNTHETIC BINDERS, OR CHEMICAL BINDERS, MAY BE USED AS RECOMMENDED BY THE MANUFACTURER TO ANCHOR MULCH PROVIDED SUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION IS PROVIDED TO SHOW THEY ARE NON-TOXIC TO NATIVE PLANT AND ANIMAL SPECIES.
- MULCH ON SLOPES OF 8% OR STEEPER SHOULD BE HELD IN PLACE WITH NETTING. LIGHTWEIGHT PLASTIC, FIBER, OR PAPER NETS MAY BE STAPLED OVER THE MULCH ACCORDING TO MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- SHREDDED PAPER HYDROMULCH SHOULD NOT BE USED ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 5%. WOOD FIBER HYDROMULCH MAY BE APPLIED ON STEEPER SLOPES PROVIDED A TACKIFIER IS USED. THE APPLICATION RATE FOR ANY HYDROMULCH SHOULD BE 2,000 LB/ACRE AT A MINIMUM.
- LIME, FERTILIZER, SEED, AND MULCH DISTURBED AREAS PER THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLANS. IN AREAS OF STEEP SLOPES OR OBVIOUS AREAS WHERE POTENTIAL EROSION MAY OCCUR, AN EROSION CONTROL MAT OR FLEXIBLE GROWTH MEDIUM (FGM) SHALL BE USED. FGM SHALL BE APPLIED PER MANUFACTURER SPECIFICATIONS.
- ONCE A SECTION OF THE ALIGNMENT HAS BEEN STABILIZED, NO CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC SHALL OCCUR TO REMOVE ANY BMPS UNTIL THE SECTION HAS ACHIEVED 80% PERENNIAL VEGETATIVE COVER. AN AREA SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO HAVE ACHIEVED FINAL STABILIZATION WHEN IT HAS A MINIMUM 80% PERENNIAL VEGETATIVE COVER OR OTHER PERMANENT NONVEGETATIVE COVER WITH A DENSITY SUFFICIENT TO RESIST ACCELERATED EROSION AND SUBSURFACE CHARACTERISTICS SUFFICIENT TO RESIST SLIDING OR OTHER MOVEMENTS.

## WASTE/HAZARDOUS MATERIAL PRACTICES

- WHENEVER POSSIBLE COVERED TRASH CONTAINERS SHOULD BE USED.
- 2. DAILY SITE CLEANUP IS REQUIRED TO REDUCE DEBRIS AND POLLUTANTS IN THE ENVIRONMENT.
- 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A SAFE STORAGE SPACE FOR ALL PAINTS, STAINS AND SOLVENTS INSIDE A COVERED STORAGE AREA.
- 4. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A SAFE STORAGE AREA FOR PESTICIDES AND FERTILIZERS.
- 5. ALL FUELS, OILS AND GREASE MUST BE KEPT IN CONTAINERS AT ALL TIMES

### STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN NOTES

1. THE DEVELOPER/OWNER/OPERATOR SHALL PROVIDE A QUALIFIED INSPECTOR TO INSPECT THE PROJECT AT THE END OF EACH WORK WEEK AND PROVIDE A REPORT AT LEAST ONCE PER WEEK.

2. INSTALL SILT FENCE, DIVERSION SWALES/BERMS, CHECK DAMS AND ALL OTHER EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AS INDICATED ON THE PLAN PRIOR TO THE START OF ANY EXCAVATION WORK. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEW YORK STATE GUIDELINES FOR URBAN EROSION SEDIMENT CONTROL MANUAL, NEW YORK STATE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, AND THE GOVERNING CITY REQUIREMENTS.

3. REMOVE AND STOCKPILE TOPSOIL AS DIRECTED BY THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER REPLACE TOPSOIL TO A MINIMUM 4" DEPTH WITH TOPSOIL OR AMENDED SOIL. ALL DISTURBED AREAS TO BE SEEDED TO PROMOTE VEGETATION AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE

4. IF THE SEASONS PROHIBITS TEMPORARY SEEDING, THE DISTURBED AREAS WILL BE MULCHED WITH STRAW HAY OR EQUIVALENT AND ANCHORED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STANDARDS". NETTING OR LIQUID MULCH BINDER.

5. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND REMOVAL OF TEMPORARY SEDIMENTATION CONTROLS. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL NOT BE REMOVED BEFORE 80% UNIFORM VEGETATION HAS BEEN ACHIEVED.

6. INSTALL INLET PROTECTION, AND RIP RAP APRONS PROGRESSIVELY AS STORM SEWER, AND DISCHARGE POINTS ARE INSTALLED.

7. ALL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE TO BE REPLACED WHENEVER THEY BECOME CLOGGED OR INOPERABLE AND SHALL BE REPLACED AT A MINIMUM OF EVERY 3 MONTHS.

8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR RESTORATION OF TOPSOIL OR AMENDED TO ALL DISTURBED AREAS. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO MAINTAIN EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AT ALL TIMES.

9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DESIGNATE A MEMBER OF HIS/HER FIRM TO BE RESPONSIBLE TO MONITOR EROSION CONTROL, EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURES, TREE PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION.

10. ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE FINISH GRADED TO PROMOTE VEGETATION ON ALL EXPOSED AREAS AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE. STABILIZATION PRACTICES (TEMPORARY/PERMANENT SEEDING, MULCHING, GEOTEXTILES, ETC. MUST BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN SEVEN (7) DAYS WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES HAVE TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY CEASED, AND NOT EXPECTED TO RESUME WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS.

11. PAVED ROADWAYS MUST BE KEPT CLEAN AT ALL TIMES. ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS AND SEDIMENT SPOILS, DROPPED, WASHED OR TRACKED ONTO PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAYS MUST BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY.

12. DUST SHALL BE CONTROLLED BY WATERING.

13. ADJOINING PROPERTY SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM EXCAVATION AND FILLING OPERATIONS ON THE PROPOSED SITE.

14. DIVERSION SWALES/BERMS, AND SEDIMENT TRAPS SHOULD BE RELOCATED INWARD AS PERIMETER SLOPE CONSTRUCTION PROGRESSES AND RECONSTRUCTED TO THE NYS STANDARDS & SPECIFICATIONS AT THE END OF EACH DAY TO DIVERT RUNOFF FROM SLOPED AREAS AND DIRECT TO APPROPRIATE BASINS.

15. SLOPE TRACKING SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED ON ALL SLOPE 1 ON 3 OR GREATER AT THE END OF EACH WORK DAY AND PRIOR TO FINAL SLOPE GRADING AND STABILIZATION.

### SWPPP SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION

1. PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING HELD TO INCLUDE PROJECT MANAGER, OPERATOR'S ENGINEER, CONTRACTOR, AND SUB-CONTRACTORS PRIOR TO LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.

2. CONSTRUCT CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT AT LOCATIONS DESIGNATED ON PLANS.

3. INSTALL COMPOST SILT SOCK.

4. BEGIN SITE APPURTENANCE DEMOLITION.

5. BEGIN CLEARING AND GRUBBING OPERATIONS. CLEARING AND GRUBBING SHALL BE DONE ONLY IN AREAS WHERE EARTHWORK WILL BE PERFORMED AND ONLY IN AREAS WHERE CONSTRUCTION IS PLANNED TO COMMENCE WITHIN 14 DAYS AFTER CLEARING AND GRUBBING.

6. HAVE A QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AN ASSESSMENT OF THE SITE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION AND CERTIFY IN AN INSPECTION REPORT THAT THE APPROPRIATE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS DESCRIBED IN THE SWPPP AND REQUIRED BY THE NYSDEC PERMIT HAVE BEEN ADEQUATELY INSTALLED OR IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE OVERALL PREPAREDNESS OF THE SITE FOR THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.

7. STRIP TOPSOIL AND STOCKPILE IN A LOCATION ACCEPTABLE TO CONSTRUCTION MANAGER. WHEN STOCKPILE IS COMPLETE, INSTALL PERIMETER SILT FENCE, SEED SURFACE WITH 100% PERENNIAL RYEGRASS MIXTURE AT A RATE OF 2-4 LBS. PER 1000 SF. APPLY 90-100 LBS PER 1000 SF OF MULCH.

8. COMMENCE EARTHWORK CUT AND FILLS. THE WORK SHALL BE PROGRESSED TO ALLOW A REASONABLE TRANSFER OF CUT AND FILL EARTH FOR ROUGH GRADING AND EARTH MOVING. THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE GIVEN SOME LATITUDE TO VARY FROM THE FOLLOWING SCHEDULE IN ORDER TO MEET THE FIELD CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED. CONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW VARIATIONS TO SWPPP WITH DESIGN ENGINEER AND QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION. ALL CHANGES TO SWPPP DRAWINGS MUST BE DOCUMENTED WITHIN ONSITE SWPPP.

9. STABILIZE ALL AREAS AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE, IDLE IN EXCESS OF 7 DAYS AND IN WHICH CONSTRUCTION WILL NOT COMMENCE WITHIN 14 DAYS.

10. FOLLOWING ROUGH GRADING, UTILITY INSTALLATION SHOULD BEGIN, TRENCH EXCAVATION/BACKFILL AREAS SHOULD BE STABILIZED PROGRESSIVELY AT THE END OF EACH WORKDAY WITH SEED AND STRAW MULCH AT A RATE OF 100% PERENNIAL RYE GRASS AT 2-4 LBS/1000 SF MULCHED AT 90-100 LBS/1000 SF.

11. CONSTRUCT SWALES AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS.

12. STABILIZE ALL AREAS IDLE IN EXCESS OF 7 DAYS IN WHICH CONSTRUCTION WILL NOT COMMENCE WITHIN 14 DAYS.

13. AS ROADWAY AND ACCESS DRIVES ARE BROUGHT TO GRADE, THEY WILL BE STABILIZED WITH CRUSHED STONE SUBBASE AT A DEPTH SPECIFIED ON PLANS TO PREVENT EROSION AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE.

14. AS LANDSCAPED AREAS ARE BROUGHT TO GRADE, STABILIZE WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDING AND MULCHING PER SPECIFICATIONS.

15. REMOVE TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EXITS ONLY PRIOR TO GRAVEL ROAD CONSTRUCTION (THESE AREAS ARE TO BE CONSTRUCTED LAST).

16. THE DEVELOPER/OWNER/OPERATOR SHALL HAVE A QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AN ASSESSMENT OF THE SITE AND FINAL REPORT TO DETERMINE ALL PERMANENT STORMWATER MEASURES HAVE BEEN INSTALLED PER PLANS AND 80% UNIFORM GERMINATION/STABILIZATION HAS BEEN ACHIEVED PRIOR TO THE REMOVAL OF ALL REMAINING TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES.

### LANDSCAPE NOTES

- 1. ALL PLANTS MUST BE HEALTHY, VIGOROUS, AND FREE OF PESTS AND DISEASE.
- SAME HARDINESS ZONE AS THE PROJECT LOCATION.

- 7. ANY DISCREPANCY WITH QUANTITIES, LOCATIONS AND / OR FIELD CONDITIONS SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.
- MULCH DEPTH SHALL BE THREE (3) INCHES UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED.
- SPECIFICATIONS.
- OWNER.
- PERIOD.
- AND WATERED UNTIL A HEALTHY STAND OF GRASS IS OBTAINED.
- REQUIREMENTS: a) AN ORGANIC CONTENT OF 6-12%
- b) SOIL ACIDITY RANGE OF pH 6.0 TO pH 6.8 c) SOLUBLE SALTS OF 1000 PPM OR LESS d) MAXIMUM CLAY CONTENT OF 15-20%
- IMPORTED TOPSOIL. TOPSOIL ANALYSIS TO INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING DATA: a) pH FACTOR.
  - PERCENTAGE OF ORGANIC CONTENT BY WEIGHT
- Ph 6.0 TO 6.8 INCLUSIVE. 16. COMPOST SHALL MEET THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS:
- a) ORGANIC CONTENT OF 35-60% (DRY WEIGHT BASIS) b) LOOSE AND FRIABLE WITH MOISTURE CONTENT OF 35-60% (WET WEIGHT BASIS) c) PARTICLE SIZE SHALL BE <1/2 INCH (100% PASSING) i) SOLUBLE SALTS CONCENTRATION SHALL BE <4.0 MMHOS/CM (DS/M), MAXIMUM e) pH RANGE OF 6.0-8.5
- INSTALLATION.
- 20. SEE SHEET C007 FOR LANDSCAPE DETAILS.
- 22. EXISTING TREES TO REMAIN SHALL BE PROTECTED BY INSTALLING A TEMPORARY FENCE AT THE OUTER LIMITS OF THE TREE CANOPY.

2. STANDARDS SET FORTH IN "AMERICAN STANDARD FOR NURSERY STOCK", ANSI, Z60.1 (LATEST EDITION), REPRESENT GUIDELINE SPECIFICATIONS ONLY AND SHALL CONSTITUTE MINIMUM QUALITY REQUIREMENTS FOR PLANT MATERIAL.

3. ALL PLANTS MUST BE HARDY UNDER CLIMATE CONDITIONS THAT EXIST AT THE PROJECT SITE AND GROWN AT A NURSERY AT THE

4. NO SUBSTITUTIONS SHALL BE PERMITTED WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE OWNER OR OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

5. ALL TREES MUST BE STRAIGHT TRUNKED, INJURY FREE, AND FULL HEADED.

6. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING ALL QUANTITIES SHOWN ON THESE PLANS BEFORE PRICING THE WORK.

8. MULCH ALL ISLANDS AND PLANTINGS IN LAWN AREAS WITH DOUBLE GROUND BARK MULCH MADE FROM A MIXTURE OF HARDWOOD AND/OR SOFTWOOD. MULCH SHALL BE AGED A MIN. OF ONE (1) YEAR FOR PARTIAL DECOMPOSITION. IT SHALL BE SCREENED TO EXCLUDE PARTICLES LARGER THAN ONE (1) INCH IN DIAMETER. MATERIAL SHALL BE COMPOSED OF BARK AND HAVE A LOW WOOD CONTENT WITH NO HIDDEN WOODS FROM CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, PALLETS OR PRESSURE TREATED LUMBER AND BE FREE OF WEEDS, SEEDS, AND GREEN LEAF MATTER. IT SHALL BE NATURALLY DARK BROWN IN COLOR. NO DYED MULCH WILL BE ACCEPTED.

ANY PLANT WHICH DIES, TURNS BROWN, OR DEFOLIATES (PRIOR TO TOTAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE WORK) SHALL BE PROMPTLY REMOVED FROM THE SITE AND REPLACED WITH MATERIAL OF THE SAME SPECIES. QUANTITY AND SIZE MEETING ALL PLANT LIST

10. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FULLY MAINTAINING ALL PLANT MATERIALS (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: WATERING, SPRAYING, MULCHING, FERTILIZING, AND REMOVAL OF STAKES AND GUYS) AND LAWN AREAS UNTIL FINAL ACCEPTANCE BY THE

11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLETELY GUARANTEE ALL PLANT MATERIAL FOR A PERIOD OF ONE (1) YEAR. BEGINNING ON THE DATE OF FINAL ACCEPTANCE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROMPTLY MAKE ALL REPLACEMENTS BEFORE THE END OF THE GUARANTEE

12. ALL AREAS DISTURBED BY UTILITY INSTALLATION AND SITE GRADING ACTIVITY SHALL RECEIVE APPROVED TOPSOIL (TO A COMPACTED DEPTH OF FOUR (4) INCHES, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY THE GOVERNING MUNICIPALITY), BE FINE GRADED, SEEDED, MULCHED

13. ALL TOPSOIL SHALL BE SCREENED LOAM SURFACE SOIL, FREE OF STONES AND SHALL HAVE THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM

14. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING, AT THEIR EXPENSE, A CERTIFIED SOIL TEST ANALYSIS OF ON SITE AND / OR

MECHANICAL ANALYSIS, INCLUDING SIEVE ANALYSIS PROVIDING SEPARATE SAND, SILT AND CLAY PERCENTAGES.

d) NUTRIENT LEVELS INCLUDING NITROGEN, PHOSPHOROUS AND POTASSIUM

15. SHOULD TESTS AND ANALYSIS INDICATE THAT SOIL PROPOSED FOR USE IS DEFICIENT IN ANY OF THE ABOVE REQUIREMENTS; A SYSTEM OF AMELIORATING MAY BE PROPOSED FOR APPROVAL. ANY SYSTEM PROPOSED SHALL PROVIDE FOR AN ACIDITY RANGE OF

17. PLANTING MIX FOR PLANT PITS SHALL BE COMPOSED OF (2) PARTS IMPORTED OR ON-SITE SCREENED TOPSOIL AND (1) PART COMPOST. THE RATIO OF TOPSOIL TO COMPOST IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE BASED ON THE TESTING RESULTS FOR TOPSOIL.

18. LOCATIONS OF EXISTING BURIED UTILITIES SHOWN ON THE PLAN ARE BASED UPON BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION AND ARE TO BE CONSIDERED APPROXIMATE. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THE LOCATIONS OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINES ADJACENT TO THE WORK AREA. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REPAIRING ANY AND ALL DAMAGE TO UTILITIES, STRUCTURES AND SITE APPURTENANCES, ETC., WHICH OCCURS AS A RESULT OF THE LANDSCAPE

19. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR INSTALLING ALL PLANT MATERIAL PER DETAILS. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM THE DETAIL MUST BE APPROVED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.

21. UPON FINAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE LANDSCAPE INSTALLATION, THE OWNER WILL ASSUME MAINTENANCE OF THE LANDSCAPED AREAS.

# **YORKTOWN A SOLAR FARM FOOTHILL STREET**

TOWN OF YORKTOWN WESTCHESTER COUNTY **NEW YORK** 

# **CON EDISON CLEAN ENERGY BUSINESSES, INC.**

100 SUMMIT LAKE DRIVE VALHALLA, NY 10595



Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C. 2 Winners Circle, Suite 102

office: 518.862.0325

Albany, NY 12205

www.bergmannpc.com

		REVISIONS		
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	REV.	CK'D
1	1/28/2021	PLAN REVISIONS	WD	ECR
2	11/22/2021	FLAN REVISIONS	VVD	LUK

# PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**



Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Note Unauthorized alteration or addition to this drawing is a violation of the New York State Education Law Article 145, Section 7209.

ECR
Designed By: WD
Date Issued: OCTOBER 27, 2020
Project Number: <b>14847.00</b>

Drawing Number:

**AS NOTED** 

# GENERAL NOTES

CALL BEFORE YOU DIG ! NEW YORK LAW REQUIRES NOTICE AT LEAST 2 FULL WORKING DAYS, BUT NOT MORE THAN 10 FULL WORKING DAYS. BEFORE EXCAVATION IS SCHEDULED TO BEGIN DigISately, New York









EROSION CONTROL BLANKET STAPLE PATTERN

NO SCALE



NOTES:

1. PREPARE SOIL BEFORE INSTALLING BLANKETS, INCLUDING APPLICATION OF LIME, FERTILIZER, AND SEED.

EDGE OF BLANKET

IN 6"x6" TRENCH

- 2. BEGIN AT THE TOP OF THE SLOPE BY ANCHORING THE BLANKET IN 6" DEEP X 6" WIDE TRENCH. BACKFILL AND COMPACT THE TRENCH AFTER STAPLING.
- 3. ROLL THE BLANKETS (A.) DOWN OR (B.) HORIZONTALLY ACROSS THE SLOPE.
- 4. THE EDGES OF PARALLEL BLANKETS MUST BE STAPLED WITH APPROXIMATELY 2" OVERLAP.
- 5. WHEN BLANKETS MUST BE SPLICED DOWN THE SLOPE, PLACE BLANKETS END OVER END (SHINGLE STYLE) WITH APPROXIMATELY 12" OVERLAP. STAPLE THROUGH OVERLAPPED AREA, APPROXIMATELY 12" APART.
- 6. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS SHALL BE INSTALLED ON ALL 3:1 OR STEEPER SLOPES WITH A MINIMUM OF 6 INCHES OF TOPSOIL.
- 7. REFER TO STAPLE PATTERN DETAIL FOR ADDITIONAL STAPLE INFORMATION
- 8. THE USE OF FLEXIBLE GROWTH MEDIUM, BONDED FIBER MATRIX, OR POLYMER STABILIZED FIBER MATRIX, APPLIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATIONS, IS AN ACCEPTABLE ALTERNATIVE TO THE USE OF EROSION CONTROL BLANKET.

## EROSION CONTROL BLANKET

NO SCALE

	14847.00
CALL BEFORE YOU DIG ! NEW YORK LAW REQUIRES	SEI
NOTICE AT LEAST 2 FULL WORKING DAYS, BUT NOT MORE THAN 10 FULL WORKING DAYS, BEFORE EXCAVATION IS SCHEDULED TO BEGIN. Dig Safely. New York	Drawing Number:
1-800-962-7962	



11 of 14

	Upland Seed Mix	
Low-Growing W	/ildflower & Grass Mix - ERNMX #156	
Seeding Rate: 20 lb per ac	re with a cover crop of grain rye at 30 lb per acre	
SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	% OF MIX
Festuca ovina	Sheep Fescue, Variety Not Stated	63.60%
Lolium multiflorum (L. perenne var. italicum)	Annual Ryegrass	17%
Linum perenne ssp. lewisii	Perennial Blue Flax	8%
Rudbeckia hirta	Blackeyed Susan, Coastal Plain NC Ecotype	2%
Coreopsis lanceolata	Lanceleaf Coreopsis, Coastal Plain NC Ecotype	2%
Chrysanthemum leucanthemum	Oxeye Daisy	2%
Chrysanthemum maximum	Shasta Daisy	1%
Chamaecrista fasciculata (Cassia f.)	Partridge Pea, PA Ecotype	1%
Papaver rhoeas, Shirley Mix	Corn Poppy/Shirley Mix	1%
Achillea millefolium	Common Yarrow	0.5%
Aster oblongifolius (Symphyotrichum oblongifolium)	Aromatic Aster, PA Ecotype	0.5%
Eupatorium coelestinum (Conoclinium c.)	Mistflower, VA Ecotype	0.5%
Monarda punctata, Coastal Plain SC Ecotype	Spotted Beebalm, Coastal Plain SC Ecotype	0.5%
Asclepias tuberosa	Butterfly Milkweed	0.3%
Pycnanthemum tenuifolium	Slender Mountainmint	0.1%
	Company Information	
Erns	t Conservation Seeds, Inc.	
Address: 8884	4 Mercer Pike, Meadville, PA 16335	

SEED SCHEDULE 'A'

Phone: (800) 873-3321

Web: http://www.ernstseed.com

# * CURRENT ERNST SEED MIX COMPOSITION OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT * PROVIDE TEMPORARY SEEDING OF ANNUAL RYEGRASS (LOLIUM MULTIFLORUM) WITHIN SEEDING LIMITS AT RATE OF 20 LBS. PER ACRE

SEED SCHEDULE 'B'

	OBL EACW/Wotland Mix	
	ERNMX #120	
	Seeding Rate: 20 lb per acre or 1/2 lb per 1000 sq ft	
SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	% OF MIX
Elymus virginicus	Virginia Wildrye	20%
Poa palustris	Fowl Bluegrass	20%
Carex Iurida	Lurid Shallow Sedge	17%
Carex lupulina	Hop Sedge	9%
Carex scoparia	Blunt Broom Sedge	8%
Carex vulpinoidea	Fox Sedge	5%
Panicum clandestinum Dichanthelium c.	Deertongue 'Tioga'	5%
Sparganium eurycarpum	Giant Bur Reed	4%
Sparganium americanum	Eastern Bur Reed	3%
Juncus effusus	Soft Rush	3%
Carex crinita	Fringed Nodding Sedge	2%
Leersia oryzoides	Rice Cutgrass	2%
Scirpus cyperinus	Woolgrass	2%
Juncus tenuis	Path Rush	0.5%
	Company Information	
	Errot Concernation Soudo Inc	

Ernst Conservation Seeds Inc.

Address: 8884 Mercer Pike Meadville PA 16335

Phone: 800 873-3321

Web: http://www.ernstseed.com

* CURRENT ERNST SEED MIX COMPOSITION OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT * PROVIDE TEMPORARY SEEDING OF ANNUAL RYEGRASS (LOLIUM MULTIFLORUM) WITHIN SEEDING LIMITS AT RATE OF 20 LBS. PER ACRE

SITE STABILIZATION - SEED MIX

	SOIL A	AMENDMENT A	PPLICATION RA		NTS
SO	IL AMENDMENT	PER ACRE	PER 1,000 SQ. FT.	PER 1,000 SQ. YD.	NOTES
AGRICULTURAL LIME AGRICULTURAL LIME AGRICULTURAL LIME AGRICULTURAL LIME	6 TONS	240 LB.	2,480 LB.	OR AS PER SOIL TEST: MAY	
	10-10-20 FERTILIZER	1,000 L.B.	25 LB.	210 LB.	AGRICULTURAL FIELDS
DING	AGRICULTURAL LIME	1 TON	40 LB.	410 LB.	TYPICALLY NOT REQUIRED
TEMP	10-10-20 FERTILIZER	500 LB.	12.5 LB.	100 LB.	FOR TOPSOIL STOCKPILES
		COMF	POST STANDAR	DS	
ORGANIC MATTER CONTENT			80% - 100% (DRY WEIGHT BASIS)		
	ORGANIC POR	RTION		FIBROUS AND ELONGAT	ED
рН			5.5 - 8.0		
MOISTURE CONTENT		35% - 55%			
PARTICLE SIZE		98% PASS THROUGH 1" SCREEN			
S	OLUBLE SALT CON	CENTRATION		5.0 dS/m (mmhos/cm) MAXI	MUM
		МШСН			
MULCH TYPE		PFR 1 000	PFR 1 000	NOTES	
	PER ACRE	SQ. FT.	SQ. YD.		
	STRAW	3 TONS	140 LB.	1,240 LB.	EITHER WHEAT OR OAT STRAW, FREE OF WEEDS, NOT CHOPPED OR FINELY BROKEN
	НАҮ	3 TONS	140 LB.	1,240 LB.	TIMOTHY, MIXED CLOVER AND TIMOTHY, OR OTHER NATIVE FORAGE GRASSES
	WOOD CELLULOSE	1,500 LB.	35 LB.	310 LB.	DO NOT USE ALONE IN WINTER, DURING HOT AND DRY WEATHER OR ON STEEP SLOPES (> 3:1)
	WOOD	1,000 LB. CELLULOSE	25 LB.	210 LB.	WHEN USED OVER STRAW OR HAY
	WOOD CHIPS	4 - 6 TONS	185 - 275 LB.	1,650 - 2,500 LB.	MAY PREVENT GERMINATION OF GRASSES AND LEGUMES

### NOTES:

- PLANTING SEASON.
- 2. MULCHES SHOULD BE APPLIED AT THE RATES SHOWN IN THE MULCH THROUGH THE MULCH.
- 3. STRAW AND HAY MULCH SHOULD BE ANCHORED OR TACKIFIED IMMEDIATELY AFTER APPLICATION TO PREVENT BEING WINDBLOWN.
- MANNER THAT SEEDING CAN PROCEED WITH A MINIMUM OF ADDITIONAL PREPARATION OR TILLAGE.
- SEEDBED PREPARATION.
- VISIBLE.
- ON THE PLANS.
- OF EARTH DISTURBANCE.
- 9. WETLAND SEED MIX SHOULD BE INSTALLED ONLY IN DRY SWALE.

1. WHEN FINAL GRADE IS ACHIEVED DURING NON-GERMINATING MONTHS, THE AREA SHOULD BE TEMPORARILY STABILIZED UNTIL THE BEGINNING OF THE NEXT

APPLICATION RATES TABLE. VERY LITTLE BARE GROUND SHOULD BE VISIBLE

4. TOPSOIL SHOULD BE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE DISTURBED AREA TO A DEPTH OF 4 INCHES MINIMUM. SPREADING SHOULD BE DONE IN SUCH A

5. TOPSOIL SHOULD NOT BE PLACED WHILE THE TOPSOIL OF SUBSOIL IS IN A FROZEN OR MUDDY CONDITION, WHEN THE SUBSOIL IS EXCESSIVELY WET, OR IN A CONDITION THAT MAY OTHERWISE BE DETRIMENTAL TO PROPER GRADING AND

6. WHEN USED AS A MULCH REPLACEMENT, THE APPLICATION RATE (THICKNESS) OF THE COMPOST SHOULD BE 1/2" TO 3/4". COMPOST SHOULD BE PLACED EVENLY AND SHOULD PROVIDE 100% SOIL COVERAGE. NO SOIL SHOULD BE

7. BLANKETING SHALL BE USED ON ALL SLOPES 3H:1V OR STEEPER OR AS NOTED 8. PERMANENT STABILIZATION SHALL BE INSTALLED IMMEDIATELY UPON COMPLETION

YORK Sola	TOWN A R FARM
FOOTH	ILL STREET
TOWN O WESTCHE NE	F YORKTOWN STER COUNTY W YORK
CON EDI NERGY BU	ISON CLEAN SINESSES, INC.
100 SUMM VALHAL	IIT LAKE DRIVE LA, NY 10595
B BE Archited	RGMANN cts engineers planners
Bergmann Associates, Arc andscape Architects & Su Winners Circle, Suite 10 Albany, NY 12205	chitects, Engineers, urveyors, D.P.C. 2
office: 518.862.0325	
www.bergmannpc.com	
IO. DATE r	
1 1/28/2021 PL 2 11/22/2021 PL	AN REVISIONS WD ECR LAN REVISIONS WD ECR
PREL NOT FOR C	IMINARY ONSTRUCTION
LICENCE STO	DF NEW FOR C C. REDOMO B C C. REDOMO C C. REDO
opyright © Bergmann Associa andscape Architects & Survey ote: nauthorized alteration or addi	ates, Architects, Engineers, yors, D.P.C ition to this drawing is a violation of
	Law Article 145, Section 7209.
ne New York State Education	
ne New York State Education roject Manager: ECR Pesigned By: MD	Checked By: ECR Drawn By: WD
ne New York State Education roject Manager: ECR Designed By: WD Mate Issued: DCTOBER 27, 2020 roject Number:	Checked By: ECR Drawn By: WD Scale: AS NOTED







Drawing Number:











4" APPROVED TOPSOIL, SEE SPECIFICATIONS



# **YORKTOWN A SOLAR FARM FOOTHILL STREET** TOWN OF YORKTOWN WESTCHESTER COUNTY **NEW YORK CON EDISON CLEAN ENERGY BUSINESSES, INC.** 100 SUMMIT LAKE DRIVE VALHALLA, NY 10595 BERGMANN В ARCHITECTS ENGINEERS PLANNERS Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C. 2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 office: 518.862.0325 www.bergmannpc.com REVISIONS DATE DESCRIPTION REV. CK'D 1/28/2021 PLAN REVISIONS WD ECR WD ECR 11/22/2021 PLAN REVISIONS PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION** Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C Note Unauthorized alteration or addition to this drawing is a violation of the New York State Education Law Article 145, Section 7209. Project Manag ECR ECR Drawn By: Designed E WD **OCTOBER 27, 2020 AS NOTED** Project Numbe 14847.00

# CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

Drawing Number:












<u>NOTES:</u> 1. TYPICAL INSTALLATION DIMENSIONS MAY BE ADJUSTED TO SUIT FIELD CONDITIONS. 2. FINAL DESIGN AND ENGINEERING PLANS TO BE PROVIDED BY THE RACKING MANUFACTURER.

SIDE ELEVATION VIEW

FRONT ELEVATION VIEW

REAR ELEVATION VIEW



	ESTCI N	OF YOF HESTEF IEW YO	RKTON R COU RK	WN NTY	
C( Ener	ON E Gy B	DISON USINI	I CLI Esse	EAN Es, I	NC
10	0 SUN VALH	1MIT LA ALLA, N	KE DF Y 1059	RIVE 95	
В	BE	ERG	GINEERS	<b>A N</b> 5 plan	Ner
Bergmann Landscape 2 Winners ( Albany, NY	Associates Architects Circle, Suite 12205	, Architects, E & Surveyors, e 102	Engineers, D.P.C.		
office: 518	3.862.0325				
www.bergm	annpc.com				
NO. DAT	E	DESCRIPTIONS	ON ONS	REV. WD	CK'I ECF
2 11/22/2	UZ 1	T EAN INE VIOL	010	VVD	LOI
NOT	PRE FOR	ELIMIN	IARY TRU	CTIC	)N
NOT	<b>PRE</b> FOR	ELIMIN	IARY TRU	CTIC	<b>N</b>
NOT	PRE	LIMIN	iARY TRU	CTIC	<b>)</b> N
NOT	PRE FOR	LIMIN CONS		CTIC	<b>)</b> N
<b>NOT</b>	PRE FOR	CONS CONS	IARY TRU	CTIC	<b>)</b> N
NOT Copyright © B Landscape Are Note: Unauthorized the New York	PRE FOR	ELIMIN CONS	drawing is a a 145, Section	eers, violation on 7209.	<b>)</b> N
Copyright © B Landscape Are Note: Unauthorized of the New York Project Manager: ECR Designed By: WD	PRE FOR	ELIMIN CONS CONS	ARY TRU TRU A TRU A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	eers, violation of n 7209.	DN
Note: Unauthorized the New York Project Manager: ECR Designed By: WD Date Issued: OCTOBER 22	PRE FOR FOR	ELIMIN CONS	ARY TRU TRU TRU TRU TRU TRU TRU TRU TRU TRU	eers,	<b>)</b> N

CALL BEFORE YOU DIG !
NEW YORK LAW REQUIRES
NOTICE AT LEAST 2 FULL WORKING DAYS,
BUT NOT MORE THAN 10 FULL WORKING DAYS
BEFORE EXCAVATION IS SCHEDULED TO BEGIN
Dig Safely. New York
1-800-962-7962
$\checkmark$

12-12-79 (	(3/99)-9c
------------	-----------

# State Environmental Quality Review **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

Notice of Determination of Non-Significance

**Project Number** 

Date:

This notice is issued pursuant to Part 617 of the implementing regulations pertaining to Article 8 (State Environmental Quality Review Act) of the Environmental Conservation Law.

The Town of Yorktown, Planning Board, as lead agency, has determined that the proposed action described below will not have a significant environmental impact and a Draft Impact Statement will not be prepared.

#### Name of Action:

Foothill Street Solar Project

SEQR Status:	Type 1 Unlisted		
Conditioned Neg	gative Declar	ation:	☐ Yes ✔ No

#### **Description of Action:**

The applicant has proposed to install a 1.875 MW ground-mounted solar energy system and 1.875 MW battery energy storage system disturbing approximately 16 acres on a 34.23 acres in the R1-40 zoning district. The site is located at the address 3849 Foothill Street, Mohegan Lake, 10547, also known as Section 15.07, Block 1, Lot 5 on the Town of Yorktown Tax Map.

**Location:** (Include street address and the name of the municipality/county. A location map of appropriate scale is also recommended.)

3849 Foothill Street, Mohegan Lake, Town of Yorktown, Westchester County

#### **SEQR** Negative Declaration

#### **Reasons Supporting This Determination:**

(See 617.7(a)-(c) for requirements of this determination ; see 617.7(d) for Conditioned Negative Declaration)

1) This Negative Declaration is based on a Full Environmental Assessment Form last revised December 20, 2021.

2) The proposed solar array is allowed in all zoning districts.

3) The proposed solar array will have no impact on Town services.

4) A stormwater management plan will attenuate stormwater runoff and peak discharge from the site to pre-construction conditions through several measures including seeding the area within the perimeter fence with a pollinator seed and/or plantings implementation of the approved stormwater pollution prevention plan.

5) While the project requires the removal of 1658 protected trees and 16 acres of protected woodland, the area within the perimeter fence will be seeded with a low-growing seed mix or plantings, 212 plantings along Foothill Street, and a contribution will be made to the Town's tree bank to mitigate this impact.

6) The trees and shrubs proposed along Foothill Street will also provide screening of the site and limit to the greatest extent practicable the view of the solar panels from outside the parcel boundaries.

7) Construction of the array will be phased to keep the limits of disturbance at any one time to under 5 acres.

8) Emergency access to the site has been approved by the Town Fire Inspector.

9) The perimeter fencing will allow clearance under the fence for small animals to pass through the area unimpeded.

**If Conditioned Negative Declaration,** provide on attachment the specific mitigation measures imposed, and identify comment period (not less than 30 days from date of publication In the ENB)

#### For Further Information:

Contact Person: Robyn Steinberg, Town Planner

Address: 1974 Commerce Street, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Telephone Number: 914-962-6565

For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a Copy of this Notice is sent to:

Chief Executive Officer , Town / City / Village of Yorktown

Other involved agencies (If any)

Applicant (If any)

Environmental Notice Bulletin, 625 Broadway, Albany, NY 12233-1750 (Type One Actions only)

#### PLANNING BOARD TOWN OF YORKTOWN

#### RESOLUTION APPROVING SITE PLAN, SPECIAL USE PERMIT, STORMWATER PERMIT AND TREE REMOVAL PERMIT FOR FOOTHILL SOLAR

#### **RESOLUTION NUMBER: #22-00**

#### DATE:

On motion of ______, seconded by ______, and unanimously voted in favor by Fon, LaScala, Bock, and Garrigan the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS in accordance with the Planning Board's Land Development Regulations, Town of Yorktown Town Code Chapter 195, adopted February 4, 1969 and as amended, a formal application for the approval of a site plan and special use permit for a large-scale solar power generation system with submitted plans titled, "Yorktown A Solar Farm Site Plans," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., and dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021, was submitted to the Planning Board on behalf of Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "the Applicant"); and

WHEREAS the Applicant is proposing to construct a 1.875 MW capacity large scale solar energy system and 1.875 MW Tier 2 Battery Energy Storage System on approximately 16 acres of a 34.23 acre parcel in the R1-40 zoning district, located at 3849 Foothill Street, Mohegan Lake in the Town of Yorktown and owned by William Lockwood, also known as Section 15.07, Block 1, Lot 5 on the Town of Yorktown Tax Map (hereinafter referred to as "the Property"); and

WHEREAS pursuant to SEQRA:

- 1. The action has been identified as a Type I action because the proposed action involves the physical alteration of more than 10 acres.
- 2. The Planning Board has been declared lead agency on _____
- 3. A negative declaration has been adopted on ______ on the basis of a Full EAF dated December 20, 2021.

WHEREAS the applicant has submitted as part of his application the following maps and documents:

#### Site Plans

1. A drawing, sheet, C000, titled "Cover Sheet," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and

- 2. A drawing, sheet C001, titled "Overall Site Plan," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 3. A drawing, sheet C002, titled "Site Plan," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 4. A drawing, sheet C003, titled "Grading/SWPPP Plan," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 5. A drawing, sheet C004, titled "Detailed Grading Plan," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 6. A drawing, sheet C005, titled "Driveway Details," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 7. A drawing, sheet C006, titled "Landscaping & Planting for Mitigation Plan," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 8. A drawing, sheet C007, titled "Phasing Plan," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 9. A drawing, sheet C008, titled "General Notes," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 10. A drawing, sheet C009, titled "Erosion and Sediment Control Details," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 11. A drawing, sheet C010, titled "Erosion and Sediment Control Details," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 12. A drawing, sheet C011, titled "Site Details," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27,

2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and

- 13. A drawing, sheet C012, titled "Construction Details," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 14. A drawing, sheet C013, titled "Construction Details," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and
- 15. A drawing, sheet LOS, titled "Line of Sight Profile Site Plan," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated February 8, 2022, and last revised February 14, 2022; and
- 16. A drawing, sheet LOS-1, titled "Line of Sight Profile," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated February 8, 2022, and last revised February 14, 2022; and
- 17. A drawing, sheet LOS-2, titled "Line of Sight Profile," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated February 8, 2022, and last revised February 14, 2022; and
- 18. A drawing, sheet LOS-3, titled "Line of Sight Profile," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated February 8, 2022, and last revised February 14, 2022; and
- 19. A drawing, sheet C003, titled "Slope Heat Map Exhibit," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021; and

#### Additional Documents

- 20. A Tree Inventory, prepared by Bartlett Tree Experts, and dated June 28, 2021;
- 21. A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., and submitted January 28, 2021; and
- 22. A Wetland and Aquatic Resources Delineation Report, prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., and dated May 16, 2018; and

- 23. A noise analysis, prepared by Harris Miller Miller & Hanson Inc., and dated June 25, 2021; and
- 24. A noise analysis, prepared by Harris Miller Miller & Hanson Inc., for the Wellness Trail, and dated November 24, 2021; and
- 25. Photo simulations, prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., dated March 2021; and
- 26. A decommissioning plan and cost estimate, submitted in a memo from Joe Shanahan, Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses, dated April 23, 2021; and
- 27. Specification sheets for proposed the solar equipment; and
- 28. Specification sheets for proposed the battery storage equipment; and
- 29. A drawing, sheet PV04, titled "Three Line Diagram," prepared by ConEdison Solutions, and dated January 20, 2021; and
- 30. Draft Emergency Action Plan, prepared by ConEdison Clean Energy Businesses, and dated February 1, 2022; and
- 31. Employee Health and Safety Manual, prepared by ConEdison Clean Energy Businesses, and dated January 2022; and
- 32. A determination of no hazard from the Federal Aviation Administration, issued September 11, 2017; and

WHEREAS in a memo dated March 10, 2022, ConEdison Clean Energy Businesses outlined the Final Mitigation Plan proposed for the Proposed Solar Facility, 3849 Foothill Street, which consists of the following:

- A) This project requires removal of 1658 trees and the total area of tree removal is 15.90 acres, therefore a payment to the Tree Bank Fund in the amount of \$207,356.00; and
- B) A conservation restriction will be placed on the remaining approximately 19 acres of the parcel to ensure that this area will not be developed, and will be left undisturbed and in its current natural state, for the life of the Lease for the solar project.
- C) The proposed development would leave a 15 foot strip of existing vegetation

along Foothill Street undisturbed and further enhance this natural buffer with an additional 212 plantings; and

- D) The 15.90 acres to be disturbed for the installation of the solar project will be planted as grass and meadow using a pollinator seed and/or plantings as suggested by a Certified Ecological Restoration Professional; and
- E) The Applicant will enter into a PILOT agreement with the Town of Yorktown

WHEREAS the Planning Board has referred this application to the following boards and agencies and has received and considered reports of the following:

Boards & Agencies	Report Date
Conservation Board	11/05/20, 07/22/21, 09/01/21, 02/18/22
Environmental Consultant	11/01/21, 12/06/21, 04/04/22
Fire Inspector	11/17/20, 10/29/21, 12/04/21, 12/29/21
Town Engineer	11/09/20, 12/14/21
Tree Conservation Advisory Commission	03/22/21, 01/03/22, 01/10/22, 02/02/22
Westchester County Planning Board	11/13/20, 12/02/20
NYS OPRHP	05/21/18

WHEREAS the requirements of this Board's Land Development Regulations, Town Code Chapter 195, have been met; and

WHEREAS a Public Informational Hearing was held in accordance with \$195-39(B)(1) of the Yorktown Town Code on the said site plan application via Zoom video conference commencing and closing on April 12, 2021; and

WHEREAS having reviewed all current site plans, building plans, environmental plans and reports, comments and reports from Town professional staff, the public, and other interested and involved agencies associated with the application before it; and having conducted a public hearing held in accordance with \$195-39(B)(2) of the Yorktown Town Code on the said site plan application commencing on September 13, 2021 at Town Hall in Yorktown Heights, New York and closing on January 10, 2022 via Zoom video conference;

RESOLVED the Planning Board finds the proposed site plan meets all the requirements and special use permit standards set forth in § 300-81.4 Solar power generation systems and facilities and § 300-81.5 Battery energy storage systems; and

RESOLVED the Town's environmental consultant recommended habitat friendly perimeter fencing surround the project and the applicant will install 7 foot tall chain link fencing with a 6 inch clearance at the bottom to allow for animal passage; and

BE IT THEREFORE NOW RESOLVED that the application of Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses, Inc. for the approval of a site plan and special use permit for a large-scale solar power generation system and Tier 2 battery energy storage system with submitted plans titled "Yorktown A Solar Farm Site Plans," prepared by Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C., and dated October 27, 2020, and last revised November 22, 2021, be approved subject to the modifications and conditions listed below, and that the Chairman of this Board be and hereby is authorized to endorse this Board's approval of said plan upon compliance by the applicant with such modifications and requirements as noted below:

#### Modify plans to show:

1.		
2.		
3.		
5.	 	

#### Additional requirements prior to signature by the Planning Board Chairman:

4. Submission of fees as per town requirements in the form of separate checks made payable to the Town of Yorktown:

Tree Bank Fund \$207,356.00

- 5. Submission of a final Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer and Planning Board.
- 6. Submission of any applicable inspection fees and security, in a form satisfactory to the Town Attorney, to the Engineering Department as required by the Town Engineer. Fees to be determined after Planning Board approval and a complete final set of drawings are submitted to the Town Engineer.

7.

#### Additional requirements:

8. The owner, operator, or manager of the solar power generation system must conduct annual inspections of the site's approved landscaping, screening, and any other required vegetative plantings to ascertain the health, effectiveness, condition, and

viability of said plantings and submit these findings annually to the Town Engineer. Any dead or diseased vegetative material or any other deficiencies must be promptly replaced or repaired.

- 9. Solar Energy Systems shall comply with all applicable laws, including, as applicable, the Fire Code of the State of New York and Applicant must obtain all necessary permits from outside agencies.
- 10. All Large-Scale Solar Energy Systems shall maintain an emergency key box on site to provide for emergency access to the system and to provide for the storage of vital system information.
- 11. Prior to the issuance of any permits, submission of a decommissioning bond in an amount sufficient to cover the cost of decommissioning the system.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED to the extent any real property with a Solar Energy System approved herein is exempt from taxation to the extent of any increase in the assessed value thereof by reason of the inclusion of such Solar Energy System under New York Real Property Tax Law § 487, the property owner shall be required to enter a contract with the Town for payments in lieu of taxes ("PILOT"), as set forth in N.Y. R.P.T.L. § 487(9). The amount of such PILOT shall be set by the Town Board, upon recommendation of the Town Assessor. Said recommendation shall be based upon industry-recognized standards (e.g., the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) PILOT calculators). Under N.Y. R.P.T.L. § 487, Solar Energy Systems are not exempt from special district ad valorem taxes, which will be the responsibility of the property owner in addition to any PILOT payments; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that in accordance with Town Code Chapter 248, and Chapter 270, the application of Con Edison Clean Energy Businesses, Inc. for the approval of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan and Tree Removal Permit **#FSWPPP-T-026-21** is approved subject to the conditions listed therein; and

RESOLVED Permit **#FSWPPP-T-026-21** shall not be valid until it has been signed by the Chairman of this Board;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the owner, operator or manager of the solar power generation system must conduct annual inspections of the site's approved landscaping, screening, buffering, and any other required vegetative plantings or structures required under this approval. The inspection shall ascertain the health, effectiveness, condition and viability of such landscaping, screening, buffering, and any other required vegetative plantings or structures. The findings of each annual inspection shall be reported to the Town Engineer as a written report with photographs where necessary. Any dead or diseased vegetative material

Foothill Solar Site Plan & Special Use Permit Approval Resolution #22-00 Page 8 of 8

or any other deficiencies shall be promptly replaced or repaired by the site owner, operator, or manager. If such diseased, dead or deficient material is not promptly replaced or repaired to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer, the Town Engineer shall exercise enforcement action pursuant to Section §300-193 of the Town Code; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that unless a building permit has been issued by **<DATE>**, or a time extension has been granted by the Planning Board, this approval will be null and void.

# **Old Hill Farm Solar**



Weston & Sampson, PE, LS, LA, PC 1 Winners Circle, Suite 130, Albany, NY 12205 tel: 518-463-4400

# MEMORANDUM

TO:	Robyn A. Steinberg, AICP, CPESC Town of Yorktown, New York
FROM:	Daniel P. Biggs, RLA, ISA, CERP
DATE:	April 8, 2022
SUBJECT:	Old Hill Farm Solar – Tree Mitigation Town of Yorktown, New York

As requested, the Weston & Sampson PE, LS, LA, PC (Weston & Sampson) team completed a review of the proposed tree mitigation plan (dated 3/21/22 by Bergmann), and various memorandums between the applicant/consultant, and the Tree Conservation Advisory Committee for the Old Hill Farm Solar Farm project located at parcels 16.08-1-4 and 16.08-1-17 in the Town of Yorktown.

The subject parcel primarily consists of former agricultural fields within trees ranging in size from 8-in to 49-in. dbh, with an average size of 14.20-in. dbh. The subject parcel is surrounded by developed areas, roadways and utility infrastructure. Most of the former field areas consist of relatively common pioneer and colonizer species (cherry, tree of heaven, sweet birch, maple). Several larger "old growth" trees exist along the edges of the field areas and likely grew for many years while the fields were in operation. As a result, it does not appear that the forest areas proposed to be removed as a part of this project are a part of a contiguous old growth forest.

The following summarizes the total protected trees to be removed and associated mitigation costs. Attached to this memo is a copy of the Tree Removal/Mitigation Calculations:

<ul> <li>Total Protected Trees to be Removed (Fair-Good)</li> </ul>		366
Mitigation Ratio		5.08
<ul> <li>Total Replacement Trees to be Planted</li> </ul>		265
Total Protected Trees to be Mitigated (deduction of repl	acement trees planted)	314
Tree Fund Calculations		
<ul> <li>Total Cost for Protected Trees Removed</li> </ul>	\$ 31,379.73	
Total Cost for Woodland Tree Removal	\$ 16,027.80	
Total Tree Bank Fund Payment	\$ 47,407.53	

Based upon the requirements included in Chapter 270 – Trees of the Town Code, as well as the Total Protected Trees to be Removed (Fair-Good), Total Replacement Trees to be Planted and the Total Tree Bank Fund Payment, we believe the mitigation measures proposed for this project are adequate for the anticipated loss of forested areas.

Please do not hesitate to reach out with any comments or questions regarding our findings and summary of work for this project.

#### Certification

I certify that all the statements of fact in this appraisal are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that they are made in good faith.

and P. Biggs

Daniel P. Biggs, RLA, ISA (MA-5119A), CERP Registered Landscape Architect NY-002443-01 <u>4/8/2022</u> Date exp. 1/31/2023

Attachments: Attachment A- Tree Removal/Mitigation Calculations Table



gmann 12/29/21 memo			
Tree Removal Calculations		Qty	
Total Trees Surveyed		692	
Total Trees Removed (501 - 7" to 24"dbh / 77 - >24"dbh)		578	
Invasives - Deduction	72		
Dead/Poor Native - Deduction	140		
Total Protected Trees Removed (Fair-Good)		366	
Total DBH Protected Trees Removed (Fair-Good) = 5574" dbh			
Average DBH of Protected Trees (Fair-Good)	15.23 in.		
Tree Mitigation Calculations			
Ava, DBH of trees to be removed	15.23 in.		
Avg. DBH of replacement trees	3 in.		
Migation Batio		5.08	
Total Protected Trees Removed (per Bergmann 1/13/22 Landscape/Mitigation Plan)	366		
Total Replacement Rod.		1858	
Benjacement Trees to be Planted (per Bergmann 1/13/22   andscape/Mitigation Plan)	265		
Total Trees to be Mitigated		1593	
		014	
Total Protected Trees to be mitigated (deduction of replacement trees planted)		314	
Tree Fund Calculations			
Payment to Tree Bank Fund (per Total Protected Trees Removed)			
Cost per Lost Tree	\$ 100.00		
	314		
Total Protected Trees to be Mitigated (deduction of replacement trees planted)	*	91 970 79	
Total Protected Trees to be Mitigated (deduction of replacement trees planted) Total Cost for Protected Trees Removed	5	31,3/9./3	
Total Protected Trees to be Mitigated (deduction of replacement trees planted) Total Cost for Protected Trees Removed Payment to Tree Bank Fun (per Total sf of protected woodland removal)	\$	31,379.73	
Total Protected Trees to be Mitigated (deduction of replacement trees planted) Total Cost for Protected Trees Removed Payment to Tree Bank Fun (per Total sf of protected woodland removal) Cost per 5,000 sf	\$ \$ 300.00	31,3/9./3	
Total Protected Trees to be Mitigated (deduction of replacement trees planted) Total Cost for Protected Trees Removed Payment to Tree Bank Fun (per Total sf of protected woodland removal) Cost per 5,000 sf Total Protected Woodland Removal (per Bergmann 1/13/22 Landscape/Mitigation Plan)	\$ \$ 300.00 267.130 sf	31,379.73	
Total Protected Trees to be Mitigated (deduction of replacement trees planted)  Total Cost for Protected Trees Removed  Payment to Tree Bank Fun (per Total sf of protected woodland removal)  Cost per 5,000 sf  Total Protected Woodland Removal (per Bergmann 1/13/22 Landscape/Mitigation Plan)  Total Cost for Woodland Tree Removal	\$ \$ 300.00 <u>267,130 sf</u> \$	16.027.80	
Total Protected Trees to be Mitigated (deduction of replacement trees planted) Total Cost for Protected Trees Removed Payment to Tree Bank Fun (per Total sf of protected woodland removal) Cost per 5,000 sf Total Protected Woodland Removal (per Bergmann 1/13/22 Landscape/Mitigation Plan) Total Cost for Woodland Tree Removal Total Tree Bank Fund Payment	\$ 300.00 <u>267,130</u> sf <b>\$</b>	16,027.80	\$ 47 407 53



Weston & Sampson, PE, LS, LA, PC 1 Winners Circle, Suite 130, Albany, NY 12205 tel: 518-463-4400

# MEMORANDUM

TO:	Robyn A. Steinberg, AICP, CPESC Town of Yorktown, New York
FROM:	Daniel P. Biggs, RLA, ISA, CERP
DATE:	March 31, 2022
SUBJECT:	Old Hill Farm Solar – Wetland Verification Town of Yorktown, New York

As requested, the Weston & Sampson PE, LS, LA, PC (Weston & Sampson) team completed a review of the wetland boundary delineated by Ecological Solutions, LLC., including the site plan with wetland flagging, dated 3/21/21, as well as the NYSDEC approved wetland map with a validation date of 3/10/22.

A field visit was conducted on March 30th, 2022, to inspect the wetland boundary flagging. The wetland flagging stakes were in place and easily visible. Wetland flag numbers were not visible due to the time that has passed since the delineation in May 2018. However, flag numbers A-1 through A-18 were accounted for in the site review.

As a result, the wetland delineation in the field and on the above referenced maps accurately depicts the limits of the wetlands on the site.

Please do not hesitate to reach out with any comments or questions regarding our findings and summary of work for this project.

#### Certification

I certify that all the statements of fact in this appraisal are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that they are made in good faith.

Daniel P. Biggs, RLA, ISA (MA-5119A), CERP Registered Landscape Architect NY-002443-01

<u>3/31/2022</u> Date exp. 1/31/2023

**PROJECT CONTACTS** 

CIVIL ENGINEER

BERGMANN 2 WINNERS CIRCLE, SUITE 102 **ALBANY, NY 12205** CONTACT: ERIC REDDING, PE PHONE: 518.556.3631

**APPLICANT** HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC 227 GUARD HILL ROAD BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549 CONTACT: KATHRYN HOENIG PHONE: 914.953.5312

ELECTRICAL ENGINEER TBD

OWNER

OLD HILL FARM LLC 227 GUARD HILL ROAD **BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549** 

# PRELIMINARY DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR PROPOSED

# OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM SOLAR DEVELOPMENT 571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NEW YORK







### **DRAWING INDEX**

/ING NO.	DRAWING TITLE	SHEET NO.
:000	COVER	1
001	GENERAL NOTES	2
:002	AREA PARCEL PLAN	3
:003	EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN	4
:004	OVERALL SITE PLAN	5
:005	SITE PLAN	6
:006	GRADING & EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	7
:007	GRADING PLAN DETAILS	8
8008	LANDSCAPING & TREE MITIGATION PLAN	9
:009	PHASING PLAN	10
:010	DETAILS I	11
:011	DETAILS II	12
012	DETAILS III	13



2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD **BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549** 

# **OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM**

**571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535** 

Description
SED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
/ISED PER TREE ISSION COMMENTS
VISED PER FIRE
ED PER SITE VISIT FIRE DEPARTMENT
D PER ENGINEERING TMENT COMMENTS
/ISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
ISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
SED PER UPDATED /ETLAND AREA

### PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

#### Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead
ECR	ECR
Designer	Reviewer
AG	<b>WD</b>
Date Issued	Project Number
07/28/2021	14064 <b>.11</b>

Sheet Name

# **COVER**

**C000** 

1 of 13

INCE OF CONSTRUCTION:	STC	DRM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN NOTES:
RE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING HELD TO INCLUDE PROJECT MANAGER, OPERATOR'S ENGINEER, CONTRACTOR, AND	1.	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A QUALIFIED INSPECT
DNSTRUCT CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT AT LOCATIONS DESIGNATED ON PLANS.	2.	EROSION CONTROL MEASURES WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN GUIDELINES FOR URBAN EROSION SEDIMENT CONTROL
STALL PERIMETER SILT FENCE.	3	HEALTH, AND THE TOWN OF YORKTOWN REQUIREMENTS
EGIN CLEARING AND GRUBBING OPERATIONS. CLEARING AND GRUBBING SHALL BE DONE ONLY IN AREAS WHERE EARTHWORK ILL BE PERFORMED AND ONLY IN AREAS WHERE CONSTRUCTION IS PLANNED TO COMMENCE WITHIN 14 DAYS AFTER CLEARING	4.	UNTIL GROUND COVER IS ESTABLISHED. REMOVE AND STOCKPILE TOPSOIL AS DIRECTED BY THE
ND GRUBBING. DNSTRUCT GRAVEL ROAD TO BE USED DURING CONSTRUCTION		MINIMUM 4" DEPTH. ALL DISTURBED AREAS TO BE HYDRO MANAGER TO PROMOTE VEGETATION AS SOON AS PRAC
TRIP TOPSOIL AND STOCKPILE IN A LOCATION ACCEPTABLE TO CONSTRUCTION MANAGER. WHEN STOCKPILE IS COMPLETE, STALL PERIMETER SILT FENCE, SEED SURFACE WITH 100% PERENNIAL RYEGRASS MIXTURE AT A RATE OF 2-4 LBS. PER 1000 SF. PPLY 90-100 LBS PER 1000 SF OF MULCH.	5. 6.	IF THE SEASONS PROHIBITS TEMPORARY SEEDING, THE D OR EQUIVALENT AND ANCHORED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MAINTEN
OMMENCE EARTHWORK CUT AND FILLS. THE WORK SHALL BE PROGRESSED TO ALLOW A REASONABLE TRANSFER OF CUT AND LL EARTH FOR ROUGH GRADING AND EARTH MOVING. THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE GIVEN SOME LATITUDE TO VARY FROM THE DLLOWING SCHEDULE IN ORDER TO MEET THE FIELD CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED. CONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW VARIATIONS TO	7.	CONTROLS. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL NOT I BEEN ACHIEVED. ALL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE TO BE REPLACED
WPPP WITH DESIGN ENGINEER AND QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION. DNSTRUCT SOLAR ARRAY AREA IN THREE PHASES AS DETAILED IN SHEET C009 OF THIS PLAN SET. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSTRUCT EACH IASE INDIVIDUALLY AND SHALL NOT PROCEED TO THE FOLLOWING PHASE UNTIL THE SOLAR RACKING HAS BEEN INSTALLED AND THE PHASE	8.	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR RESTOR
REA HAS BEEN TEMPORARILY STABILIZED WITH SEED AND MULCH. EMOVE GRAVEL DRIVEWAY USED DURING CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCT THE PROPOSED PERVIOUS GRAVEL DRIVEWAY	9.	CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO MAINTAIN EROSION THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DESIGNATE A MEMBER OF HIS/I
FTER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SUCH AS THE INSTALLATION OF THE PANELS AND PERIMETER FENCE. THE SUB-GRADE ATERIAL WHERE THE DRIVEWAY IS TO BE INSTALLED SHALL BE DECOMPACTED PER NYSDEC'S "DEEP-RIPPING AND ECOMPACTION" MANUAL, DATED APRIL 2008. CONTRACTOR SHALL AVOID FREQUENT HEAVY TRAFFIC ON THE LIMITED USE ERVIOUS GRAVEL.	10.	CONTROL AND EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURES THROU ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE FINISH GRADED TO PRO AS PRACTICABLE. STABILIZATION PRACTICES (TEMPORA
S ROADWAY AND ACCESS DRIVES ARE BROUGHT TO GRADE, THEY WILL BE STABILIZED WITH CRUSHED STONE SUBBASE AT A EPTH SPECIFIED ON PLANS TO PREVENT EROSION AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE.		ETC.) MUST BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN SEVEN (7) DAYS W OR PERMANENTLY CEASED, AND NOT EXPECTED TO RES
TABILIZE ALL AREAS AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE, IDLE IN EXCESS OF 7 DAYS AND IN WHICH CONSTRUCTION WILL NOT	11.	PAVED ROADWAYS MUST BE KEPT CLEAN AT ALL TIMES. A DROPPED, WASHED OR TRACKED ONTO PUBLIC RIGHT-C
STALL UTILITIES. TRENCH EXCAVATION/BACKFILL AREAS SHOULD BE STABILIZED PROGRESSIVELY AT THE END OF EACH ORKDAY WITH SEED AND STRAW MULCH AT A RATE OF 100% PERENNIAL RYE GRASS AT 2-4 LBS/1000 SF MULCHED AT 90-100	12. 13.	DUST SHALL BE CONTROLLED BY WATERING. ADJOINING PROPERTIES SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM EX
FABILIZE ALL AREAS IDLE IN EXCESS OF 7 DAYS IN WHICH CONSTRUCTION WILL NOT RECOMMENCE WITHIN 14 DAYS.	14.	EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHOULD BE RELOCATED PROGRESSES AND RECONSTRUCTED TO THE NYS STAN
EMOVE TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EXITS AND PERIMETER SILT FENCE ONCE SITE HAS ACHIEVED 80% UNIFORM STABILIZATION.	15.	PERIMETER AREAS SHALL BE TEMPORARILY STABILIZED A AT THE END OF EACH WEEK WITH 100% PERENNIAL RYEA MULICH 00, 100 LBS, DEB, 1000, SE, OF WEED EREE, STRAW
AL NOTES:	16.	SLOPE TRACKING SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED ON ALL SLOPE
ECORD MAPS, THEY ARE NOT CERTIFIED TO THE ACCURACY OF THEIR LOCATION AND/OR COMPLETENESS. IT IS THE DNTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO VERIFY THE LOCATION AND EXTENT OF ALL UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES AND UTILITIES RIOR TO ANY DIGGING OR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THEIR VICINITY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE ALL EXISTING UTILITIES ELD STAKED BEFORE STARTING WORK BY CALLING 1-800-962-7962.		AND PRIOR TO FINAL SLOPE GRADING AND STABILIZATIC
HE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM ALL WORK IN COMPLIANCE WITH TITLE 29 OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, PART 1926, SAFETY AND EALTH REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION (OSHA).	<u>SITE</u>	E STABILIZATION:
GHWAY DRAINAGE ALONG ALL ROADS AND PRIVATE DRIVES SHALL BE KEPT CLEAN OF MUD, DEBRIS ETC. AT ALL TIMES.	1.	THE NEXT PLANTING SEASON.
HE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSULT THE DESIGN ENGINEER BEFORE DEVIATING FROM THESE PLANS.	2.	MULCHES SHOULD BE APPLIED AT THE RATES SHOWN IN T SHOULD BE VISIBLE THROUGH THE MULCH.
ALL TRENCH EXCAVATIONS, CONTRACTOR MUST LAY THE TRENCH SIDE SLOPES BACK TO A SAFE SLOPE, USE A TRENCH SHIELD R PROVIDE SHEETING AND BRACING. SUSPICIOUS AND/OR HAZARDOUS MATERIAL IS ENCOUNTERED DURING DEMOLITION/CONSTRUCTION, ALL WORK SHALL STOP	3.	STRAW AND HAY MULCH SHOULD BE ANCHORED OR TACK WINDBLOWN. A TRACTOR-DRAWN IMPLEMENTS MAY BE US THIS METHOD SHOULD BE LIMITED TO SLOPES NO STEEPE CONTOUR NOTE: CRIMPING OF HAY OR STRAW BY RUNNI
ND THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ONSERVATION SHALL BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY. WORK SHALL NOT RESUME UNTIL THE DEVELOPER HAS OUTLINED PPROPRIATE ACTION FOR DEALING WITH THE WASTE MATERIAL AND THE DEVELOPMENT PLANS ARE MODIFIED AS MAY BE ECESSARY.	4.	BEFORE SEEDING IS APPLIED THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SI SUSTAIN THE SEED GERMINATION AND ESTABLISHMENT C
CAVATED WASTE MATERIAL REMOVED FROM THE SITE SHALL BE PLACED AT A LOCATION ACCEPTABLE TO THE NEW YORK TATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION.	5.	GRADED AREAS SHOULD BE SCARIFIED OR OTHERWISE LO TOPSOIL TO THE SURFACE AREAS AND TO PROVIDE A ROU COMPACTED SOILS SHOULD BE SCARIFIED TO A DEPTH OF
REAS DISTURBED OR DAMAGED AS PART OF THIS PROJECTS CONSTRUCTION THAT ARE OUTSIDE OF THE PRIMARY WORK AREA HALL BE RESTORED, AT THE CONTRACTORS EXPENSE, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.	6.	SEEDING. TOPSOIL OR AMENDED SOIL SHOULD BE UNIFORMLY DIST
NLESS COVERED BY THE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS OR AS NOTED ON THE PLANS, ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE NEW ORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS DATED JANUARY 1, 2020 AND ANY SUBSEQUENT PPENDICES.		INCHES. SPREADING SHOULD BE DONE IN SUCH A MANNEL ADDITIONAL PREPARATION OR TILLAGE. IRREGULARITIES CORRECTED IN ORDER TO PREVENT FORMATION OF DEPF
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL PRACTICES.	7.	TOPSOIL SHOULD NOT BE PLACED WHILE THE TOPSOIL OF EXCESSIVELY WET, OR IN A CONDITION THAT MAY OTHER PREPARATION.
HENEVER POSSIBLE COVERED TRASH CONTAINERS SHOULD BE USED.	8.	WHEN USED AS A MULCH REPLACEMENT, THE APPLICATIC SHOULD BE PLACED EVENLY AND SHOULD PROVIDE 100%
AILY SITE CLEANUP IS REQUIRED TO REDUCE DEBRIS AND POLLUTANTS IN THE ENVIRONMENT.	9.	POLYMERIC AND GUM TACKIFIERS MIXED AND APPLIED AC
ONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A SAFE STORAGE SPACE FOR ALL PAINTS, STAINS AND SOLVENTS INSIDE A COVERED STORAGE REA. .L FUELS, OILS, AND GREASE MUST BE KEPT IN CONTAINERS AT ALL TIMES.		HIGHER THAN 45° F ARE TYPICALLY REQUIRED. APPLICATION DURING RAIN AND ON V HIGHER THAN 45° F ARE TYPICALLY REQUIRED. APPLICATION AT CRESTS OF RIDGES AND BANKS TO PREVENT LOSS BY UNIFORMLY. BINDERS MAY BE APPLIED AFTER MULCH IS S SOIL APPLYING STRAW AND BINDER TOGETHER IS GENER
DN & SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:	10.	SYNTHETIC BINDERS, OR CHEMICAL BINDERS, MAY BE USE
STALL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AS INDICATED ON THE PLAN PRIOR TO THE START OF ANY EXCAVATION WORK. EROSION	11.	MULCH ON SLOPES OF 8% OR STEEPER SHOULD BE HELD
EDIMENT CONTROL MANUAL, NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION, AND THE GOVERNING UNICIPAL REQUIREMENTS.	12.	SHREDDED PAPER HYDROMULCH SHOULD NOT BE USED ( APPLIED ON STEEPER SLOPES PROVIDED A TACKIFIER IS
THE SEASONS PROHIBITS TEMPORARY SEEDING. THE DISTURDED ADEAS WILL BE MULCHED WITH STRAWLINK OR FOUNDALENT.	13.	LB/ACRE AT A MINIMUM. LIME, FERTILIZER, SEED, AND MULCH DISTURBED AREAS F
ND ANCHORED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STANDARDS", NETTING OR LIQUID MULCH BINDER.		SLOPES OR OBVIOUS AREAS WHERE POTENTIAL EROSION MEDIUM (FGM) SHALL BE USED. FGM SHALL BE APPLIED PI
ROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE TO BE DEDI ACED WHENEVED THEY BECOME CLOCCED OF MODERADUE AND CLAUL DE	14.	UNCE A SECTION OF THE ALIGNMENT HAS BEEN STABILIZE UNTIL THE SECTION HAS ACHIEVED 80% PERENNIAL VEGE FINAL STABILIZATION WHEN IT HAS A MINIMUM 80% PEREN
LE CONTRACTOR SHALL RE RESPONSIBLE FOR RESTORATION OF TOROUT, OR AMENDER TO ALL DISTURDED AREAD, IT IS THE		COVER WITH A DENSITY SUFFICIENT TO RESIST ACCELER/ RESIST SLIDING OR OTHER MOVEMENTS.
THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR RESTORATION OF TOPSOIL OR AMENDED TO ALL DISTURBED AREAS. IT IS THE DISTURBED AREAS. IT IS THE DISTURATION OF TOPSOIL OR AMENDED AREAS. IT IS THE DISTURBED AREAS. IT DISTURB		
HE CONTRACTOR SHALL DESIGNATE A MEMBER OF HIS/HER FIRM TO BE RESPONSIBLE TO MONITOR EROSION CONTROL, ROSION CONTROL STRUCTURES, TREE PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION.		
LL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE FINISH GRADED TO PROMOTE VEGETATION ON ALL EXPOSED AREAS AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE. FABILIZATION PRACTICES (TEMPORARY/PERMANENT SEEDING, MULCHING, GEOTEXTILES, ETC.) MUST BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN EVEN (7) DAYS WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES HAVE TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY CEASED, AND NOT EXPECTED TO ESUME WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS.		
AVED ROADWAYS MUST BE KEPT CLEAN AT ALL TIMES. ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS AND SEDIMENT SPOILS, DROPPED, WASHED R TRACKED ONTO PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAYS MUST BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY.		
OUT THE TRACKING SHALL BE IMPLEDIED FROM EXCAVATION AND FILLING OPERATIONS ON THE PROPOSED SITE.		
	NUMBER         MARKENDER         MARKENDER	NUMBER OF CONTRACTOR         NIME           NUMBER OF CONTRACTOR NUMBER OF CONTRACT NUMBER OF CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR AND         NIME           NUMBER OF CONTRACTOR NUMBER OF CONTRACT NUMBER OF CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR AND         NIME           NUMBER OF CONTRACTOR NUMBER OF CONTRACT NUMBER OF CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR AN

FINAL SLOPE GRADING AND STABILIZATION.

RACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A QUALIFIED INSPECTOR TO INSPECT THE PROJECT AT THE END OF EACH EK AND PROVIDE A REPORT AT LEAST ONCE PER WEEK.

CONTROL MEASURES WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEW YORK STATE ES FOR URBAN EROSION SEDIMENT CONTROL MANUAL, WESTCHESTER COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ND THE TOWN OF YORKTOWN REQUIREMENTS.

RACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING THE BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP'S) OUND COVER IS ESTABLISHED.

ND STOCKPILE TOPSOIL AS DIRECTED BY THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER. REPLACE TOPSOIL TO A 4" DEPTH. ALL DISTURBED AREAS TO BE HYDROSEEDED AS DIRECTED BY THE CONSTRUCTION TO PROMOTE VEGETATION AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE.

SONS PROHIBITS TEMPORARY SEEDING, THE DISTURBED AREAS WILL BE MULCHED WITH STRAW HAY ALENT AND ANCHORED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STANDARDS", NETTING OR LIQUID MULCH BINDER.

OR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND REMOVAL OF TEMPORARY SEDIMENTATION S. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL NOT BE REMOVED BEFORE 80% UNIFORM VEGETATION HAS IIEVED.

ON CONTROL MEASURES ARE TO BE REPLACED WHENEVER THEY BECOME CLOGGED OR INOPERABLE L BE REPLACED WHEN THEY HAVE REACHED THE DESIGN LIFE INDICATED IN THE NYS GUIDELINES IN EROSION SEDIMENT CONTROL DESIGN MANUAL OR EVERY THREE MONTHS.

RACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR RESTORATION OF TOPSOIL TO ALL DISTURBED AREAS. IT IS THE TOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO MAINTAIN EROSION CONTROL MEASURES AT ALL TIMES.

RACTOR SHALL DESIGNATE A MEMBER OF HIS/HER FIRM TO BE RESPONSIBLE TO MONITOR EROSION AND EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURES THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION.

RBED AREAS SHALL BE FINISH GRADED TO PROMOTE VEGETATION ON ALL EXPOSED AREAS AS SOON ICABLE. STABILIZATION PRACTICES (TEMPORARY/PERMANENT SEEDING, MULCHING, GEOTEXTILES, T BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN SEVEN (7) DAYS WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES HAVE TEMPORARILY ANENTLY CEASED, AND NOT EXPECTED TO RESUME WITHIN FOURTEEN (14) DAYS.

ADWAYS MUST BE KEPT CLEAN AT ALL TIMES. ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS AND SEDIMENT SPOILS, , WASHED OR TRACKED ONTO PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAYS MUST BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY.

PROPERTIES SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM EXCAVATION AND FILLING OPERATIONS ON THE

CONTROL MEASURES SHOULD BE RELOCATED INWARD AS PERIMETER SLOPE CONSTRUCTION SES AND RECONSTRUCTED TO THE NYS STANDARDS & SPECIFICATION AT THE END OF EACH DAY.

R AREAS SHALL BE TEMPORARILY STABILIZED WITH SEED AND MULCH PROGRESSIVELY AT MINIMUM ID OF EACH WEEK WITH 100% PERENNIAL RYEGRASS MIX AT A RATE OF 2-4 LBS PER 1000 SF AND

CKING SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED ON ALL SLOPE 1 ON 3 OR GREATER AT THE END OF EACH WORK DAY R TO FINAL SLOPE GRADING AND STABILIZATION.

#### ION:

L GRADE IS ACHIEVED DURING NON-GERMINATING MONTHS, THE AREA SHOULD BE MULCHED UNTIL THE BEGINNING OF LANTING SEASON.

HOULD BE APPLIED AT THE RATES SHOWN IN THE MULCH APPLICATION RATES TABLE. VERY LITTLE BARE GROUND VISIBLE THROUGH THE MULCH.

) HAY MULCH SHOULD BE ANCHORED OR TACKIFIED IMMEDIATELY AFTER APPLICATION TO PREVENT BEING N. A TRACTOR-DRAWN IMPLEMENTS MAY BE USED TO "CRIMP" THE STRAW OR HAY INTO THE SOIL - ABOUT 3 INCHES. DD SHOULD BE LIMITED TO SLOPES NO STEEPER THAN 3H:1V. THE MACHINERY SHOULD BE OPERATED ALONG THE NOTE: CRIMPING OF HAY OR STRAW BY RUNNING OVER IT WITH TRACKED MACHINERY IS NOT RECOMMENDED.

EDING IS APPLIED THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SPREAD SOIL TO PREVENT PONDING AND CONFIRM THAT SOIL WILL IE SEED GERMINATION AND ESTABLISHMENT OF VEGETATION.

REAS SHOULD BE SCARIFIED OR OTHERWISE LOOSENED TO A DEPTH OF 3 TO 5 INCHES TO PERMIT BONDING OF THE ) THE SURFACE AREAS AND TO PROVIDE A ROUGHENED SURFACE TO PREVENT TOPSOIL FROM SLIDING DOWN SLOPE. D SOILS SHOULD BE SCARIFIED TO A DEPTH OF 6 TO 12 INCHES, ALONG CONTOUR WHEREVER POSSIBLE, PRIOR TO

R AMENDED SOIL SHOULD BE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE DISTURBED AREA TO A MINIMUM DEPTH OF 6 READING SHOULD BE DONE IN SUCH A MANNER THAT SODDING OR SEEDING CAN PROCEED WITH A MINIMUM OF PREPARATION OR TILLAGE. IRREGULARITIES IN THE SURFACE RESULTING FROM TOPSOIL PLACEMENT SHOULD BE D IN ORDER TO PREVENT FORMATION OF DEPRESSIONS.

HOULD NOT BE PLACED WHILE THE TOPSOIL OR SUBSOIL IS IN A FROZEN OR MUDDY CONDITION, WHEN THE SUBSOIL IS LY WET, OR IN A CONDITION THAT MAY OTHERWISE BE DETRIMENTAL TO PROPER GRADING AND SEEDBED ON.

) AS A MULCH REPLACEMENT, THE APPLICATION RATE (THICKNESS) OF THE COMPOST SHOULD BE  $\frac{1}{2}$ " TO  $\frac{3}{4}$ ". COMPOST PLACED EVENLY AND SHOULD PROVIDE 100% SOIL COVERAGE. NO SOIL SHOULD BE VISIBLE.

AND GUM TACKIFIERS MIXED AND APPLIED ACCORDING TO MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS MAY BE USED TO H. AVOID APPLICATION DURING RAIN AND ON WINDY DAYS. A 24-HOUR CURING PERIOD AND A SOIL TEMPERATURE AN 45° F ARE TYPICALLY REQUIRED. APPLICATION SHOULD GENERALLY BE HEAVIEST AT EDGES OF SEEDED AREAS AND OF RIDGES AND BANKS TO PREVENT LOSS BY WIND. THE REMAINDER OF THE AREA SHOULD HAVE BINDER APPLIED . BINDERS MAY BE APPLIED AFTER MULCH IS SPREAD OR SPRAYED INTO THE MULCH AS IT IS BEING BLOWN ONTO THE (ING STRAW AND BINDER TOGETHER IS GENERALLY MORE EFFECTIVE.

BINDERS, OR CHEMICAL BINDERS, MAY BE USED AS RECOMMENDED BY THE MANUFACTURER TO ANCHOR MULCH SUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION IS PROVIDED TO SHOW THEY ARE NON-TOXIC TO NATIVE PLANT AND ANIMAL SPECIES.

SLOPES OF 8% OR STEEPER SHOULD BE HELD IN PLACE WITH NETTING. LIGHTWEIGHT PLASTIC, FIBER, OR PAPER NETS APLED OVER THE MULCH ACCORDING TO MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

PAPER HYDROMULCH SHOULD NOT BE USED ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 5%. WOOD FIBER HYDROMULCH MAY BE STEEPER SLOPES PROVIDED A TACKIFIER IS USED. THE APPLICATION RATE FOR ANY HYDROMULCH SHOULD BE 2,000 A MINIMUM.

LIZER, SEED, AND MULCH DISTURBED AREAS PER THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLANS. IN AREAS OF STEEP OBVIOUS AREAS WHERE POTENTIAL EROSION MAY OCCUR, AN EROSION CONTROL MAT OR FLEXIBLE GROWTH GM) SHALL BE USED. FGM SHALL BE APPLIED PER MANUFACTURER SPECIFICATIONS.

CTION OF THE ALIGNMENT HAS BEEN STABILIZED. NO CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC SHALL OCCUR TO REMOVE ANY BMPS SECTION HAS ACHIEVED 80% PERENNIAL VEGETATIVE COVER. AN AREA SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO HAVE ACHIEVED ILIZATION WHEN IT HAS A MINIMUM 80% PERENNIAL VEGETATIVE COVER OR OTHER PERMANENT NONVEGETATIVE H A DENSITY SUFFICIENT TO RESIST ACCELERATED EROSION AND SUBSURFACE CHARACTERISTICS SUFFICIENT TO DING OR OTHER MOVEMENTS.



2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD **BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549** 

# **OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM**

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
3/21/2022	REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

### PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead	
ECR	ECR	
Designer	Reviewer	
AG	ECR	
Date Issued	Project Number	
07/28/2021	14064.11	

Sheet Name

# **GENERAL NOTES**





NUMBER	TAX ID	PARCEL OWNER	NUMBER	TAX ID	PAF
1	16.08-1-51	DANIELLE DISALVO	6	16.08-1-56	MICHAEI
2	16.08-1-50	TERRENCE & MURPHY	7	16.08-1-55	ТНС
3	16.08-1-49	LINDA EINFRANK	8	16.08-1-54	ALFREDO
4	16.08-1-48	LOUISE MILLER	9	16.08-1-53	MCHI
5	16.08-1-57	WILLIAM & OFRIAS	10	16.08-1-52	MICH

2022 11:28 AN

OMAS & FURIA

HELE & MILAZZO





2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549

# OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
3/21/2022	REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

# PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead	
ECR	ECR	_
Designer	Reviewer	
AG	ECR	_
Date Issued	Project Number	
07/28/2021	14064.11	

Sheet Name

# AREA PARCEL PLAN





![](_page_127_Picture_1.jpeg)

SCHEDULE B EXCEPTIONS:

- 5. COVENANTS, RESTRICTIONS, EASEMENTS AND AGREEMENTS FOUND OF RECORD:
- A. TERMS, CONDITIONS, EASEMENTS AND RESERVATIONS CONTAINED IN DEED MADE BY JAMES CURRY HILL, ET AL. TO WESTCHESTER LIGHTING COMPANY, DATED 9/19/1931 AND RECORDED 11/12/1931 IN LIBER 3193 CP. 313, AS MODIFIED BY: TRANSMISSION LINE AS SHOWN.
- (I) RELEASE MADE BETWEEN SMALL SHOPPING CENTERS VENTURE AND CONSOLIDATED COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC., RECORDED 10/2/1972 IN LIBER 7084 CP. 402 (RELEASES EASEMENTS GRANTED IN LIBER 3193 CP.313); AND
- (II) RELEASE OF EASEMENT MADE BETWEEN CONSOLIDATED COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC. AND SMALL SHOPPING CENTERS VENTURE, RECORDED 11/24/1972 IN LIBER 7094 CP. 647 (RELEASES EASEMENTS GRANTED IN LIBER 3193 CP.313). (SEE EXHIBIT A)
- B. UTILITY EASEMENT GRANT TO WESTCHESTER LIGHTING COMPANY AND NEW YORK TELEPHONE COMPANY, RECORDED 6/14/1940 IN LIBER 3837 CP. 48. (EXHIBIT B). DOES NOT AFFECT SUBJECT PROPERTY.
- C. GRANT OF PIPELINE EASEMENT TO ALGONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY, RECORDED 7/21/1952 IN LIBER 5118 CP. 386. (EXHIBIT C). DOES NOT AFFECT SUBJECT PROPERTY.
- D. UTILITY EASEMENT GRANT TO CONSOLIDATED COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC., RECORDED 10/9/1967 IN LIBER 6737 CP. 754. (EXHIBIT D) BLANKET IN NATURE
- E. NEW YORK TELEPHONE COMPANY EASEMENT AGREEMENT, RECORDED 10/10/1967 IN LIBER 6738 CP. 134. (EXHIBIT E) DOES NOT AFFECT SUBJECT PROPERTY.

#### GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN HEREON BASED ON UTILITY EVIDENCE VISIBLE AT GROUND SURFACE AND RECORD DRAWINGS AND ARE SUBJECT TO FIELD VERIFICATION BY EXCAVATION. UTILITIES SHOWN DO NOT PURPORT TO CONSTITUTE OR REPRESENT ALL UTILITIES LOCATED UPON OR ADJACENT TO THE SURVEYED PREMISES.
- 2. THE OFFSETS OR DIMENSIONS SHOWN HEREON, FROM THE PROPERTY LINES TO THE STRUCTURES, ARE FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE AND USE; THEREFORE, THEY ARE NOT INTENDED TO MONUMENT THE PROPERTY LINES OR TO GUIDE THE ERECTION OF FENCES, ADDITIONAL STRUCTURES OR ANY OTHER IMPROVEMENTS.
- 3. EASEMENTS AND/OR SUBSURFACE STRUCTURES RECORDED OR UNRECORDED ARE NOT GUARANTEED UNLESS PHYSICALLY EVIDENT ON THE PREMISES AT THE TIME OF THE SURVEY.
- 4. SUBJECT TO ALL RIGHTS, EASEMENTS, COVENANTS AND RESTRICTIONS OF RECORD.
- 5. REFERENCE IS MADE TO STEWART TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, TITLE NUMBER 837326 (S-NY-CP-BTA), EFFECTIVE DATE AUGUST 11, 2017.
- 6. BASIS OF BEARING IS NEW YORK STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM EAST ZONE. CONTROL WAS ESTABLISHED USING NYSNET VRS SYSTEM. THE HORIZONTAL DATUM IS RELATIVE TO NAD83
- THE VERTICAL POSITION OF THE HEREIN SURVEY IS BASED ON THE NYSNET RTK GPS NETWORK AND IS SUBJECT TO FURTHER ADJUSTMENT TO ANY LOCAL NGS BENCHMARKS. THE VERTICAL DATUM IS RELATIVE TO NAVD 1988

#### MAP REFERENCES:

- MAP ENTITLED "THE NEW YORK EDISON CO. JAMES CURRY HILL & THEODORE HILL JR. - PURCHASE, 132 KV RIGHT OF WAY BETWEEN PUTNAM-WESTCHESTER CO. LINE & MILLWOOD" DATED SEPT 3, 1931, N-664.
- 2. MAP ENTITLED "SUBDIVISION MAP OF JEFFERSON VALLEY INDUSTRIAL PARK NO 1 FOR JEFFERSON VALLEY CORP.", BY J. HENRY CARPENTER & CO., DATED FEB. 3, 1964, AND FILED IN THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE QN MAY 25, 1964 AS MAP NO. 13954.
- MAP ENTITLED "AMENDED SUBDIVISION MAP OF JEFFERSON VALLEY INDUSTRIAL PARK NO 1 FOR JEFFERSON VALLEY CORP.", BY J. HENRY CARPENTER & CO., DATED OCTOBER 2 1964, AND FILED IN THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE ON FEB 1, 1965 AS MAP NO. 14225.
- MAP ENTITLED "SUBDIVISION MAP SHOWING RE-SUBDIVISION OF JEFFERSON VALLEY INDUSTRIAL PARK NO. 1" BY J. HENRY CARPENTER & CO., LAST REVISED MAY 24, 1990, AND FILED IN THE WESTCHESTER COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE ON JUNE 11, 1990 AS MAP NO. 24181.

CERTIFICATIONS INDICATED HEREON SIGNIFY THAT THIS SURVEY WAS PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE EXISTING CODE OF PRACTICE FOR LAND SURVEYORS ADOPTED BY THE N.Y. STATE ASSOCIATION OF PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS. SAID CERTIFICATIONS SHALL RUN ONLY TO THE PERSON FOR WHOM THE SURVEY IS PREPARED, AND ON BEHALF OF THE TITLE COMPANY, GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY AND LENDING INSTITUTION LISTED HEREON, AND TO THE ASSIGNEES OF THE LENDING INSTITUTION OR SUBSEQUENT OWNERS.

		PROPERTY LINE
		ADJOINER PROPERTY LINE
		ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY
~~~~~~~~~~~~		STONE WALL
		ROAD CENTERLINE
OHE	OHE	OVERHEAD WIRE
→	→	STREAM CENTERLINE
		CONTOUR - MAJOR
		CONTOUR - MINOR
SWL	SWL	SWALE CENTERLINE
		EDGE OF ASPHALT
$\mathbf{\gamma} \mathbf{\gamma} \mathbf{\gamma}$	$\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$	EXISTING TREELINE
E	к к к к к к к к к к к к к к к к к к к	PALUSTRINE FORESTED WETLAND (PF
	-⊙-	UTILITY POLE
		IRON MONUMENT
	•	FOUND CONCRETE MONUMENT
		EXISTING SIGN
	•	GUY WIRE

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205

www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549

OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
3/21/2022	REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead
ECR	ECR
Designer	Reviewer
AG	ECR
Date Issued	Project Number
07/28/2021	14064.11

Sheet Name

EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN

Drawing Number

C003

//2022 11:29 A

SITE PLAN DATA TABLE				
SITE IS LOCATED IN THE "R1-	SITE IS LOCATED IN THE "R1-20" ONE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL			
PROPOSED USE: SOLAR ENE	RGY SYSTEM			
PARCEL 16.08-1-17 TOWN OF YORKTOWN, COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER STATE OF NEW YORK				
APPLICANT: POWERFLEX 805 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY, 120022 (917) 426-9523	OWNER(S) OF BEN REISMAN	FRECORD: N		
PLANS PREPARED BY: BERGMANN 2 WINNERS CIRCLE, SUITE 102 ALBANY, NY 12205 (518) 862-0325				
DESCRIPTION	REQUIRED	PROPOSED		
MIN. LOT SIZE	N/A	844,987± SF		
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH	N/A	900± FT		
MIN. SIDE YARD SETBACK	50 FT	50± FT		
MIN. FRONT YARD SETBACK	50 FT	51± FT		
MIN. REAR YARD SETBACK	50 FT	50± FT		

NOTES

1. REQUIRED ZONING STANDARDS REFLECT THE MOST STRICT RESIDENTIAL ZONING REQUIREMENTS OF THE TOWN OF YORKTOWN PER SECTION 300 ATTACHMENT 1 APPENDIX A RESIDENCE ZONE STANDARDS.

LEGEND

		 · —
		 . <u> </u>
~~~~~~	~~~~~~	 

OHE		OHE
$\longrightarrow$		→
x		x
OHE		OHE
		. LICE
		SWL
$\sim$	$\sim$	$\sim$
		e e
SWL		- SWL

_____

$\bigcap$	$\frown$	$\searrow$	$\gamma$	$\gamma$	Ý	Ŷ
58	Z	ZÕ		) DAC	795	<b>8</b> 7

-0-

PROPERTY LINE
SET BACK LINE
WETLAND SET BACK
STONE WALL
ADJOINER PROPERTY LINE
ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY
EXISTING ROAD CENTERLINE
EXISTING OVERHEAD WIRE
EXISTING STREAM CENTERLINE
PROPOSED FENCE LINE
PROPOSED OVERHEAD UTILITY LINE
PROPOSED UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINE
PROPSED SWALE
PROPOSED TREELINE
SWALE CENTERLINE
EXISTING BUILDING
EXISTING EDGE OF ASPHALT
EXISTING TREELINE
PROPOSED DRIVEWAY
PALUSTRINE FORESTED WETLAND (PFO)
PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL
EXISTING UTILITY POLE
PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL EXISTING UTILITY POLE

![](_page_128_Picture_12.jpeg)

1" = 80' SCALE BAI

![](_page_128_Picture_14.jpeg)

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549

# OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
3/21/2022	REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

### PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

### Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead
ECR	ECR
Designer	Reviewer
AG	ECR
Date Issued	Project Number
07/28/2021	14064.11

Sheet Name

# **OVERALL SITE PLAN**

Drawing Number

![](_page_128_Picture_27.jpeg)

![](_page_129_Figure_0.jpeg)

SITE PLAN DATA TABLE			
SITE IS LOCATED IN THE "R1-20" ONE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL			
PROPOSED USE: SOLAR ENE	RGY SYSTEM		
PARCEL 16.08-1-17 TOWN OF YORKTOWN, COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER STATE OF NEW YORK			
APPLICANT: POWERFLEX 805 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY, 120022 (917) 426-9523	OWNER(S) OF BEN REISMAN	FRECORD:	
PLANS PREPARED BY: BERGMANN 2 WINNERS CIRCLE, SUITE 102 ALBANY, NY 12205 (518) 862-0325			
DESCRIPTION	REQUIRED	PROPOSED	
MIN. LOT SIZE	N/A	844,987± SF	
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH	N/A	900± FT	
MIN. SIDE YARD SETBACK	50 FT	50± FT	
MIN. FRONT YARD SETBACK	50 FT	51± FT	
MIN. REAR YARD SETBACK	50 FT	50± FT	

#### NOTES

1. REQUIRED ZONING STANDARDS REFLECT THE MOST STRICT RESIDENTIAL ZONING REQUIREMENTS OF THE TOWN OF YORKTOWN PER SECTION 300 ATTACHMENT 1 APPENDIX A RESIDENCE ZONE STANDARDS.

#### LEGEND

· 000000000000000000000000000000000000	
OHE	
$\longrightarrow$	>
x	x
CHE	OHE
UGE	UGE
SML	— SWL ——
ΓΥΥΥΥΥ	$(\gamma \gamma)$
SWL	— SWL ———
	$\frown \frown \frown$
503036	BD
	wet a

	PROPERTY LINE
	SET BACK LINE
	WETLAND SET BACK
	STONE WALL
	ADJOINER PROPERTY LINE
	ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY
	EXISTING ROAD CENTERLINE
E	EXISTING OVERHEAD WIRE
» ———	EXISTING STREAM CENTERLINE
	PROPOSED FENCE LINE
ε ———	PROPOSED OVERHEAD UTILITY LINE
E	PROPOSED UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINE
L ———	PROPSED SWALE
$\sim$	PROPOSED TREELINE
	SWALE CENTERLINE
	EXISTING BUILDING
	EXISTING EDGE OF ASPHALT
$\frown$	EXISTING TREELINE
	PROPOSED DRIVEWAY
- WET	PALUSTRINE FORESTED WETLAND (PFO)
	PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

-O- EXISTING UTILITY POLE

• 6" BOLLARD

![](_page_129_Figure_9.jpeg)

BOLLARD LOCATION DETAIL SCALE: 1"=40'

![](_page_129_Picture_11.jpeg)

1" = 80' SCALE BAR

![](_page_129_Picture_13.jpeg)

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549

# OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
3/21/2022	REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

### PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

### Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead	
ECR	ECR	
Designer	Reviewer	
AG	ECR	
Date Issued	Project Number	
07/28/2021	14064.11	

Sheet Name

# SITE PLAN

Drawing Number

![](_page_129_Picture_26.jpeg)

![](_page_130_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### LEGEND

OHE	OHE
>	>
-	
x	— x —
	_ 0HF
UGE	— UGE ———
LOD	— LOD ———
$\sim\sim\sim\sim$	$\sim \sim \sim$
<b>T T T T T</b>	I I I
SILT	
$\frown$	$\sim$
	a a 1
YXYXY	X Y X
	$\mathcal{K}$
WET	
	1 4 1 4 1 4 1 H
<u></u>	<u> </u>

PROPERTY LINE SET BACK LINE WETLAND SET BACK STONE WALL ADJOINER PROPERTY LINE ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY EXISTING ROAD CENTERLINE EXISTING OVERHEAD WIRE EXISTING STREAM CENTERLINE PROPOSED FENCE LINE PROPOSED OVERHEAD UTILITY LINE PROPOSED UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINE LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE PROPOSED TREELINE SILT FENCE PROFILE ALIGNMENT EXISTING EDGE OF ASPHALT EXISTING TREELINE PROPOSED DRIVEWAY

PALUSTRINE FORESTED WETLAND (PFO)

PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL

-O- EXISTING UTILITY POLE

![](_page_130_Picture_8.jpeg)

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD **BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549** 

# **OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM**

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
3/21/2022	REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

## PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager ECR	Discipline Lead ECR
Designer	Reviewer
AG	ECR
Date Issued	Project Number
07/28/2021	14064 <b>.</b> 11

![](_page_130_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_130_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_130_Picture_20.jpeg)

7 of 13

![](_page_130_Picture_21.jpeg)

1" = 60' SCALE BA

![](_page_131_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_131_Figure_2.jpeg)

NO SCALE

![](_page_131_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### GEOGRID MATERIAL NOTES:

MAINTENANCE.

- 1. THE GEOGRID, OR COMPARABLE PRODUCT, IS INTENDED FOR USE IN ALL CONDITIONS, IN ORDER TO ASSIST IN MATERIAL SEPARATION FROM NATIVE
- SOILS AND PRESERVE ACCESS LOADS. 2. GRAVEL FILL MATERIAL SHALL CONSIST OF 1-4" CLEAN, DURABLE, SHARP
- ANGLED CRUSHED STONE OF UNIFORM QUALITY, MEETING THE SPECIFICATION OF NYSDOT 703-02, SIZE DESIGNATION 3-5 OF TABLE 703-4. STONE MAY BE PLACED IN FRONT OF AND SPREAD WITH A TRACKED VEHICLE. GRAVEL SHALL
- NOT BE COMPACTED. 3. GEOGRID SHALL BE ENHANCED WOVEN GEOTEXTILE (HP270 OR EQUIVALENT).
- GEOGRID SHALL BE DESIGNED BASED ON EXISTING SOIL CONDITIONS AND PROPOSED HAUL ROAD SLOPES.
- 4. IF MORE THAN ONE ROLL WIDTH IS REQUIRED, ROLLS SHOULD OVERLAP A MINIMUM OF SIX INCHES.
- 5. REFER TO MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATION FOR PROPER TYING AND
- CONNECTIONS. 6. LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD SHALL BE DRESSED AS REQUIRED WITH ONLY 1-4" CRUSHED STONE MEETING NYSDOT 703-02 SPECIFICATIONS.

#### BASIS OF DESIGN: TENCATE MIRAFI BXG110 GEOGRIDS; 365 SOUTH HOLLAND DRIVE, PENDERGRASS, GA; 800-685-9990 OR 706-693-2226; WWW.MIRAFI.COM

![](_page_131_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_131_Figure_15.jpeg)

GEOGRID MATERIAL

GRAVEL MATERIAL

![](_page_131_Figure_16.jpeg)

#### ENHANCED WOVEN GEOTEXTILE (HP270 OR EQUIVALENT)

- ENHANCED WOVEN GEOTEXTILE MATERIAL NOTES: 1. SPECIFIED GEOTEXTILE WILL ONLY BE UTILIZED IN PLACID SOILS. PLACID SOILS
- CONSIST OF POORLY DRAINED SOILS COMPOSED OF FINELY TEXTURED PARTICLES AND ARE PRONE TO RUTTING. PLACID SOILS ARE TYPICALLY PRESENT IN LOW-LYING AREAS WITH HYDROLOGIC SOILS GROUP (HSG) OF C OR D OR AS SPECIFIED FROM AN ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST, SOIL SCIENTIST
- OR GEOTECHNICAL DATA. 2. THE CONCERN OF POTENTIAL REDUCTION OF NATIVE INFILTRATION RATES DIE TO THE GEOTEXTILE MATERIAL WOULD NOT BE A SIGNIFICANT CONCERN IN POORLY DRAINED SOILS WHERE SEGREGATION OF PERVIOUS STONE AND NATIVE MATERIALS IS CRUCIAL FOR LONG TERM OPERATION AND

BASIS OF DESIGN: ENHANCED WOVEN GEOTEXTILE (HP270 OR EQUIVALENT)

#### GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. USE OF THIS DETAIL/CRITERION IS LIMITED TO ACCESS ROADS USED ON AN OCCASIONAL BASIS ONLY (I.E. PROVIDE ACCESS FOR MOWING, EQUIPMENT REPAIR OR MAINTENANCE)
- 2. LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD IS LIMITED TO LOW IMPACT IRREGULAR MAINTENANCE ACCESS ASSOCIATED WITH RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS IN NEW YORK STATE.
- 3. REMOVE STUMPS. ROCKS AND DEBRIS AS NECESSARY, FILL VOIDS TO MATCH EXISTING NATIVE SOILS AND COMPACTION LEVEL.
- 4. REMOVED TOPSOIL MAY BE SPREAD IN ADJACENT AREAS AS DIRECTED BY THE PROJECT
- ENGINEER, COMPACT TO THE DEGREE OF THE NATIVE IN SITU SOIL. DO NOT PLACE IN AN AREA THAT IMPEDES STORM WATER DRAINAGE. 5. GRADE ROADWAY, WHERE NECESSARY, TO NATIVE SOILS AND DESIRED ELEVATION. MINOR
- GRADING FOR CROSS SLOPE CUT AND FILL MAY BE REQUIRED. 6. REMOVE REFUSE SOILS AS DIRECTED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. DO NOT PLACE IN AN AREA
- THAT IMPEDES STORM WATER DRAINAGE. 7. ROADWAY WIDTH TO BE DETERMINED BY CLIENT.
- 8. THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD CROSS SLOPE SHALL BE 1.5% IN MOST CASES AND SHOULD NOT EXCEED 6%. THE LONGITUDINAL SLOPE OF THE ACCESS DRIVE SHOULD NOT EXCEED 15%.
- 9. LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD IS NOT INTENDED TO BE UTILIZED FOR CONSTRUCTION WHICH MAY SUBJECT THE ACCESS TO SEDIMENT TRACKING. THIS SPECIFICATION IS TO BE DEVELOPED FOR POST-CONSTRUCTION USE. SOIL RESTORATION PRACTICES MAY BE APPLICABLE TO RESTORE CONSTRUCTION RELATED COMPACTION TO PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS AND SHOULD BE VERIFIED BY SOIL PENETROMETER READINGS. THE PENETROMETER READINGS SHALL BE COMPARED TO THE RESPECTIVE RECORDED READINGS TAKEN PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, EVERY 100 LINEAR FEET ALONG THE PROPOSED ROADWAY.
- 10. TO ENSURE THAT SOIL IS NOT TRACKED ONTO THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD, IT SHALL NOT BE USED BY CONSTRUCTION VEHICLES TRANSPORTING SOIL, FILL MATERIAL, ETC. IF THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS IS COMPLETED DURING THE INITIAL PHASES OF CONSTRUCTION AND UTILIZED TO REMOVE SEDIMENT FROM CONSTRUCTION VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT PRIOR TO ENTERING THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD FROM ANY LOCATION ON, OR OFF SITE. MAINTENANCE OF THE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD WILL BE
- REQUIRED IF SEDIMENT IS OBSERVED WITHIN THE CLEAN STONE. 11. THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUCTED OR USED UNTIL ALL AREAS SUBJECT TO RUNOFF ONTO THE PERVIOUS ACCESS HAVE ACHIEVED FINAL STABILIZATION.
- 12. PROJECTS SHOULD AVOID INSTALLATION OF THE LIMITED USE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD IN POORLY DRAINED ARES, HOWEVER IF NO ALTERNATIVE LOCATION IS AVAILABLE, THE PROJECT SHALL UTILIZE WOVEN GEOTEXTILE MATERIAL AS DETAILED IN FOLLOWING NOTES.
- 13. THE DRAINAGE DITCH IS OFFERED IN THE DETAIL FOR CIRCUMSTANCES WHEN CONCENTRATED FLOW COULD NOT BE AVOIDED . THE INTENTION OF THE DESIGN IS TO MINIMIZE ALTERATIONS TO HYDROLOGY, HOWEVER WHEN DEALING WITH 5%-15% GRADES NOT PARALLEL TO THE CONTOUR, A ROADSIDE DITCH MAY BE REQUIRED. THE NYS STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS FOR GRASSED WATERWAYS AND VEGETATED WATERWAYS ARE APPLICABLE FOR SIZING AND STABILIZATION, DIMENSIONS FOR THE GRASSED WATERWAY SPECIFICATION WOULD BE DESIGNED FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC HYDROLOGIC RUNOFF CALCULATIONS, AND A SEPARATE DETAIL FOR THE SPECIFIC GRASSED WATERWAY WOULD BE INCLUDED IN THIS PRACTICE. RUNOFF DISCHARGE WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE OUTLET REQUIREMENTS OF THE REFERENCED STANDARD. INCREASED
- ADDITIONAL PRACTICES TO ATTENUATE RUNOFF TO PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS. 14. IF A ROADSIDE DITCH IS NOT UTILIZED TO CAPTURE RUNOFF FROM THE ACCESS ROAD, THE PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD WILL HAVE A WELL-ESTABLISHED PERENNIAL VEGETATIVE COVER, WHICH SHALL CONSIST OF UNIFORM VEGETATION (I.E. BUFFER), 20 FEET WIDE AND PARALLEL TO THE DOWN GRADIENT SIDE OF THE ACCESS ROAD. POST-CONSTRICTION OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PRACTICES WILL MAINTAIN THIS VEGETATIVE COVER TO ENSURE FINAL
- STABILIZATION FOR THE LIFE OF THE ACCESS ROAD. 15. THE DESIGN PROFESSIONAL MUST ACCOUNT FOR THE LIMITED USED PERVIOUS ACCESS ROAD IN THEIR SITE ASSESSMENT / HYDROLOGY ANALYSIS. IF THE HYDROLOGY ANALYSIS SHOWS THAT THE HYDROLOGY HAS BEEN ALTERED FROM PRE- TO POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS (SEE APPENDIX A OF GP-0-20-001 FOR THE DEFINITION OF "ALTER THE HYDROLOGY ... "), THE DESIGN MUST INCLUDE THE NECESSARY DETENTION/RETENTION PRACTICES TO ATTENUATE THE RATES (10 AND 100 YEAR EVENTS) TO PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS.

POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF FROM THE ASSOCIATED ROADSIDE DITCH MAY REQUIRE

![](_page_131_Picture_59.jpeg)

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD **BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549** 

# **OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM**

**571 EAST MAIN STREET** JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
3/21/2022	REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

## PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead
ECR	ECR
Designer	Reviewer
AG	ECR
Date Issued	Project Number
07/28/2021	14064.11

Sheet Name

# **GRADING PLAN DETAILS**

![](_page_131_Picture_72.jpeg)

![](_page_132_Figure_0.jpeg)

			PLANT	LIST				
				Matu	ire Size			
Key	Qty.	Botanical Name	Common Name	Height	Spread	Installed Size	Condition	DBH
			Eve	rgreen Trees				
JV	75	Juniperus Virginiana	Eastern Red Cedar	30'-60'	10'-25'	8' Ht.	B&B	3"
PG	66	Picea Glauca	White Spruce	40'-60'	10'-20'	8' Ht.	B&B	3"
PG-1	5	Picea Glauca	White Spruce	40'-60'	10'-20'	12' Ht.	B&B	5"
AC	60	Abies Concolor	White Fir	50'-75'	20'-30'	6'-7' Ht.	B&B	3"
PP	64	Pinus Strobus	Eastern White Pine	50'-80'	20'-40'	7'-8' Ht.	B&B	3"
TOTAL	264							

![](_page_132_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_132_Picture_4.jpeg)

_____

____X____X____X____ 

![](_page_132_Picture_6.jpeg)

____ · · · ____ · · · ____ · · · ____

![](_page_132_Picture_7.jpeg)

SEED LIMIT LINE SEED SCHEDULE 'B' PROPOSED GRAVEL DRIVEWAY

PROPOSED TREE PLANTING

PROTECTED WOODLAND AREA TO BE DISTURBED EXISTING ROAD

ADJ. PROPERTY/R.O.W. LINE (SURVEYED) FENCE LINE

EXISTING VEGETATION

PROPOSED LIMITS OF TREE CLEARING

PALUSTRINE FORESTED WETLAND (PFO)

🗲 STREAM

100 FT WELAND SETBACK

EXISTING TREES TO REMAIN

EXISTING TREES TO BE REMOVED

	TI	REE MITIGATION D	ATA TABLE	
TREES TO BE REMOVED	TREES TO REMAIN	NUMBER OF TREES WITH DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT ≤ 7"	NUMBER OF TREES WITH DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT 7" - 24"	NUMBER OF TREES WITH DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT > 24"
577	120	8	602	90

![](_page_132_Picture_19.jpeg)

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com

office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549

# **OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM**

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Description
REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

## PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead
ECR	ECR
Designer	Reviewer
AG	ECR
Date Issued	Project Number
07/28/2021	14064.11

Sheet Name

# **LANDSCAPING & TREE** MITIGATION PLAN

![](_page_132_Picture_32.jpeg)

**C008** 

![](_page_132_Picture_35.jpeg)

![](_page_133_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### LEGEND

-00000000000000000000000000000000000000	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
0.115		<b>A</b> 115
OHE		OHE
$\longrightarrow$ -		<b>&gt;</b>
×		— x ——
OHE		OHE
UGE		UGE
$\sim\sim\sim$		$\sim$
III	YY	T T Y /
SWL —		SWL

L & & & & WET - & & & WET - & & & & WET - & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &
::///:///:/i

PROPERTY LINE
SET BACK LINE
WETLAND SET BACK
STONE WALL
ADJOINER PROPERTY LINE
ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY
EXISTING ROAD CENTERLINE
EXISTING OVERHEAD WIRE
EXISTING STREAM CENTERLINE
PROPOSED FENCE LINE
PROPOSED OVERHEAD UTILITY LINE
PROPOSED UNDERGROUND UTILITY LINE
PROPSED SWALE
PROPOSED TREELINE
SWALE CENTERLINE
EXISTING BUILDING
EXISTING EDGE OF ASPHALT
EXISTING TREELINE
PROPOSED DRIVEWAY
PALUSTRINE FORESTED WETLAND (PFO)
PHASE 1
PHASE 2

PHASE 3

PROPOSED SOLAR PANEL -O- EXISTING UTILITY POLE

![](_page_133_Picture_9.jpeg)

1" = 80' SCALE BAI

![](_page_133_Picture_11.jpeg)

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549

# **OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM**

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
3/21/2022	REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

### PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

ECR
Reviewer
ECR
Project Number
14064.11

Sheet Name

# **PHASING PLAN**

Drawing Number

![](_page_133_Picture_24.jpeg)

![](_page_134_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_134_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_134_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_135_Figure_0.jpeg)

N.T.S.

VYVVVVVVV VVVVVVV 24" MIN. _ 12'  $\bigcirc$ WIDTH VARIES NOTES: REPAIR ALL SETTLEMENT
 MINIMUM TOP SOIL DEPTH 6"
 MULTIPLE CONDUITS SHALL BE SPACED 7" ON CENTER

5. APPLY SOIL STABILIZATION AS NECESSARY.

4. WATER THOROUGHLY UPON COMPLETION OF SEEDING.

SEEDING PROCEDURE:

![](_page_135_Picture_10.jpeg)

· •

### 6" WIDE YELLOW PLASTIC TAPE WITH WORDING "CAUTION BURIED ELECTRIC LINE BELOW." TAPE SHALL BE LOCATED 12" ABOVE CONDUITS - COMPACT BACKFILL TO 95% MAX DENSITY; TO MATCH SURROUNDING CONDITIONS - NO ROCKS OR DEBRIS IN BACKFILL PROVIDE 3" COMPACTED SAND BED AROUND CONDUIT - DIRECT BURIED CONDUIT

- MATCH EXISTING GRADE AND ALLOW FOR

SETTLING. FERTILIZE AND SEED

____ EXISTING GRADE

— 6" MIN. TOPSOIL

SOIL RESTORATION DETAIL

UNDISTURBED SOIL 1. CULTIVATE ENTIRE AREA TO 4"-6" DEPTH. HANDRAKE SMOOTH. SPREAD 4" OF TOPSOIL. 2. APPLY ANY SOIL MODIFICATIONS AS NECESSARY (SEE SPECIFICATIONS OR LANDSCAPE NOTES) 3. WATER AREA TO BE SEEDED PRIOR TO LAYING SEED.

![](_page_135_Picture_15.jpeg)

-4" APPROVED TOPSOIL, SEE SPECIFICATIONS OR LANDSCAPE NOTES

- LAWN SEED, VARIETY AS SPECIFIED

![](_page_135_Picture_20.jpeg)

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549

# **OLD HILL FARM** SOLAR FARM

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS

3/21/2022

REVISED PER UPDATED

WETLAND AREA

# PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

# Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead	
ECR	ECR	
Designer	Reviewer	
AG	WD	
Date Issued	Project Number	
07/28/2021	14064.11	

Sheet Name

**DETAILS II** 

**C011** 

12 of 13

UPLAND SEED MIX

### LOW-GROWING WILDFLOWER & GRASS MIX - ERNMX #156

SEEDING RATE: 20 LB PER ACRE WITH A COVER CROP OF GRAIN RYE AT 30 LB PER ACRE

	-	
SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	% OF MIX
FESTUCA OVINA	SHEEP FESCUE, VARIETY NOT STATED	63.60%
LOLIUM MULTIFLORUM (L. PERENNE VAR. ITALICUM)	ANNUAL RYEGRASS	17%
LINUM PERENNE SSP. LEWISII	PERENNIAL BLUE FLAX	8%
RUDBECKIA HIRTA	BLACKEYED SUSAN, COASTAL PLAIN NC ECOTYPE	2%
COREOPSIS LANCEOLATA	LANCELEAF COREOPSIS, COASTAL PLAIN NC ECOTYPE	2%
CHRYSANTHEMUM LEUCANTHEMUM	OXEYE DAISY	2%
CHRYSANTHEMUM MAXIMUM	SHASTA DAISY	1%
CHAMAECRISTA FASCICULATA (CASSIA F.)	PARTRIDGE PEA, PA ECOTYPE	1%
PAPAVER RHOEAS, SHIRLEY MIX	CORN POPPY/SHIRLEY MIX	1%
ACHILLEA MILLEFOLIUM	COMMON YARROW	0.5%
ASTER OBLONGIFOLIUS (SYMPHYOTRICHUM OBLONGIFOLIUM)	AROMATIC ASTER, PA ECOTYPE	0.5%
EUPATORIUM COELESTINUM (CONOCLINIUM C.)	MISTFLOWER, VA ECOTYPE	0.5%
MONARDA PUNCTATA, COASTAL PLAIN SC ECOTYPE	SPOTTED BEEBALM, COASTAL PLAIN SC ECOTYPE	0.5%
ASCLEPIAS TUBEROSA	BUTTERFLY MILKWEED	0.3%
PYCNANTHEMUM TENUIFOLIUM	SLENDER MOUNTAINMINT	0.1%
COMPA	ANY INFORMATION	
ERNST CON	SERVATION SEEDS, INC.	
ADDRESS: 8884 MER	CER PIKE, MEADVILLE, PA 16335	
PHO	NE: (800) 873-3321	

PHUNE: (୪୦୦) ୪/ ୬-୬୬∠ i WEB: HTTP://WWW.ERNSTSEED.COM

*OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT

### SEED SCHEDULE 'A'

OBL-FACW WETLAND MIX				
	ERNMX #120			
SEEDING	RATE: 20 LB PER ACRE OR 1/2 LB PER 1000 SQ FT			
SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	% OF MIX		
ELYMUS VIRGINICUS	VIRGINIA WILDRYE	20%		
POA PALUSTRIS	FOWL BLUEGRASS	20%		
CAREX LURIDA	LURID SHALLOW SEDGE	17%		
CAREX LUPULINA	HOP SEDGE	9%		
CAREX SCOPARIA	BLUNT BROOM SEDGE	8%		
CAREX VULPINOIDEA	FOX SEDGE	5%		
PANICUM CLANDESTINUM DICHANTHELIUM C.	DEERTONGUE 'TIOGA'	5%		
SPARGANIUM EURYCARPUM	GIANT BUR REED	4%		
SPARGANIUM AMERICANUM	EASTERN BUR REED	3%		
JUNCUS EFFUSUS	SOFT RUSH	3%		
CAREX CRINITA	FRINGED NODDING SEDGE	2%		
LEERSIA ORYZOIDES	RICE CUTGRASS	2%		
SCIRPUS CYPERINUS	WOOLGRASS	2%		
JUNCUS TENUIS	PATH RUSH	0.5%		
COMPANY INFORMATION				
ERNST CONSERVATION SEEDS INC.				
ADDRESS: 8884 MERCER PIKE MEADVILLE PA 16335				
PHONE: 800 873-3321				
WEB. HTTP://WWW ERNSTSEED COM				

VED. TITP.//VVVVV.ERINGISEED.CO

* CURRENT ERNST SEED MIX COMPOSITION OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT

* PROVIDE TEMPORARY SEEDING OF ANNUAL RYEGRASS (LOLIUM MULTIFLORUM) WITHIN SEEDING LIMITS AT RATE OF 20 LBS. PER ACRE

SITE STABILIZATION - SEED MIX N.T.S.

SOIL AMENDMENT APPLICATION RATE EQUIVALENTS						
sc	DIL AMENDMENT	PER ACRE	PER 1,000 SQ. FT.	PER 1,000 SQ. YD.	NOTES	
ANENT DING	AGRICULTURAL LIME	6 TONS	240 LB.	2,480 LB.	OR AS PER SOIL TEST: MAY NOT BE	
PERM/ SEEI	10-10-20 FERTILIZER	1,000 L.B.	25 LB.	210 LB.	REQUIRED IN AGRICULTURAL FIELDS	
DING	AGRICULTURAL LIME	1 TON	40 LB.	410 LB.		
TEMPO	10-10-20 FERTILIZER	500 LB.	12.5 LB.	100 LB.	TOPSOIL STOCKPILES	
		0.014		<b>D</b> O		
		COMF	POSTSTANDAR	DS		
	ORGANIC MATTER	CONTENT	80% -	- 100% (DRY WEIGH	IT BASIS)	
	ORGANIC POP	RTION	FI	BROUS AND ELONG	GATED	
	рН			5.5 - 8.0		
	MOISTURE CO	NTENT	35% - 55%			
	PARTICLE SIZE		98% PASS THROUGH 1" SCREEN			
S	SOLUBLE SALT CON	CENTRATION	5.0 dS/m (mmhos/cm) MAXIMUM			
		MULCH	APPLICATION R	ATES		
AF			PLICATION RATE (MIN.)			
	MULCH TYPE	PER ACRE	PER 1,000 SQ. FT.	PER 1,000 SQ. YD.	NOTES	
	STRAW	3 TONS	140 LB.	1,240 LB.	EITHER WHEAT OR OAT STRAW, FREE OF WEEDS, NOT CHOPPED OR FINELY BROKEN	
	HAY	3 TONS	140 LB.	1,240 LB.	TIMOTHY, MIXED CLOVER AND TIMOTHY, OR OTHER NATIVE FORAGE GRASSES	
wc	DOD CELLULOSE	1,500 LB.	35 LB.	310 LB.	DO NOT USE ALONE IN WINTER, DURING HOT AND DRY WEATHER OR ON STEEP SLOPES (> 3:1)	
	WOOD	1,000 LB. CELLULOSE	25 LB.	210 LB.	WHEN USED OVER STRAW OR HAY	
	WOOD CHIPS	4 - 6 TONS	185 - 275 LB.	1,650 - 2,500 LB.	MAY PREVENT GERMINATION OF GRASSES AND LEGUMES	

NOTES:

- SHOULD BE TEMPORARILY STABILIZED UNTIL THE BEGINNING OF THE NEXT PLANTING SEASON.
- 2. MULCHES SHOULD BE APPLIED AT THE RATES SHOWN IN THE MULCH APPLICATION RATES TABLE. VERY LITTLE BARE GROUND SHOULD BE VISIBLE THROUGH THE MULCH.
- AFTER APPLICATION TO PREVENT BEING WINDBLOWN. 4. TOPSOIL SHOULD BE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE DISTURBED AREA TO MANNER THAT SEEDING CAN PROCEED WITH A MINIMUM OF ADDITIONAL
- PREPARATION OR TILLAGE. 5. TOPSOIL SHOULD NOT BE PLACED WHILE THE TOPSOIL OF SUBSOIL IS IN A SEEDBED PREPARATION.
- EVENLY AND SHOULD PROVIDE 100% SOIL COVERAGE. NO SOIL SHOULD BE VISIBLE.
- ON THE PLANS.
- 8. PERMANENT STABILIZATION SHALL BE INSTALLED IMMEDIATELY UPON COMPLETION OF EARTH DISTURBANCE.

1. WHEN FINAL GRADE IS ACHIEVED DURING NON-GERMINATING MONTHS, THE AREA

3. STRAW AND HAY MULCH SHOULD BE ANCHORED OR TACKIFIED IMMEDIATELY

A DEPTH OF 4 INCHES MINIMUM. SPREADING SHOULD BE DONE IN SUCH A

FROZEN OR MUDDY CONDITION, WHEN THE SUBSOIL IS EXCESSIVELY WET, OR IN A CONDITION THAT MAY OTHERWISE BE DETRIMENTAL TO PROPER GRADING AND

6. WHEN USED AS A MULCH REPLACEMENT, THE APPLICATION RATE (THICKNESS) OF THE COMPOST SHOULD BE 1/2" TO 3/4". COMPOST SHOULD BE PLACED

7. BLANKETING SHALL BE USED ON ALL SLOPES 3H:1V OR STEEPER OR AS NOTED

![](_page_136_Picture_37.jpeg)

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD **BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549** 

# **OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM**

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENTS
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISIT WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT COMMENTS
1/20/2022	REVISED PER TCAC COMMENTS
3/01/2022	REVISED PER TOWN COMMENTS
3/21/2022	REVISED PER UPDATED WETLAND AREA

### PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

### Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead	
ECR	ECR	
Designer	Reviewer	
AG	WD	
Date Issued	Project Number	
07/28/2021	14064.11	

Sheet Name

# **DETAILS III**

# **2641 Deer Street**

Town of Yorktown Building Department

![](_page_138_Picture_1.jpeg)

RECEIVED BY ABACA MAR 30 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN

To: John Tegeder, Director

From: Steven Fraietta..Assistant Building Inspector

**Date:** March 30, 2022

Re: Solar application for 2641 Deer St

AKA: Section 26.18 Block 1 Lot 31

Attached please find a copy of an application for a ground base solar panel installation. This application requires Planning Board review as per Town Solar Power Generation Law. This application will also require a front yard variance for the Zoning Board.

Thank you.

The second s	RECEIVED BY ABACA		
Town of Yorktown www.yorktownny.org	MAR MAR 3 0 2022 7/13/2020		
Building Department	TOWN OF MORECOMM		
Tel. (914) 962-5722 ext.233 Fax (914) 962-1731	CONTRACTOR OF THE		
Application for a Bui	ding Permit		
APPLICATION No. 2022 · 1400 (Office use only) APPLICATION F	EE\$125 DATE: 3128122		
PERMIT No	DATE:		
Applicant: Complete all information lines, below, ex	ccept those marked "Office use only"		
Section: 26 / 8 Block: 1 Lot: 3	Arified by Date		
Zoning District RINO Size of Lot (square feet	54,995 Ø		
Address/Location of proposed construction 26-11	Deer St. Mohegan Lake, Ny 1054		
Proposed Construction Ground Mount Solar	- 18.5KW		
-Needs ZBA Appion	4		
Name of Owner: Kenneth wong	Telephone #: 914 - 319 - 2516		
Present Address of Owner* Same as Construc	tion Address		
Contractor Long Island Power Solutions	Telephone # 631-348-0001		
Address 2060 Ocean AVE, Ronkonkong, N	Y 11779 Fax/Email Cindy & go power		
Westchester County Home Improvement Contractors Lic. # WC - 2869 4 - H15 Solutions Com			
Architect or Engineer Mike Miele Telephone # 875-629-9693			
Address 705000 Mills Rd New Windson, Ny 125	53 Fax/Email		
Total estimated cost of construction \$101,204 Total square	footage of proposed construction//		
The undersigned applicant hereby agrees to comply with all applicable provision Laws, Codes, Rules and Regulations applicable to the proposed construction.	as of the Code of the Town of Yorktown, and with all other		
Michael Miele	* See Pro XY		
NAME OF CONTACT PERSON (Please print)	VATURE OF OWNER / AGENT*		
from	the owner must be submitted with this application.		
mile here a a mail (mail	NUMBER		
EMAIL ADDRESS			
(Office use only)	Form of Variations and the New York Otate Helf and Fire		
Prevention and Building Code, and the same are approved subject to complia	ance with these and any other applicable Laws, Codes,		
The square footage of the proposed work is	ost of proposed work is \$		
The Building Permit fee is \$ + CO Fee \$	Fotal Permit Fee is \$		
in accordance with Chapter 15 of the Code of the Town of Yor	ktown (See reverse side).		
BUILDING INS	SPECTOR, TOWN OF YORKTOWN		

![](_page_140_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_141_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_142_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_143_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_143_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_143_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_143_Picture_7.jpeg)


**DOWER** ISOLUTIONS 50 MAIN STREET. #1000, WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606 (914) 719-7786 WONG RESIDENCE 2641 DEER STREET MOHEGAN LAKE, NY 10547 914-319-2516 S: 26,18 B: 1 L: 31 PROJECT DATA: #214998 INVERTER: (50) ENPHASE IQ7PLUS-72-2-US MODULES: (50) LG370N1K-A6 RACKING: IRON RIDGE XR100 WATTAGE: 18,500 ROOF TYPE: COMPOSITION SHINGLES WND LOAD: -33PSF @ 130MPH FASTENER: USE 5/16" DIA. 5" LAGS MICHAEL E. MIELE, PE LINE SIDE TAP Licensed Professional Engineer 705 Orrs Mills Road New Windsor, NY 12553 TELEPHONE: (845) 629.9693 EMAIL: MieleEngineering@gmail.com ESSIONAL T EXCEPT BY A ALTERATION OF THIS DOCUMENT EXCEPT LICENSED PROFESSIONAL IS ILLEGAL PAPER SIZE: 11" x 17" (ANSI B) DATE: 11/10/2021 DESIGN BY: SG CHECKED BY: MEM **REVISIONS:** 1SG 11/23/21 E-1 ELECTRICAL PLAN

Data Sheet Enphase Microinverters Region: AMERICAS

#### 

#### MAR 28 2022

## Enphase IQ 7 and IQ 7+ Microinverters

The high-powered smart grid-ready Enphase IQ 7 Micro[™] and Enphase IQ 7+ Micro[™] dramatically simplify the installation process while achieving the highest system efficiency.

Part of the Enphase IQ System, the IQ 7 and IQ 7+ Microinverters integrate with the Enphase IQ Envoy[™], Enphase IQ Battery[™], and the Enphase Enlighten[™] monitoring and analysis software.

IQ Series Microinverters extend the reliability standards set forth by previous generations and undergo over a million hours of power-on testing, enabling Enphase to provide an industry-leading warranty of up to 25 years.



#### Easy to Install

- · Lightweight and simple
- · Faster installation with improved, lighter two-wire cabling
- Built-in rapid shutdown compliant (NEC 2014 & 2017)

#### Productive and Reliable

- · Optimized for high powered 60-cell and 72-cell* modules
- More than a million hours of testing
- Class II double-insulated enclosure
- UL listed

#### Smart Grid Ready

- Complies with advanced grid support, voltage and frequency ride-through requirements
- Remotely updates to respond to changing grid requirements
- · Configurable for varying grid profiles
- Meets CA Rule 21 (UL 1741-SA)

* The IQ 7+ Micro is required to support 72-cell modules.



To learn more about Enphase offerings, visit enphase.com



### Enphase IQ 7 and IQ 7+ Microinverters

1

5

INPUT DATA (DC)	IQ7-60-2-US / IQ7-60-B-US		IQ7PLUS-72-2-US / IQ7PLUS-72-B-US		
Commonly used module pairings ¹	235 W - 350 W ÷		235 W - 440 W +		
Module compatibility	60-cell PV modules only		60-cell and 72-cell PV modules		
Maximum input DC voltage	48 V		60 V		
Peak power tracking voltage	27 V - 37 V		27 V - 45 V		
Operating range	16 V - 48 V		16 V - 60 V		
Min/Max start voltage	22 V / 48 V		22 V / 60 V		
Max DC short circuit current (module lsc)	15 A		15 A		
Overvoltage class DC port	11		П		
DC port backfeed current	0 A		0 A		
PV array configuration	1 x 1 ungrounde AC side protect	ed array; No additio ion requires max 20	nal DC side protec )A per branch circi	tion required; uit	
OUTPUT DATA (AC)	IQ 7 Microinve	erter	IQ 7+ Microin	verter	
Peak output power	250 VA		295 VA		
Maximum continuous output power	240 VA		290 VA		
Nominal (L-L) voltage/range ²	240 V / 211-264 V	208 V / 183-229 V	240 V / 211-264 V	208 V / 183-229 V	
Maximum continuous output current	1.0 A (240 V)	1.15 A (208 V)	1.21 A (240 V)	1.39 A (208 V)	
Nominal frequency	60 Hz		60 Hz		
Extended frequency range	47 - 68 Hz		47 - 68 Hz		
AC short circuit fault current over 3 cycles	5.8 Arms		5.8 Arms		
Maximum units per 20 A (L-L) branch circuit ³	16 (240 VAC)	13 (208 VAC)	13 (240 VAC)	11 (208 VAC)	
Overvoltage class AC port	Ш				
AC port backfeed current	0 A		0 A		
Power factor setting	1.0		1.0		
Power factor (adjustable)	0.7 leading 0.	7 lagging	0.7 leading 0.7 lagging		
EFFICIENCY	@240 V	@208 V	@240 V	@208 V	
Peak CEC efficiency	97.6 %	97.6 %	97.5 %	97.3 %	
CEC weighted efficiency	97.0 %	97.0 %	97.0 %	97.0 %	
MECHANICAL DATA					
Ambient temperature range	-40°C to +65°C				
Relative humidity range	4% to 100% (cor	ndensing)			
Connector type (IQ7-60-2-US & IQ7PLUS-72-2-US) Connector type (IQ7-60-B-US & IQ7PLUS-72-B-US)	<ul> <li>MC4 (or Amphenol H4 UTX with additional Q-DCC-5 adapter)</li> <li>Friends PV2 (MC4 intermateable).</li> <li>Adaptors for modules with MC4 or UTX connectors:</li> <li>- PV2 to MC4: order ECA-S20-S22</li> <li>- PV2 to UTX: order ECA-S20-S25</li> </ul>				
Dimensions (WxHxD)	212 mm x 175 m	nm x 30.2 mm (with	out bracket)		
Weight	1.08 kg (2.38 lbs	5)			
Cooling	Natural convect	ion - No fans			
Approved for wet locations	Yes				
Pollution degree	PD3				
Enclosure	Class II double-i	insulated corrosion	resistant polyme	ricenclosure	
Environmental category / UV exposure rating	NEMA Type 6 / 0	outdoor	in colora in polyme		
FEATURES					
Communication	Power Line Com	munication (PLC)		an a	
Monitoring	Enlighten Manag Both options rec	ger and MyEnlighte	n monitoring optio an Enphase IO Env	ns. /ov.	
Disconnecting means	The AC and DC connectors have been evaluated and approved by UL for use as the load-break disconnect required by NEC 690.				
Compliance	CA Rule 21 (UL 1741-SA) UL 62109-1, UL1741/IEEE1547, FCC Part 15 Class B, ICES-0003 Class B, CAN/CSA-C22.2 NO. 107.1-01 This product is UL Listed as PV Rapid Shut Down Equipment and conforms with NEC-2014 and NEC-2017 section 690.12 and C22.1-2015 Rule 64-218 Rapid Shutdown of PV Systems, for AC and DC conductors, when installed according manufacturer's instructions.				

No enforced DC/AC ratio. See the compatibility calculator at <u>https://enphase.com/en-us/support/module-compatibility</u>.
 Nominal voltage range can be extended beyond nominal if required by the utility.
 Limits may vary. Refer to local requirements to define the number of microinverters per branch in your area.

#### To learn more about Enphase offerings, visit enphase.com

© 2018 Enphase Energy. All rights reserved. All trademarks or brands used are the property of Enphase Energy, Inc. 2018-05-24





## **Roof Mount System**



### Built for solar's toughest roofs.

IronRidge builds the strongest roof mounting system in solar. Every component has been tested to the limit and proven in extreme environments.

Our rigorous approach has led to unique structural features, such as curved rails and reinforced flashings, and is also why our products are fully certified, code compliant and backed by a 20-year warranty.



#### **Strength Tested**

All components evaluated for superior structural performance.



**Class A Fire Rating** Certified to maintain the fire resistance rating of the existing roof.



#### Integrated Grounding

UL 2703 system eliminates separate module grounding components.



#### **PE Certified**

Pre-stamped engineering letters available in most states.



#### **Design Software**

Online tool generates a complete bill of materials in minutes.



#### 20 Year Warranty

Twice the protection offered by competitors.



#### **XR** Rails

#### XR10 Rail



A low-profile mounting rail for regions with light snow.

- 6' spanning capability
- Moderate load capability
- Clear & black anod, finish

#### Attachments

#### FlashFoot



Anchor, flash, and mount with all-in-one attachments.

- · Ships with all hardware
- IBC & IRC compliant
- Certified with XR Rails

#### Clamps & Grounding

#### End Clamps



Slide in clamps and secure modules at ends of rails.

- Mill finish & black anod.
- Sizes from 1.22" to 2.3"
- Optional Under Clamps

#### **Free Resources**

## **Design Assistant**

#### XR100 Rail



The ultimate residential solar mounting rail.

- 8' spanning capability
- Heavy load capability
- Clear & black anod, finish



XR1000 Rail

A heavyweight mounting rail for commercial projects.

- 12' spanning capability
- · Extreme load capability
- Clear anodized finish

Raise flush or tilted

systems to various heights.

· Works with vent flashing

Ships pre-assembled

· 4" and 7" Lengths

Standoffs



Internal Splices 🗁

All rails use internal splices for seamless connections.

Self-tapping screws

Tilt assembly to desired

angle, up to 45 degrees.

· Attaches directly to rail

· Ships with all hardware

Fixed and adjustable

Tilt Legs

- Varying versions for rails
- Grounding Straps offered 0

#### Slotted L-Feet



Drop-in design for rapid rail attachment.

- · High-friction serrated face
- · Heavy-duty profile shape
- · Clear & black anod. finish

#### Grounding Mid Clamps 😑

Attach and ground modules in the middle of the rail.

- Parallel bonding T-bolt
- · Reusable up to 10 times
- Mill & black stainless



T-Bolt Grounding Lugs 😑

Ground system using the rail's top slot.

- Easy top-slot mounting
- · Eliminates pre-drilling
- Swivels in any direction

#### Accessories

۰



Provide a finished and organized look for rails.

- Snap-in Wire Clips
- Perfected End Caps
- UV-protected polymer



## Go from rough layout to fully

engineered system. For free. Go to IronRidge.com/rm



#### **NABCEP** Certified Training

Earn free continuing education credits, while learning more about our systems. Go to IronRidge.com/training

## LG NeON®2 Black

#### LG370N1K-A6

## 370W

The LG NeON[®] 2 is LG's best selling solar module and one of the most powerful and versatile modules on the market today. The cells are designed to appear all-black at a distance, and the performance warranty guarantees 90.6% of labeled power output at 25 years.







#### Features



#### Enhanced Performance Warranty

LG NeON[®] 2 Black has an enhanced performance warranty. After 25 years, LG NeON[®] 2 Black is guaranteed at least 90.6% of initial performance.



#### 25-Year Limited Product Warranty

The NeON® 2 Black is covered by a 25-year limited product warranty. In addition, up to \$450 of labor costs will be covered in the rare case that a module needs to be repaired or replaced.



#### Solid Performance on Hot Days

LG NeON® 2 Black performs well on hot days due to its low temperature coefficient.



#### **Roof Aesthetics**

LG NeON® 2 Black has been designed with aesthetics in mind using thinner wires that appear all black at a distance.

#### When you go solar, ask for the brand you can trust: LG Solar

#### About LG Electronics USA, Inc.

LG Electronics is a global leader in electronic products in the clean energy markets by offering solar PV panels and energy storage systems. The company first embarked on a solar energy source research program in 1985, supported by LG Group's vast experience in the semi-conductor, LCD, chemistry and materials industries. In 2010, LG Solar successfully released its first MonoX[®] series to the market, which is now available in 32 countries. The NeON[®] (previous MonoX[®] NeON[®]2, NeON[®]2 BiFacial won the "Intersolar AWARD" in 2013, 2015 and 2016, which demonstrates LG's leadership and innovation in the solar industry.



60

## LG NeON®2 Black



#### LG370N1K-A6

#### General Data

.

Cell Properties (Material/Type)	Monocrystalline/N-type	
Cell Maker	LG	
Cell Configuration	60 Cells (6 x 10)	
Number of Busbars	12EA	
Module Dimensions (L x W x H)	1,740mm x 1,042mm x 40 mm	
Weight	18.6 kg	
Glass (Material)	Tempered Glass with AR coating	
Backsheet (Color)	Black	
Frame (Material)	Anodized Aluminium	
Junction Box (Protection Degree)	IP 68 with 3 Bypass Diodes	
Cables (Length)	1,100mm x 2EA	
Connector (Type/Maker)	MC 4/MC	

#### Certifications and Warranty

	IEC 61215-1/-1-1/2 : 2016, IEC 61730-1/2 : 201 UL 61730-1 : 2017, UL 61730-2 : 2017		
Certifications*	ISO 9001, ISO 14001, ISO 50001		
	OHSAS 18001		
Salt Mist Corrosion Test	IEC 61701:2012 Severity 6		
Ammonia Corrosion Test	IEC 62716:2013		
Module Fire Performance	Type 2 (UL 61730)		
Fire Rating	Class C (UL 790, ULC/ORD C 1703)		
Solar Module Product Warranty	25 Year Limited		
Solar Module Output Warranty	Linear Warranty*		

*Improved: 1ª year 98.5%, from 2-24th year: -0.33%/year down, 90.6% at year 25

#### **Temperature Characteristics**

NMOT*	[°C]	42±3	
Ртах	[%/°C]	-0.35	
Voc	[%/°C]	-0.26	
lsc	[%/°C]	0.03	

*NMOT (Nominal Module Operating Temperature): Irradiance 800 W/m², Ambient temperature 20°C, Wind speed 1 m/s, Spectrum AM 1.5

#### **Electrical Properties (NMOT)**

Model		LG370N1K-A6	
Maximum Power (Pmax)	[w]	277	
MPP Voltage (Vmpp)	[V]	33.3	
MPP Current (Impp)	[A]	8.32	
Open Circuit Voltage (Voc)	[M]	39.4	
Short Circuit Current (Isc)	[A]	8.81	

#### I-V Curves



#### Electrical Properties (STC*)

Model		LG370N1K-A6	
Maximum Power (Pmax)	[VV]	370	
MPP Voltage (Vmpp)	[V]	35.5	
MPP Current (Impp)	[A]	10.43	
Open Circuit Voltage (Voc ± 5%)	[1]	41.9	
Short Circuit Current (Isc ± 5%)	[A]	10.96	
Module Efficiency	[%]	20.4	
Power Tolerance	[%]	0~+3	

*STC (Standard Test Condition): Irradiance 1000 W/m², cell temperature 25°C, AM 1.5

Measurement Tolerence of Pmax: ± 3%

#### **Operating Conditions**

Operating Temperature	[°C]	-40 ~+85	
Maximum System Voltage	[V]	1,000 (UL/IEC)	
Maximum Series Fuse Rating	[A]	20	
Mechanical Test Load* (Front)	[Pa/psf]	5,400	
Mechanical Test Load* (Rear)	[Pa/psf]	4,000	

*Based on IEC 61215-2 : 2016 (Test Load – Design Load x Safety Factor (1.5)) Mechanical Test Loads 6,000Pa/5,400Pa based on IEC 61215:2005

#### Packaging Configuration

Number of Modules per Pallet	[EA]	25
Number of Modules per 40' Container	[EA]	650
Number of Modules per 53' Container	[EA]	850
Packaging Box Dimensions (L x W x H)	[mm]	1,790 x 1,120 x 1,213
Packaging Box Dimensions (L x W x H)	[in]	70.5 x 44.1 x 47.8
Packaging Box Gross Weight	[kg]	500
Packaging Box Gross Weight	[Ib]	1,102

#### Dimensions (mm/inch)





LG Electronics USA, Inc. Solar Business Division 2000 Millbrook Drive Lincolnshire, IL 60069 www.lg-solar.com Product specifications are subject to change without notice. LG370N1K-A6_AUS.pdf 020221

© 2021 LG Electronics USA, Inc. All rights reserved.

## Michael E. Miele, PE

Licensed Professional Engineer Licensed In New York, New Jersey, Connecticut & California New York License # 079676 New Jersey License # 44042 Connecticut License # 23158 California License # 31508

March 4, 2022

Town of Yorktown Building Department The Office of the Building Inspector 363 Underhill Avenue Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Re: <u>Kenneth Wong - 2641 Deer Street, Mohegan Lake, NY 10547</u> Ground Mount, Solar Panel Loading Certification Town of Yorktown, County of Westchester, State of New York

Dear Building Department

I am the engineer of record for the above referenced project. I have prepared the attached plans dated November 12, 2021 that consists of the installation of (50) LG 370W solar panels on a ground mount array at the above referenced location.

I can herby certify that the ground mount installation with the installed solar panels meets the requirements of The 2020 New York State Residential Code - The design loads were as follows,

Wind Design Load: 130mph.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at any time. Thanks in advance.

Sincerely Yours,

Michael E. Miele, PE



#### § 300-81.4. Solar power generation systems and facilities. [Added 9-15-2020 by L.L. No. 11-2020]

- A. Statutory authority and jurisdiction.
  - (1) This section is hereby enacted pursuant to the provision of § 10 of the Municipal Home Rule Law and §§ 261 and 263 of the Town Law of the State of New York, which authorize the Town of Yorktown to adopt zoning provisions that advance and protect the health, safety, and welfare of the community, and "to make provision for, so far as conditions may permit, the accommodation of solar energy systems and equipment and access to sunlight necessary therefor."
  - (2) The authority to issue special use permits pursuant to this section is hereby delegated to the Planning Board.
  - (3) References herein to zoning districts in the Town of Yorktown are references to such districts as described in this Chapter 300 of the Code of the Town of Yorktown.
- B. Statement of purpose and intent.
  - (1) Solar energy is an abundant and nonpolluting energy resource that reduces fossil fuel emissions, reduces dependence on the electrical power grid that generates power from nonrenewable and nuclear sources of fuel, reduces impacts to residential and commercial property resulting from power interruptions resulting from man-made or natural events, and reduces the Town's energy load.
  - (2) The use of solar energy to provide electrical power for the needs of the Town's residents and businesses is consistent with the Town of Yorktown's commitment to green infrastructure and practices, and consistent with its goal of promoting long-term sustainability.
  - (3) This section is intended to permit and regulate solar energy systems and the requisite provision of, and access to, adequate sunlight; to mitigate the potential impacts to neighboring properties, while promoting the use of solar energy systems in residential, commercial, and industrial districts, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
  - (4) This section is adopted to advance and protect the public health, safety, and welfare of the Town of Yorktown, including:
    - (a) Taking advantage of a safe, abundant, and nonpolluting energy resource;
    - (b) Decreasing the cost of energy to the owners of commercial and residential properties, including single-family houses; and
    - (c) Increasing employment and business development in the region by furthering the installation of solar energy systems;

- (d) Decreasing the use of fossil fuels, which reduces the carbon footprint of the Town, aids in energy independence of the Town and nation, and reduces polluting greenhouse gas emissions;
- (e) Increasing resiliency of the energy grid during storm events and times of peak energy demand.
- (5) The Town values its open space, naturalized areas, and rural character. Maintaining high environmental quality and values are a mainstay of the Town's efforts in its guidance and regulation of development in the Town. As such, the Town, in guiding the development of solar installations, will prioritize their placement first on agricultural or greenfield properties in areas that are presently cleared, second on commercial properties over roofs and parking areas, and third, on vacant parcels that are currently in a naturalized state.
- C. Definitions. As used in this section, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated:

ABACA — An acronym that refers to the Advisory Board on Architecture and Community Appearance.

ACCESSORY USE — A use which is customarily incidental and subordinate to the principal use of a lot, water area or a building and located on the same lot or water area therewith.

ALTERNATING CURRENT (AC) — An electric current that reverses direction at regular intervals, having a magnitude that varies continuously in sinusoidal manner.

BUILDING INTEGRATED PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM — A combination of photovoltaic building components integrated into any building envelope system, such as vertical facades, including glass and other facade material, semitransparent skylight systems, roofing materials, and shading over windows.

DIRECT CURRENT (DC) — An electric current of constant direction, having a magnitude that does not vary or varies only slightly.

GROUND-MOUNTED SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEM — A solar energy system that is anchored to the ground or supported on a foundation, and attached to a pole, column, or other mounting system, and detached from any other structure for the primary purpose of producing electricity for on-site or off-site consumption.

KILOWATT (kW) — A unit of electrical power equal to 1,000 watts, which constitutes the basic unit of electrical demand. A watt is a metric measurement of power (not energy) and is the rate (not the duration) at which electricity is used. One thousand kW is equal to one megawatt (MW).

KILOWATT-HOUR (kWh) — A unit of energy equivalent to one kilowatt (kW) of power expended for one hour of time.

LARGE-SCALE SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEM — A solar energy system that exceeds 25 kilowatts (kW) DC as rated by its nameplate capacity. The maximum system capacity and the maximum area of land upon which the system shall be

#### erected are as follows: [Amended 10-19-2021 by L.L. No. 9-2021]

(1) Up to one megawatt AC on an area of land no larger than 10 acres, excluding any easement for accessing the parcel; or over one but not to exceed five megawatt AC on an area of land no larger than 20 acres, excluding any easement for accessing the parcel.

LOT COVERAGE — That percentage of the lot area covered by the combined area of all buildings or structures on the lot.

MAIN USE — A term used for purposes of this Chapter 300, Zoning, as denoting a set of specific uses of land for which each zone has as its intended primary permitted uses.

MEGAWATT (MW) — Equal to 1,000 kilowatts; a measure of the use of electrical power.

MEGAWATT-HOUR (MWh) — A unit of energy equivalent to one megawatt (MW) of power expended for one hour of time.

PRINCIPAL USE — The main use conducted on a lot, dominant in area, extent or purpose to other uses which may also be on the lot.

ROOF-MOUNTED SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEM — A solar panel system located on the roof of any legally permitted building or structure for the purpose of producing electricity for on-site or off-site consumption.

SMALL-SCALE SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEM — A solar energy system that does not exceed more than 25 kW DC as rated by its nameplate capacity, and serves only the buildings or structures on the lot upon which the system is located. Nothing contained in this provision shall be construed to prohibit the sale of excess power through a net billing or net metering arrangement made in accordance with New York Public Service Law (§ 66-j) or similar state or federal statute.[Amended 10-19-2021 by L.L. No. 9-2021]

SOLAR ACCESS — Space open to the sun and substantially clear of overhangs or shade, including the orientation of streets and lots to the sun so as to permit the use of a solar energy system on individual properties.

SOLAR ENERGY EQUIPMENT — Electrical energy storage devices, material, hardware, inverters, or other electrical equipment and conduit of photovoltaic devices associated with the production of electrical energy.

SOLAR ENERGY SYSTEM — An electrical generating system composed of a combination of both solar panels and solar energy equipment.

SOLAR PANEL — A photovoltaic device capable of collecting and converting solar energy into electrical energy and is normally attached to a building by mechanical means and is readily removable and replaceable or ground-mounted utilizing structural components.

SOLAR POWER GENERATION SYSTEMS — See "solar energy system" definition.

- D. Applicability.
  - (1) The requirements of this section shall apply to all solar energy systems and equipment installed or modified after the effective date of this section, excluding general maintenance and repair and building-integrated photovoltaic systems.
  - (2) Roof-mounted small-scale solar energy systems installed on single- and twofamily residential properties are subject to compliance with this chapter under authority of the Building Inspector, and do not require review and approval from the Planning Board. Roof-mounted solar energy systems mounted facing front yards or any yard facing the street must be referred to the ABACA for review and recommendation. The Building Inspector may refer the application and associated materials to the Planning Board for review and recommendation.

#### E. Solar as an accessory use or structure.

- (1) Small-scale solar energy systems are permitted through the issuance of a special use permit within all zoning districts, subject to the requirements set forth in this section, including site plan approval. Applications for the installation of a small-scale solar energy system shall be reviewed by the Planning Department and referred, with comments, to the Planning Board for its review and action, which can include approval, approval with conditions, and denial, unless otherwise cited by Subsection D(2) of this section. Where a solar energy system will require a tree removal permit, the application shall be referred to the Tree Conservation Advisory Commission.
- (2) Roof-mounted solar energy systems.
  - (a) Roof-mounted solar energy systems that use the electricity on site or off site are permitted as an accessory use in all zoning districts when attached to any lawfully permitted building or structure.
  - (b) Height. Solar energy systems shall not exceed the maximum height restrictions of the zoning district within which they are located and are provided the same height exemptions granted to building-mounted mechanical devices or equipment.
  - (c) Aesthetics. Roof-mounted solar energy system installations shall incorporate, when feasible, the following design requirements:
    - [1] Panels installed on pitched roofs and facing the front yard must be mounted at the same angle as the roof's surface with a maximum distance of 18 inches between the roof and highest edge of the system. Panels installed on flat roofs must be installed so that they are not visible or suitably screened.

⁽³⁾ Ground-mounted solar energy systems.

- (a) Ground-mounted solar energy systems that use the electricity primarily on site are permitted as accessory structures in all zoning districts.
- (b) Setback and height. Ground-mounted solar energy systems shall adhere to the setback requirements of the underlying zoning district and shall not exceed 15 feet in height in residential zones and 20 feet in height in all other zones.
- (c) The surface area covered by ground-mounted solar panels shall be included in total lot coverage and shall not exceed 50% of the area of the lot, inclusive of all principal and accessory structures on the lot, as required by the underlying zone. The Planning Board, in its discretion, may increase the allowable lot coverage, if the applicant can demonstrate that there are no adverse impacts to the surrounding neighbors and community character.
- (d) All such systems in residential districts shall be installed on properties that are a minimum of one acre in size or more and shall be installed in the side or rear yards.
- (e) Landscape screening and buffering shall be required. A ground-mounted solar energy system shall be fully screened from adjacent residential properties, streets or roads on which it fronts or is visible from, and any other views which the Planning Board determines is necessary.
- F. Approval standards for large-scale solar systems as a main use permitted by special permit.
  - (1) Large-scale solar energy systems are permitted through the issuance of a special use permit within all zoning districts, subject to the requirements set forth in this section, including site plan approval. Large-scale solar energy systems are not permitted as a sole, principal use on properties within nonresidential zones. Applications for the installation of a large-scale solar energy system shall be submitted to the Planning Board for its review and action, which can include approval, approval with conditions, and denial. Where a solar energy system will require a tree removal permit, the application shall be referred to the Tree Conservation Advisory Commission.
  - (2) Special use permit application requirements. For a special permit application, the requirements of § 195-40 shall be met unless otherwise waived by the Planning Board, and as supplemented by the following provisions.
    - (a) If the property of the proposed project is to be leased, legal consent between all parties, specifying the use(s) of the land for the duration of the project, including easements and other agreements, shall be submitted.
    - (b) Site plans, survey and other documentation required by the Planning Board showing the layout of the solar energy system signed by a professional engineer or registered architect shall be required.

- (c) The equipment specification sheets shall be documented and submitted for all photovoltaic panels, significant components, mounting systems, and inverters that are to be installed.
- (d) Property operation and maintenance plan. Such plan shall describe continuing photovoltaic maintenance and property upkeep, such as mowing and trimming.
- (e) A statement detailing the loss of trees and other vegetation to be removed and the quantity of carbon sequestered by said trees and vegetation using the "Method for Calculating Carbon Sequestration by Trees in Urban and Suburban Settings" of the U.S. Department of Energy, or other recognized methodology and a comparison of this data to the reduction of carbon emissions representative of the electrical output of the proposed facility that would have been produced from a traditional fossil fuel electrical generation plant.
- (3) Special use permit standards.
  - (a) Height and setback. Large-scale solar energy systems shall adhere to the setback requirements of the underlying zoning district, except that the Planning Board may impose greater setbacks if it determines that the minimum setbacks do not provide adequate protection against identified negative impacts. In residential districts the minimum setbacks shall be complied with except that no setback shall be less than 50 feet from any property boundary. The height of ground-mounted systems shall be limited to 15 feet in residential zones and 20 feet in all other zones. Roof-mounted systems shall be limited to the height requirements of the underlying zone except that panels installed on flat roofs must be installed so that they are not visible or suitably screened.
  - (b) Lot size. Large-scale energy systems shall be located on lots with a minimum lot size of two acres in residential zones. Lot size in nonresidential zones shall comply with the requirement in the underlying zone.
  - (c) Lot coverage. A large-scale solar energy system that is ground-mounted shall not exceed 80% of the lot on which it is installed. The surface area covered by solar panels shall be included in total lot coverage. Where a solar energy system is not the principal use of the site, the lot coverage may exceed that of the underlying zone, but in no case shall exceed 50%, including all principal and accessory structures on the lot as required by the underlying zone.
  - (d) All ground-mounted large-scale energy systems shall be enclosed by fencing to prevent unauthorized access. Warning signs with the owner's contact information shall be placed on the entrance and perimeter of the fencing. The type of fencing shall be determined by the Planning Board. The fencing and the system may be further screened by any landscaping

needed to avoid adverse aesthetic impacts. Fencing for ground-mounted systems that function as canopies or carports above parking areas may not be required, provided that the Planning Board determines the visual and aesthetic impacts to the surrounding area is not significantly adversely affected.

- (e) Any application under this section shall meet any substantive provisions contained in site plan requirements in the Chapter 195 of the Town Code entitled "Land Development" and Chapter 300 of the Town Code entitled "Zoning" that, in the judgment of the Planning Board, are applicable to the system being proposed. The Planning Board may waive one or more of the requirements therein.
- (f) The Planning Board may impose conditions on its approval of any special use permit under this section in order to enforce the standards referred to in this section or in order to discharge its obligations under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA).
- (g) Landscape screening and buffering shall be required. A landscape plan shall be submitted and approved by the Planning Board. Large-scale solar energy systems shall be fully screened from adjacent residential properties, streets or roads on which it fronts or is visible from, and any other views, which the Planning Board determines is necessary. Views from adjacent commercial properties shall be minimized to the extent reasonably practicable and screened from streets or roads on which it fronts. Screening of systems that function as canopies or carports above parking areas may not be required, provided that the Planning Board determines the visual and aesthetic impacts to the surrounding area is not significantly adversely affected. Screening and buffering may be accomplished using architectural features, earth berms, landscaping, or other screening methods that will harmonize with the character of the property and surrounding area. Native pollinator (birds, bats, bees, and multiple species of insects) habitats may be required to be established on a solar energy system where appropriate. Such habitats may consist of short-growing, low-maintenance, native seed mix underneath and around panels, diverse pollinator seed mix in between panels, buffers of vegetation that attract and benefit pollinators, and native flowering plants and grasses.
- (h) Mitigation for tree loss under Chapter 270, when required, will be developed to mitigate for the carbon sequestration ability of the removed trees to the greatest extent practicable.
- G. Abandonment and decommissioning.
  - (1) All applications for a solar farm shall be accompanied by a decommissioning plan to be implemented upon abandonment, or cessation of activity, or in conjunction with removal of the facility, prior to issuance of a building permit. The Planning Board in its sole discretion may require the applicant to file a

decommissioning bond prior to the issuance of any permits.

- (2) If the applicant begins but does not complete construction of the project within 18 months after receiving final site plan approval, this may be deemed abandonment of the project and require implementation of the decommissioning plan to the extent applicable.
- (3) The decommissioning plan must ensure that the site will be restored to a useful, nonhazardous condition without delay, including, but not limited to, the following:
  - (a) A cost estimate detailing the projected cost of executing the decommissioning plan shall be prepared by a professional engineer or contractor. Cost estimations shall take into account inflation.
  - (b) Removal of aboveground and below-ground equipment, structures and foundations.
  - (c) Restoration of the surface grade and soil after removal of equipment.
  - (d) Revegetation of restored soil areas with native seed mixes, excluding any invasive species. The Planning Board may require restoration of former forested areas using native species formerly on the site, and at a rate that will ensure the survival and maturation of the forest.
  - (e) The plan shall include a timeframe for the completion of site restoration work.
- (4) Solar energy systems are deemed abandoned after one year without electrical energy generation and must be removed from the property. Applications for extensions are reviewed by the Planning Board and may be extended for a period of one year. The maximum number of extensions is five. At the expiration of the system, it must be decommissioned.
- (5) If the large scale solar energy system is not decommissioned after being considered abandoned, the municipality may remove the system and restore the property and impose a lien on the property to cover the costs to the municipality.
- H. Enforcement. Any violation of this Solar Energy Law shall be subject to the same civil and criminal penalties provided for in Chapter 300, Zoning, of the Code of Town of Yorktown.

## **EV Charging Stations**

#### TOWN OF YORKTOWN TOWN BOARD

Resolved, the Town Clerk is authorized to refer out to appropriate agencies for their review and/or recommendation a proposed local law amending Chapter 300 "ZONING" entitled "Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Stations."

Further Resolved, the Town Board declares its intent to act as Lead Agency. We are transmitting the following referral for your review and recommendations and ask that you respond back to the Town Clerk by Friday, April 15, RECEIVED PLANNING DEPARTMENT

ΤO			
10.	APR 1 2022		Wastahastar County
$\square$	File	$\square$	Planning Department / Board
	Town Clerk	Ħ	Dent of Public Works
	ABACA	H	Dept. of Health
	Building Inspector	H	Parks & Recreation
	Community Housing Board	H	Environmental Facilities
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Conservation Board	H	Soil & Water
Ē	Fire:		
	Lake Mohegan		New York State
	Vorktown		DEC Albany
$\boxtimes$	Highway Dept.		DEC New Paltz (Region III)
	Open Space Committee	$\boxtimes$	DOT
	Planning Dept. / Board (6)		Parks & Recreation
$\boxtimes$	Police Dept.		
	Public Safety Committee		NYC DEP
	Recreation Commission		Army Corp. of Engineers
	School District:		
	Yorktown		Bordering Municipality
		×	Town of Cortlandt
	Town Attorney	X	Town of Ossining
	Town Board	×	Town of Somers
	Trac Concernation Advisory Committee		Town of Putnam valley
	Water Department		Vorktown Chamber of Commerce
8	Wetlands Inspector	H	Other
H	Yorktown Land Trust		Other – Zoning Board of Appeals
	Torrito Wil Luna Trust		outer - Zohnig Bourd of Appears
FROM:	DIANA L. QUAST, YORKTOWN TOWN	CLER	K, CERTIFIED MUNICIPAL CLERK
SUDIECT.	We are transmitting the following.	anagad	level low amonding Chapter 200 (ZONING)
SUBJECT.	entitled "Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging St	ations "	local law amending Chapter 500 (2011110)
	childed Electric vehicle (Ev) charging St	ations.	
DATE:	March 28, 2022		
	polication/Petition		
	awings Wetlands	Permit	Application
	AF SEORA S	cope	-FL
ΠĒΑ	AF Addendum $\square$ Other – Pr	roposed	Local Law
FOR YOUR:	$\square$ Information $\square$ Review $\square$	Comme	ent

A LOCAL LAW to amend Chapter 300 of the Code of the Town of Yorktown entitled "ZONING"

Be it enacted by the Town Board of the Town of Yorktown as follows:

Section I. Statement of Authority.

This local law is authorized by the New York State Constitution, the provisions of the New York Municipal Home Rule Law, the relevant provisions of the Town Law of the State of New York, the laws of the Town of Yorktown and the general police power vested with the Town of Yorktown to promote the health, safety and welfare of all residents and property owners in the Town.

#### Section II.

Section 300-3(B) of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby amended by adding the following definitions:

#### Electric Vehicle ("EV") Charging Station

An apparatus designed to provide electric battery charging for electric powered vehicles and installed in publicly accessed parking areas and lots.

#### Electronic visual output device

An electronic illuminated screen similar to a computer or television monitor or display, whether monochrome or colored, and of common types such as cathode ray tube (CRT), thin film transistor (TFT), liquid crystal display (LCD), light-emitting diode (LED) or organic light-emitting diode (OLED).

Section 300-21(C) of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby amended by adding the following 300-21(C)(7)(b)[4]:

**Electric Vehicle Charging Stations** 

Section 300-21(C) of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby amended by adding the following 300-21(C)(8)(c)[6]:

#### **Electric Vehicle Charging Stations**

Section 300-46(N)(5) of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby amended by adding a new section 300-46(N)(5)(a) as follows:

Illuminated signs comprised of an electronic visual output device such as a monitor or visual display which is incorporated into a fuel pump for the purpose of delivering commercial advertising, news, or general information are permitted as provided in Article XX Signs.

1

Section 300-193.1 of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby amended by adding the following new section 300-193.1(Q):

Illuminated signs comprised of an electronic visual output device such as a monitor or visual display which is incorporated into an electric vehicle charging station or fuel pump for the purpose of delivering commercial advertising, news, or general information as defined in this chapter and as provided in 300-193.10.2.

Section 300-193 of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby amended by replacing 300-193.10 with the flowing language:

Illuminated alternating image or video-based message signs.

Section 300-193 of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby amended by adding the following new section 300-193.10.1:

Time-temperature-date signs. Time-temperature-date signs are permitted as permanent accessory signs on commercially developed parcels notwithstanding the general prohibition on changing signs. These signs may only display numerical information in an easily comprehensible way. They may be freestanding or building signs and are subject to the regulations applicable to such signs. They shall be counted as part of an occupancy's allowable sign area.

Section 300-193 of the Code of the Town of Yorktown is hereby amended by adding the following new section 300-193.10.2:

Illuminated signs on EV charging stations or fuel pumps are permitted and are exempt from the requirements of this section provided they comply with the following standards:

- a) The sign may not be incorporated into equipment that exceeds 7.5 feet in height or three feet in width.
- b) The electronic visual output device shall not exceed 9 square feet per screen.
- c) No more than one screen shall be permitted on each side of a charging station or fuel pump and no more than two screens shall be permitted per station or fuel pump.
- d) Non-video, static image advertising or messaging systems shall be equipped with an autodimming feature.
- e) Non-video, static image advertising or messaging systems shall limit content refresh rates to no less than every eight (8) seconds.
- f) Video or sound is prohibited unless permitted by the authorized board under site plan or special permit approval.

Compliance with these standards shall be regulated and maintained through site plan or special permit approval or amendment thereto in accordance with this Chapter 300.

Section III. Severability.

If any clause, sentence, phrase, paragraph or any part of this local law shall for any reason be adjudicated finally by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, such judgment shall not affect, impair or invalidate the remainder of this local law, but shall be confined in its operation and effect to the clause, sentence, phrase, paragraph or part thereof, directly involved in the controversy or action in which such judgment shall have been rendered. It is hereby declared to be the legislative intent that the remainder of this local law would have been adopted had any such provisions been excluded.

#### Section IV. Repeal

All ordinances, local laws and parts thereof inconsistent with this Local Law are hereby repealed to the extent of such inconsistency.

Section V. Effective Date.

This local law shall become effective upon filing in the office of the Secretary of State in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Home Rule Law.



Rivertown Square – 47-55 Hamilton Street, Dobbs Ferry, New York 10522

## **Existing Electric Vehicle Charging/Display Kiosks**



Broadway Commons Mall - 358 N. Broadway Commons, Hicksville, New York 11801



Stop & Shop- 505 North Main Street, Southington, CT



Black Rock Shopping Center - 2181 Black Rock Turnpike, Fairfield, CT



Stop & Shop - 597 Farmington Avenue, Bristol, CT



Macy's, Smith Haven Mall – 2 Smith Haven Mall, Lake Grove, New York 11755



March 23rd, 2022

# **Stop & Shop Litchfield**

VOLTA

Concept

55 Village Green Dr, Litchfield, CT 06759



www.spexbook.com/3D



## Stop & Shop Litchfield

Concept



## spexbook





## spexbook



## Stop & Shop Litchfield



## spexbook

## ZBA #22/22 Clifford

RECEIVED Zoning Board of Appeals MAR 2 4 2022 TOWN OF YORKTOWN, NY Town of Yorktown www.yorktownny.org **Building Department** Town Hall, 363 Underhill Avenue, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 -SI Tel. (914) 962-5722 ext.233 | Fax (914) 962-1731 | Email: building@yorktownny.org 00 Application for a Zoning Variance (Please legibly complete all lines on the application) Office use only Date: 3/24/22 Received by: __ Fee Paid: Application #: 2 (entral A total of 6 copies of the following are to be submitted to the Legal Assistant: **Application Form** • Notice of Denial A survey map or plot plan showing all existing and proposed buildings and structures All data relating to the variance *Please check with the Building Department to determine if you need to fill out an **Environmental Assessment Form** Fee of \$210.00 All items (1-9) must be completed near Central 2. Section 48.07 Block 2 Lot 28 3. Date the title of premise was acquired by the applicant _ 4. The same premises is now improved with a Single tand home (Type of Building or Structure)

1

To allow the sub-druisini 5. The Variance Requested is as follows: _ Semil Single an existin , me trenting and on traiting im in a(n) R1-20 zoning district. 6. Telephone Number (Home) _____ (Work) ____ 7. Email GRACELAW Jearl. com 8. Address of Subject Property: 1625 Central Street Yorkton Heeguks 9. Address of Applicant/Owner: _______ Jo GABLE & CABLE 360 Unduli 1 Are 3/54/22 Jeven Clifford Signature of Applicant Name of Applicant (please print) Date Signature of Owner Name of Owner (If not applicant) XG 2 Single Janual home

2





NING SCHEDULE:			L
ONING DISTRICT:	R1-10, 5	SINGLE FAMILY RESIDE	NTIAL
MENSIONAL REGULATIONS:	REQUIRED	PROVIDED	VARIANCE REQUIRED
INIMUM SIZE OF LOT:			·
MINIMUM LOT AREA:	20,000 SF.	15,000 SF.	NONE
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH:	80 FT.	200 FT.	NONE
INIMUM YARD DIMENSIONS:			
PRINCIPAL BUILDING:			
FRONT YARD SETBACK:	30 FT.	16.1 FT. (1)	NONE
REAR YARD SETBACK:	30 FT.	35.2 FT.	NONE
ONE SIDE YARD SETBACK:	12 FT.	22.1 FT.	NONE
COMBINED SIDE YARD SETBACK:	24 FT.	22.1 FT.	NONE
AXIMUM % OF LOT TO BE OCCUPIED:			
PRINCIPAL BUILDING COVERAGE:	25% OF LOT AREA	7.6 % OF LOT AREA	NONE
AXIMUM HEIGHT:			
PRINCIPAL BUILDING - FEET:	35 FEET	35 FT MAX	NONE
	1		

REQUIRED PARKING:	1 SPACE PER DWELLING
PROVIDED PARKING:	1 STANDARD <u>0 HANDICAP</u>
TOTAL PROVIDED PARKING:	1 SPACE
PARKING VARIANCE REQUIRED:	0 SPACES


## ZBA #23/22 Wallack Family

RECEIVED Zoning Board of Appeals Office Min' an MAR 2 4 2022 TOWN OF YORKTOWN, NY Town of Yorktown www.yorktownny.org Building Department Town Hall, 363 Underhill Avenue, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 llow Tel. (914) 962-5722 ext.233 | Fax (914) 962-1731 | Email: building@yorktownny.org **Application for a Zoning Variance** (Please legibly complete all lines on the application) Office use only Fee Paid: - \$210 Date: 3/24/22 Received by: KB Application #: 23, Vicob Poa A total of **6 copies** of the following are to be submitted to the Legal Assistant: **Application Form** Notice of Denial A survey map or plot plan showing all existing and proposed buildings and structures All data relating to the variance *Please check with the Building Department to determine if you need to fill out an Environmental Assessment Form allardt Fee of \$210.00 March 24. Date: NINIA All items (1-9) must be completed 1. Premises located on the <u>Sauth</u> (North, South, East, W side of Jucob Street, Road, Drive 13 36 Block Lot 2. Section 3. Date the title of premise was acquired by the applicant 4. The same premises is now improved with a Multyple buildings known as Jaf Den (Type of Building or Structure)

1

5. The Variance Requested is as follows: To allow an accessor structure ; with barn 301 2" al e maxi Alexuns is in K-140 indred a R-140 zoning district. in a(n) 6. Telephone Number (Home) <u>Jo Grance + Grance</u> (Work) 914962-6100 7. Email GRACELAWLE adl- Com 8. Address of Subject Property: 1549 JAcob Rea MY Certlandt Mann 9. Address of Applicant/Owner: Wallack Famphing himstel Parties 1549 Jacob allardt Menu My 10006 ack tomity hand. Applicant (please print) Date Signature of Applicant Owner Signature Mult. Jole Guldup

armon as for ben

RECEIVED VB

MAR 2 4 2022

TOWN OF YORKTOWN, NY



## **I** Town of Yorktown

Building Department Town Hall, 363 Underhill Avenue, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 Tel. (914) 962-5722 ext.233 Fax (914) 962-1731

## Notice of Denial

To: Wallack Family LTD

Date: March 24, 2022

Regarding: New Barn

Name of Applicant: Wallack Family LTD

Location of Proposed Use/ Development: 1549 Jacob Rd

Tax#: Section: Block: Lot(s): 36.13-1-4 Type of Application:

- Building Permit (x)
- Special Use Permit () Type of Proposed Use: ()
- Other (specify):

Zoning District: R1-40/R1-160

Please Take Notice that your application is denied on the following grounds:

This is an application to allow construction of New Barn (accessory building) with a height of 30'2" where 15' is allowed as per 300-21 and Appendix A of the Town Zoning Code. This property is located in a R1-40/R-160 zone.

Please Take Further Notice that it is the right of the property owner or his/her authorized representative to appeal this determination to the Town of Yorktown Zoning Board of Appeals by applying for a use variance, area variance, or interpretation of the applicable section of local law. Appeals applications are available at the Building Department and at the Town web site at <a href="http://www.yorktownny.org">www.yorktownny.org</a>.

Assistant Building Inspector Steven Fraietta











## **Traffic Mitigation**