

# Old Hill Farm Solar Farm

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT



Town of Yorktown Westchester County, New York July 28, 2021 Revised: January 14, 2022

# **PREPARED FOR:**

Hillside Solar LLC c/o Kathryn Hoenig 227 Guard Hill Road Bedford Corners, NY 10549

# **PREPARED BY:**

Bergmann

2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205

Phone: 518.862.0325 www.bergmannpc.com



#### Table of Contents

Sectio	on		Page
Title F	Page		i
Table	of Conte	ents	1
Sectio	on I:	General Information	2
A.	PROJE	CT DESCRIPTION	2
В.	SOIL C	LASSIFICATION	2
Sectio	on II:	Hydrology	3
Α.	METHO	DDOLOGY	3
В.	EXISTI	NG CONDITIONS	3
C.	PROPC	DSED CONDITIONS	4
Sectio	on III:	Stormwater Management & SPDES Phase II Requirements	s5
Α.	WATEF	R QUALITY VOLUME	5
В.	CHANI	NEL PROTECTION VOLUME	6
C.	RUNO	FF REDUCTION VOLUME	6
D.	OVERB	SANK FLOOD	6
E.	EXTRE	ME STORM	6
Sectio	on IV:	Summary of Findings	7
A.	SUMMA	ARY OF RESULTS	7
В.	CONCL	USION	
A	ppendi	x R-1 – Existing Conditions Drainage Map and Hy	droCAD Report
А	ppendi	x R-2 – Proposed Conditions Drainage Map and H	HydroCAD Report

Appendix R-3 – NRCS Soil Report



#### Section I

# **General Information**

#### A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed Old Hill Farm Solar Farm is located within the Town of Yorktown, Westchester County, New York. The project consists of a limited use pervious gravel driveway and solar arrays with appurtenant utilities. The proposed solar project will be constructed on parcel 16.08-1-4. The site is proposed to be developed in a phased approach, with the full development covering a total of  $15.0\pm$  acres, no more than 5 acres will be disturbed at any given time. The land disturbance will consist mainly of the clearing of former farm area with subsequent tree growth. An existing gravel driveway will be used for preliminary site access. The construction of the limited use pervious gravel driveway will be completed following installation and connection of the solar panels. The proposed project will be constructed in a phased approach as detailed in the project plan set.

#### B. SOIL CLASSIFICATION

According to the Natural Resources Conservation Service website (NRCS), there are four (4) mapped soil units identified on the project property. Charlton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes is the dominant soil type and is located on approximately 49.1% of the site. These soils have a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

The complete list of soils found on the project site is identified in the table below.

Symbol	Soil Name	Hydrologic Soil Group
ChB	Charlton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	В
ChC	Charlton fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	В
LcB	Leicester loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, stony	A/D
Sh	Sun Ioam	C/D

#### Table I Soil Summary



#### Section II Hydrology

#### A. METHODOLOGY

Stormwater runoff rates discharged from the site under the existing conditions provide the basis on which to compare the impacts of the proposed site improvements. The areas draining to each analysis point are delineated using topographic survey maps and grading plans. HydroCAD 10.0 by HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC was used to model the existing and proposed condition.

The parameters required to calculate stormwater runoff are area, curve number, and time of concentration. Each drainage area is evaluated using the guidelines described in USDA Soil Conservation Service's TR-55 to determine the curve number and time of concentration.

The runoff curve number (CN) is based on a weighted average of ground cover and soil type. The underlying soil types are described in county soil maps. Site and grading plans and survey maps outline existing and proposed ground cover. CN values for specific locations are determined from the tables presented in TR-55. The CN value for the limited use gravel pavement was calculated manually using the SCS runoff curve number equation provided in TR-55.

Time of concentration (Tc) represents the amount of time it takes for runoff to travel from the hydraulically most distant point of the watershed to the point of analysis. Surface roughness, slope, channel shape and flow patterns are the factors that affect the time of concentration. Stormwater runoff flows through the drainage area as sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow, open channel flow, or concentrated flow (such as in storm sewers). The sum of the travel times over the various surfaces within the assumed flow path for a specific drainage area determines that area's time of concentrated flow. The proposed project will install level spreaders along the contours to mitigate the impact of the proposed construction on the time of concentration.



#### B. EXISTING CONDITIONS

The drainage areas analyzed has been calculated to be a total of 16.688± acres. This drainage area is further categorized into five sub areas with site runoff conveyed via sheet flow and shallow concentrated flow. The five sub-areas are named DR-A, DR-B, DR-C, DR-D and DR-E. The parcel to be developed consists of grass, wetlands, and wooded areas.

Drainage	Description	Size	Composite	Тс
Area		(ac)	Cn	(min)
DR-A	This area consists of meadow, an existing gravel driveway, wooded areas, and a house. This area drains north via sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow and ultimately discharges to an existing 12" CIP cross road culvert designated as Design point #1 (DP-1).	1.859	60	16.1
DR-B	This area consists of meadow, an existing gravel driveway, wooded areas, foundation remains and a house. This area drains north via sheet flow and shallow concentrated flow to a roadside drainage path which ultimately discharges to an existing wetland east of the project site designated as Design point #2 (DP-2)	1.294	60	17.3
DR-C	This area consists of meadow and wooded areas. This area drains east via sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow and ultimately discharges to and existing wetland east of the project site designated as Design point #3 (DP-3).	3.317	60	12.6
DR-D	This area consists of meadow, some woods, a portion of an existing gravel driveway, and a garage. This area drains west via sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow and ultimately discharges towards the western property line. The area where stormwater leaves the site is designated as Design point #4 (DP-4).	4.324	60	15.9
DR-E	This area consists of meadow and former farm area with subsequent tree growth, as well as some woods. This area drains east via sheet flow and shallow concentrated flow and ultimately discharges toward the eastern property line. The area where stormwater leaves the site is designated as Design point #5 (DP-5).	5.894	64	17.0

#### Table II Existing Conditions Summary



#### C. PROPOSED CONDITIONS

The proposed drainage area comprises a total of 16.688± acres. In the proposed (post-development) condition, the site will be comprised of five drainage areas that represents all of the site runoff. The four drainage areas are labeled DR-1, DR-2, DR-3, DR-4, and DR-5. Each area will drain via sheet flow and shallow concentrated flow to their designated design points as it does in the pre-development conditions.

Drainage	Description	Size	Composite	Tc (min)
Area		(ac)	Cn	
DR-1	This area consists of meadow, solar panels, and some woods. This area drains north via sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow and ultimately discharges to an existing 12" CIP cross road culvert designated as Design point #1 (DP-1).	1.787	58	15.2
DR-2	This area consists of meadow, solar panels, a portion of the limited use pervious gravel driveway and a small portion of woods. This area drains north via sheet flow and shallow concentrated flow to a proposed detention pond which ultimately discharges in a controlled manner to an existing wetland east of the project site designated as Design point #2 (DP-2)	1.561	60	17.3
DR-3	This area consists of solar panels and meadow. This area drains east via sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow and ultimately discharges to and existing wetland east of the project site designated as Design point #3 (DP-3).	3.317	58	12.6
DR-4	This area consists of meadow, solar panels, a portion of the limited use pervious gravel driveway and some woods. This area drains west via sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow and ultimately discharges towards the western property line. The area where stormwater leaves the site is designated as Design point #4 (DP-4).	4.130	60	15.9
DR-5	This area consists of meadow, solar panels, a small portion of the limited use pervious gravel driveway and some woods. This area drains east via sheet flow and shallow concentrated flow and ultimately discharges toward the eastern property line. The area where stormwater leaves the site is designated as Design point #5 (DP-5).	5.894	59	17.0

#### Table III Proposed Conditions Summary



#### Section III Stormwater Management & SPDES Phase II Requirements

#### State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES)

Since the subject site will have land disturbance of more than 1-acre a State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit will be completed as part of the project. A Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be developed in accordance with the EPA Phase II regulations. The SWPPP will be for the most part modeled on the New York State DEC Guidelines and will meet the following criteria as the principle objectives contained in an approved SWPPP.

- 1) Reduction or elimination of erosion and sediment loading to water-bodies during construction activities.
- 2) Control the impact of storm water runoff on the water quality of the receiving waters.
- 3) Control the increase volume and peak runoff rate of runoff during and after construction.
- 4) Maintenance of storm water controls during and after completion of construction.

The aforementioned objectives will be accomplished by incorporating the several of the design criteria outlined within the Technical Guidelines provided by New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Stormwater Management Design Manual and summarized below.

#### A. WATER QUALITY VOLUME

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Stormwater Management Design Manual was used to determine the water quality criteria. Specifically, the unified storm water sizing criteria was followed for water quality to meet the State of New York pollutant goals. The water quantity volume is intended to improve water quality by capturing and treating 90% of the average annual storm water runoff volume.

The following equation is given within the design manual for calculating the water quality storage volume.

The proposed project is using a limited use pervious gravel section for the design of the gravel driveway. This driveway section is considered a pervious surface. The proposed project is classified as a redevelopment project with a decrease in impervious area, so water quality treatment is not required.



#### B. CHANNEL PROTECTION VOLUME

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Stormwater Management Design Manual was used to determine the water quantity criteria. Specifically, mitigating the 10-year and 100-year post-development runoff rates to the predevelopment runoff rates and providing the 24-hour extended detention for the 1-year storm event is required.

The Channel Protection Volume is met by providing the 24 hour extended detention of the post developed 1 year, 24 hour storm event. In addition, since the project is classified as a redevelopment activity with a decrease in impervious area and the hydraulic analysis for the project site shows that the post-construction 1-year 24-hour discharge rate and velocity are less than the pre-construction discharge rate, providing the 24-hour detention of the 1-year storm to meet the channel protection criteria is not required.

#### C. RUNOFF REDUCTION VOLUME

According to the stormwater management design manual Chapter 9 section 9.2.1.II meeting the Runoff reduction volume (RRv) sizing criteria is not required for the redevelopment activity portion of a project. In addition, most of the drainage areas on site will not alter the hydrology of the site from pre to post development.

#### D. OVERBANK FLOOD

Overbank Flood protection is provided by controlling the peak discharge from the 10-year storm to 10-year predevelopment rates. This requirement is being satisfied as the proposed development will not impact the hydrology of the site from pre to post development for a majority of the drainage areas on site. However, where the peak flow rate from the 10-year storm shows an increase, a detention pond has been designed to provide adequate detention of the peak discharge flow rates.

#### E. EXTREME STORM

Extreme Storm protection is provided by controlling the peak discharge from the 100-year storm to 100year predevelopment rates. This requirement is being satisfied as the proposed development will not impact the hydrology of the site from pre to post development for a majority of the drainage areas on site. However, where the peak flow rate from the 100-year storm shows an increase, a detention pond has been designed to provide adequate detention of the peak discharge flow rates.



#### Section IV Summary of Findings

#### A. Summary of Results

The following tables shows a summary of comparison pre-development and post-development flow rates. The values account for the full development of the site in all phases.

Design (Analysis Daint	1-year Design Storm Discharge		
Design/Analysis Point	Existing	Proposed	
Design Point 1 (DP-1)	0.27	0.16	
Design Point 2 (DP-2)	0.18	0.09	
Design Point 3 (DP-2)	0.57	0.34	
Design Point 4 (DP-4)	0.63	0.60	
Drainage Point 5 (DP-5)	1.72	0.65	

Table V – Existing and Proposed Peak Discharge for the 1-year Storm (cfs)

Table VI Evicting	and Dranacaa	Dool Dicoborgo	for the 10	icor Storm (	afa)
$1able v_1 - rxistino$	and Prodused	I PEAK DISCHALUE	eror mero-v	vear storm (	CIN
				,	,

Design (Analysis Deint	10-year Design Storm Discharge		
Design/Analysis Point	Existing	Proposed	
Design Point 1 (DP-1)	2.91	2.53	
Design Point 2 (DP-2)	1.95	1.83	
Design Point 3 (DP-2)	5.92	5.19	
Design Point 4 (DP-4)	6.82	6.52	
Drainage Point 5 (DP-5)	11.29	8.39	

Table VII – Existing and Proposed Peak Discharge for the 100-year Storm (cfs)

Existing Drainage Area	100-year Design Storm Discharge		
Proposed Drainage Area	Existing	Proposed	
Design Point 1 (DP-1)	9.42	8.71	
Design Point 2 (DP-2)	6.32	6.19	
Design Point 3 (DP-2)	18.91	17.73	
Design Point 4 (DP-4)	22.03	21.04	
Drainage Point 5 (DP-5)	32.69	28.12	

As depicted in the above tables, the peak discharge from the site for each of the design storms will decrease after this project is constructed and the stormwater management plan is implemented.



#### B. Conclusion

Based on the calculations attached in the appendices of this report, the majority of the project site will not impact the hydrology of the site from pre to post development. A proposed detention pond will decrease peak discharge rates from the areas of the site where the peak discharge rate has increased by the proposed development. All in all, the project will not have any adverse impact on the hydrology of the site from pre to post development and will meet all Town of Yorktown and New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) requirements.



# Appendix R-1 Existing Conditions Drainage Map And HydroCAD Report



# LEGEND

Ι	T	-	Ι	T		I	
		→ —			 <b>→</b> -		

SOIL TYPE BOUNDARY
DRAINAGE AREA BOUNDARY
TIME OF CONCENTRATION FLOW PATH



2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

# HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549

# OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Description

Date Revised

# PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Project Manager	Discipline Lead		
ECR	ECR		
Designer	Reviewer		
WD	ECR		
Date Issued	Project Number		
07/28/2021	14064.11		

Sheet Name

# EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP

**DR-EX** 

of **11** 

Drawing Number



# Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description	
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)	
0.092	96	Gravel surface, HSG B (DR-A, DR-D)	
9.313	58	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B (DR-A, DR-B, DR-C, DR-D, DR-E)	
0.120	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG B (DR-A, DR-B, DR-D)	
7.163	65	Woods/grass comb., Fair, HSG B (DR-A, DR-B, DR-C, DR-D, DR-E)	

# Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.000	HSG A	
16.688	HSG B	DR-A, DR-B, DR-C, DR-D, DR-E
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.000	Other	

# Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatchment
 (acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Numbers
 0.000	0.092	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.092	Gravel surface	DR-A, DR-D
0.000	9.313	0.000	0.000	0.000	9.313	Meadow, non-grazed	DR-A, DR-B,
							DR-C, DR-D,
							DR-E
0.000	0.120	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.120	Unconnected roofs	DR-A, DR-B,
							DR-D
0.000	7.163	0.000	0.000	0.000	7.163	Woods/grass comb., Fair	DR-A, DR-B,
							DR-C, DR-D,
							DR-E

# Summary for Subcatchment DR-A: DR-A

Runoff = 0.27 cfs @ 12.15 hrs, Volume= 0.032 af, Depth> 0.21"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

	Area (	ac) (	CN	Desc	ription			
	1.5	1.548 58 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B						
	0.2	237	65	Wood	ds/grass d	omb., Fair,	HSG B	
	0.055 96 Gravel surface, HSG B							
	0.0	019	98	Unco	nnected r	oofs, HSG	В	
	1.8	359	60	Weig	hted Aver	age		
	1.8	340		98.98	3% Pervio	us Area		
	0.0	019		1.02%	% Impervi	ous Area		
	0.0	019		100.0	0% Unco	nnected		
	Тс	Length	S	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
_	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)		
	12.9	100	0.	0295	0.13		Sheet Flow,	
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"	
	3.2	277	0.	0437	1.46		Shallow Concentrated Flow,	
_							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps	
	16.1	377	To	otal				

#### Subcatchment DR-A: DR-A



# Summary for Subcatchment DR-B: DR-B

Runoff = 0.18 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.022 af, Depth> 0.21"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

	Area (	(ac)	CN	Adj	Descript	tion				
1.015 58 N					Meadow	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B				
	0.2	233	65		Woods/g	grass comb	o., Fair, HSG B			
_	0.0	046	98		Unconne	ected roofs	, HSG B			
	1.2	294	61	60	Weighte	d Average,	, UI Adjusted			
	1.2	248			96.45%	Pervious A	rea			
	0.0	046			3.55% lr	mpervious /	Area			
	0.0	046			100.00%	6 Unconnec	cted			
	_		_							
	Тс	Length	n S	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
	14.0	100	0.0	0240	0.12		Sheet Flow,			
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"			
	3.3	330	) 0.0	0573	1.68		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps			
	17.3	430	) To	otal						

#### Subcatchment DR-B: DR-B



# Summary for Subcatchment DR-C: DR-C

Runoff = 0.57 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.058 af, Depth> 0.21"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

	Area (	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
	0.948 65 Woods/grass comb., Fair					, HSG B
2.369 58 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B						G B
	3.	317 6	60 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	3.	317	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.3	100	0.0670	0.18		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.3	347	0.0630	1.76		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	40.0	4 4 7	<b>T</b> . ( . )			

12.6 447 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-C: DR-C



#### Hydrograph

# Summary for Subcatchment DR-D: DR-D

Runoff = 0.63 cfs @ 12.15 hrs, Volume= 0.075 af, Depth> 0.21"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

	Area (	(ac) (	CN	Desc	ription		
	3.2	221	58	Mead	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GB
1.011 65 Woods/grass comb., Fair, HSG B						HSG B	
	0.037 96 Gravel surface, HSG B						
	0.0	055	98	Unco	nnected r	oofs, HSG	В
	4.:	324	60	Weig	hted Aver	age	
	4.2	269		98.73	3% Pervio	us Area	
	0.0	055		1.279	% Impervi	ous Area	
	0.0	055		100.0	00% Unco	nnected	
	Тс	Length	า :	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.4	100	) ()	.0659	0.18		Sheet Flow,
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	6.5	629	90	.0528	1.61		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	15.9	729	) Т	otal			

Subcatchment DR-D: DR-D



# Summary for Subcatchment DR-E: DR-E

Runoff = 1.72 cfs @ 12.14 hrs, Volume= 0.154 af, Depth> 0.31"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

	Area (	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
	4.734 65 Woods/grass comb., Fair					, HSG B
	1.160 58 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B					
	5.	894 6	64 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	5.	894	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	13.8	100	0.0247	0.12		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.2	430	0.1006	2.22		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	470	-00	T . ( . )			

17.0 530 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-E: DR-E



# Summary for Link DP-1: Design Point #1

Inflow Are	ea =	1.859 ac,	1.02% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 0.	21" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	0.27 cfs @	12.15 hrs, Volume=	= 0.032 af	
Primary	=	0.27 cfs @	12.15 hrs, Volume=	= 0.032 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-1: Design Point #1

# Summary for Link DP-2: Design Point #2

Inflow Are	a =	1.294 ac,	3.55% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 0.	21" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	0.18 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	= 0.022 af	
Primary	=	0.18 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	= 0.022 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-2: Design Point #2

# Summary for Link DP-3: Design Point #3

Inflow A	Area	ι =	3.317 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	0.21"	for 1-Year event
Inflow		=	0.57 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume	)≕ 0.058 a	af	
Primary	y	=	0.57 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume	⊭ 0.058 a	af, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-3: Design Point #3

# Summary for Link DP-4: Design Point #4

Inflow A	rea =	4.324 ac,	1.27% Impervious, In	nflow Depth > 0.2	21" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	0.63 cfs @	12.15 hrs, Volume=	0.075 af	
Primary	=	0.63 cfs @	12.15 hrs, Volume=	0.075 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-4: Design Point #4

# Summary for Link DP-5: Design Point #5

Inflow A	rea =	5.894 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth $> 0$	.31" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	1.72 cfs @	12.14 hrs, Volume	= 0.154 af	
Primary	=	1.72 cfs @	12.14 hrs, Volume	= 0.154 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-5: Design Point #5

# Summary for Subcatchment DR-A: DR-A

Runoff = 2.91 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.189 af, Depth> 1.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

_	Area (	(ac) (	CN	Desc	ription		
	1.548 58 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B						
	0.2	237	65	Woo	ds/grass d	omb., Fair,	HSG B
0.055 96 Gravel surface, HSG B							
_	0.0	019	98	Unco	nnected r	oofs, HSG	В
	1.8	859	60	Weig	hted Aver	age	
	1.8	840		98.98	3% Pervio	us Area	
	0.0	019		1.02%	% Impervi	ous Area	
	0.0	019		100.0	00% Unco	nnected	
	Тс	Length	S	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	12.9	100	0.0	0295	0.13		Sheet Flow,
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.2	277	0.0	0437	1.46		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	16.1	377	To	otal			

Subcatchment DR-A: DR-A



# Summary for Subcatchment DR-B: DR-B

Runoff = 1.95 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.131 af, Depth> 1.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

	Area (	ac) (	CN	Adj	Descript	tion	
	1.0	015	58		Meadow	, non-graze	ed, HSG B
	0.2	233	65		Woods/	grass comb	o., Fair, HSG B
_	0.0	046	98		Unconne	ected roofs	, HSG B
	1.2	294	61	60	Weighte	ed Average,	, UI Adjusted
	1.2	248			96.45%	Pervious A	rea
	0.0	046			3.55% lr	mpervious /	Area
	0.0	046			100.00%	6 Unconnec	cted
	Тс	Length	1 8	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	14.0	100	0.	0240	0.12		Sheet Flow,
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.3	330	0.	0573	1.68		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	17.3	430	) To	otal			

#### Subcatchment DR-B: DR-B



# Summary for Subcatchment DR-C: DR-C

Runoff = 5.92 cfs @ 12.06 hrs, Volume= 0.338 af, Depth> 1.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

	Area (	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
	0.9	948 6	5 Woo	ods/grass o	omb., Fair,	, HSG B
	2.	369 5	58 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B
	3.	317 6	60 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	3.	317	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.3	100	0.0670	0.18		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.3	347	0.0630	1.76		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	40.0	4 4 7	<b>T</b> . ( . )			

12.6 447 Total

# Subcatchment DR-C: DR-C



# Summary for Subcatchment DR-D: DR-D

Runoff = 6.82 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.439 af, Depth> 1.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

Area	(ac) (	CN	Desc	ription		
3.	221	58	Mead	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GB
1.	011	65	Woo	ds/grass d	comb., Fair,	, HSG B
0.	037	96	Grav	el surface	, HSG B	
0.	055	98	Unco	onnected r	oofs, HSG	В
4.	324	60	Weig	hted Aver	age	
4.	269		98.73	3% Pervio	us Area	
0.	055		1.279	% Impervi	ous Area	
0.	055		100.0	00% Unco	nnected	
Тс	Length	SI	ope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(1	ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
9.4	100	0.0	659	0.18		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
6.5	629	0.0	528	1.61		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
15.9	729	Tot	al			

Subcatchment DR-D: DR-D



# Summary for Subcatchment DR-E: DR-E

Runoff = 11.29 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.731 af, Depth> 1.49"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

Are	a (ac)	CΝ	Desc	cription		
	4.734	65	5 Woo	ds/grass c	omb., Fair,	HSG B
	1.160	58	3 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B
	5.894	64	1 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	5.894		100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
Т	c Leng	th	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min</u>	<u>) (fee</u>	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
13.8	3 10	)0	0.0247	0.12		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
3.2	2 43	30	0.1006	2.22		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
47		~ ~	<b>T</b> ( )			

17.0 530 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-E: DR-E



# Summary for Link DP-1: Design Point #1

Inflow Ar	ea =	1.859 ac,	1.02% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 1	.22" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	2.91 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	= 0.189 af	
Primary	=	2.91 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	= 0.189 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-1: Design Point #1

# Summary for Link DP-2: Design Point #2

Inflow Ar	ea =	1.294 ac,	3.55% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 1	.22" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	1.95 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume:	= 0.131 af	
Primary	=	1.95 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume	= 0.131 af	, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-2: Design Point #2

# Summary for Link DP-3: Design Point #3

Inflow Are	ea =	3.317 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 1.	.22" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	5.92 cfs @	12.06 hrs, Volume=	= 0.338 af	
Primary	=	5.92 cfs @	12.06 hrs, Volume=	= 0.338 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-3: Design Point #3

# Summary for Link DP-4: Design Point #4

Inflow Are	ea =	4.324 ac,	1.27% Impervious, I	nflow Depth > 1.	22" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	6.82 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	0.439 af	
Primary	=	6.82 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	0.439 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-4: Design Point #4

# Summary for Link DP-5: Design Point #5

Inflow A	Area =	5.894 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 1.	.49" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	11.29 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume	= 0.731 af	
Primary	/ =	11.29 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume	= 0.731 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-5: Design Point #5
#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-A: DR-A

Runoff = 9.42 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.580 af, Depth> 3.74"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

_	Area (	(ac) C	CN	Desc	ription					
	1.	548	58	Mead	Veadow, non-grazed, HSG B					
	0.2	237	65	Woo	ds/grass d	omb., Fair,	HSG B			
	0.0	055	96	Grav	Gravel surface, HSG B					
_	0.0	019	98	Unco	nnected r	oofs, HSG	В			
	1.8	859	60	Weig	hted Aver	age				
	1.8	840		98.98	3% Pervio	us Area				
	0.0	019		1.02%	% Impervi	ous Area				
	0.0	019		100.0	00% Unco	nnected				
	Тс	Length	S	lope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
_	(min)	(feet)		(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
	12.9	100	0.0	)295	0.13		Sheet Flow,			
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"			
	3.2	277	0.0	0437	1.46		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
_							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps			
	16.1	377	То	tal						

Subcatchment DR-A: DR-A



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-B: DR-B

Runoff = 6.32 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.404 af, Depth> 3.74"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

_	Area (	ac)	CN	Adj	Descript	tion			
	1.	015	58		Meadow	, non-graze	ed, HSG B		
	0.2	233	65		Woods/	grass comb	o., Fair, HSG B		
	0.0	046	98		Unconne	ected roofs	, HSG B		
_	1.:	294	61	60	Weighte	d Average	UI Adjusted		
1.248				96.45%	Pervious A	rea			
0.046				3.55% lr	3.55% Impervious Area				
0.046				100.00%	100.00% Unconnected				
	Тс	Length	ר	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
_	(min)	(feet	)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
	14.0	100	) ()	.0240	0.12		Sheet Flow,		
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"		
	3.3	330	) (	.0573	1.68		Shallow Concentrated Flow,		
_							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps		
_	17.3	430	) T	otal					

#### Subcatchment DR-B: DR-B



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-C: DR-C

Runoff = 18.91 cfs @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 1.036 af, Depth> 3.75"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

	Area (	ac) C	N Desc	cription		
	0.9	948 6	65 Woo	ods/grass o	omb., Fair,	, HSG B
_	2.3	369 5	58 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B
	3.3	317 6	60 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	3.3	317	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.3	100	0.0670	0.18		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.3	347	0.0630	1.76		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	40.0		<b>—</b> / I			

12.6 447 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-C: DR-C



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-D: DR-D

Runoff = 22.03 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 1.349 af, Depth> 3.74"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

 Area (	(ac) C	N	Desc	ription					
3.2	221	58	Mead	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GB			
1.0	011	65	Woo	ds/grass d	omb., Fair,	, HSG B			
0.0	037	96	Grav	Gravel surface, HSG B					
 0.0	055	98	Unco	nnected r	oofs, HSG	В			
4.:	324	60	Weig	hted Aver	age				
4.2	269		98.73	3% Pervio	us Area				
0.0	055		1.279	% Impervi	ous Area				
0.0	055		100.0	00% Únco	nnected				
Тс	Length	S	lope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
 (min)	(feet)	(	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
9.4	100	0.0	0659	0.18		Sheet Flow,			
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"			
6.5	629	0.0	)528	1.61		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps			
		_							

15.9 729 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-D: DR-D



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-E: DR-E

Runoff = 32.69 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 2.071 af, Depth> 4.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

 Area (	(ac) C	N Dese	cription				
 4.	734 6	65 Woo	Noods/grass comb., Fair, HSG B				
 1.	160 t	58 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	G B		
5.	894 6	64 Weig	ghted Aver	age			
5.	894	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area			
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
 (min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
13.8	100	0.0247	0.12		Sheet Flow,		
					Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"		
3.2	430	0.1006	2.22		Shallow Concentrated Flow,		
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps		
4 - 0	=	<b>T</b> ( )					

17.0 530 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-E: DR-E



#### Summary for Link DP-1: Design Point #1

Inflow Are	a =	1.859 ac,	1.02% Imper	vious, Inf	low Depth >	3.74" f	or 100	-Year event
Inflow	=	9.42 cfs @	12.09 hrs, V	/olume=	0.580 a	af		
Primary	=	9.42 cfs @	12.09 hrs, V	/olume=	0.580 a	af, Atten=	= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



### Link DP-1: Design Point #1

#### Summary for Link DP-2: Design Point #2

Inflow A	rea =	1.294 ac,	3.55% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 3.	74" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	6.32 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	= 0.404 af	
Primary	=	6.32 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	= 0.404 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-2: Design Point #2

#### Summary for Link DP-3: Design Point #3

Inflow Area	a =	3.317 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 3	3.75" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	18.91 cfs @	12.05 hrs, Volume	= 1.036 a	f
Primary	=	18.91 cfs @	12.05 hrs, Volume	= 1.036 a	f, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-3: Design Point #3

#### Summary for Link DP-4: Design Point #4

Inflow Ar	ea =	4.324 ac,	1.27% Impervious, Ir	nflow Depth > 3.7	74" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	22.03 cfs @	12.09 hrs, Volume=	1.349 af	
Primary	=	22.03 cfs @	12.09 hrs, Volume=	1.349 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-4: Design Point #4

#### Summary for Link DP-5: Design Point #5

Inflow A	\rea =	5.894 ac,	0.00% Impervious, In	nflow Depth > 4.2	22" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	32.69 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	2.071 af	
Primary	/ =	32.69 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	2.071 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-5: Design Point #5



## Appendix R-2 Proposed Conditions Drainage Map And HydroCAD Report



DRAINAGE AREA: DR-2 AREA: 1.561 AC CN: 60 TC: 17.3 MIN - DESIGN POINT #3 (DP-3) CANSOLIRATED ÉDISC OE NÈW KORK, INC. L. 3193 R.31 \_TAX`JD#\16.08—1 DRAINAGE AREA: DR-3 AREA: 3.317 AC CN: 58 TC: 12.6 MIN - ChC HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP "B" PROPOSED TIME OF CONCENTRATION PATH, TYP. DRAINAGE AREA: DR-5 AREA: 5.894 AC CN: 59 TC: 17.0 MIN 

DESIGN POINT #2 (DP-2)

### LEGEND

L'ANDS N/F

– DESIGN POINT #5 (DP-5)

тожең

 T	T		I	T		I	I	
		→			 _	<b>»</b> –		

SOIL TYPE BOUNDARY DRAINAGE AREA BOUNDARY TIME OF CONCENTRATION FLOW PATH



2 Winners Circle, Suite 102 Albany, NY 12205 www.bergmannpc.com office: 518.862.0325

## HILLSIDE SOLAR LLC

227 GUARD HILL ROAD **BEDFORD CORNERS, NY 10549** 

# **OLD HILL FARM SOLAR FARM**

571 EAST MAIN STREET JEFFERSON VALLEY, NY 10535

Date Revised	Description
10/13/2021	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
12/01/2021	REVISED PER TREE COMMISSION COMMENT
12/28/2021	REVISED PER FIRE DEPARTMENT COMMENT
1/07/2022	REVISED PER SITE VISI WITH FIRE DEPARTMEN
1/13/2022	REVISED PER ENGINEERI DEPARTMENT COMMENT

## PRELIMINARY **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

Copyright © Bergmann Associates, Architects, Engineers, Landscape Architects & Surveyors, D.P.C

Sheet Name

Discipline Lead	
###	
Reviewer	
ECR	
Project Number	
14064.11	
	Discipline Lead ### Reviewer ECR Project Number 14064.11

**PROPOSED CONDITIONS** DRAINAGE MAP

1" = 60' SCALE BAR



#### Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
0.004	96	Gravel surface, HSG B (DR-1)
0.623	75	Limited Use Pervious Gravel (DR-2, DR-4, DR-5)
15.171	58	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B (DR-1, DR-2, DR-3, DR-4, DR-5)
0.891	65	Woods/grass comb., Fair, HSG B (DR-1, DR-4, DR-5)
16.689	59	TOTAL AREA

#### Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.000	HSG A	
16.066	HSG B	DR-1, DR-2, DR-3, DR-4, DR-5
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.623	Other	DR-2, DR-4, DR-5
16.689		TOTAL AREA

#### DR-PR Prepared by VRTHOR2012 HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 05288 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Ground Covers (all nodes)

HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatchment
 (acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Numbers
0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	Gravel surface	DR-1
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.623	0.623	Limited Use Pervious Gravel	DR-2,
							DR-4,
							DR-5
0.000	15.171	0.000	0.000	0.000	15.171	Meadow, non-grazed	DR-1,
							DR-2,
							DR-3,
							DR-4,
							DR-5
0.000	0.891	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.891	Woods/grass comb., Fair	DR-1,
							DR-4,
							DR-5
0.000	16.066	0.000	0.000	0.623	16.689	TOTAL AREA	

#### **DR-PR** Prepared by VRTHOR2012 HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 05288 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Line# Node In-Invert Out-Invert Length Slope Diam/Width Height Inside-Fill n Number (feet) (feet) (feet) (ft/ft) (inches) (inches) (inches) DP 1 455.00 454.00 62.0 0.0161 0.013 12.0 0.0 0.0

Pipe Listing (all nodes)

DR-PR	
Prepared by VRTHOR2012	
HvdroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 05288	© 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment DR-1: DR-1	Runoff Area=1.787 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>0.16" Flow Length=337' Tc=15.2 min CN=58 Runoff=0.16 cfs 0.024 af
Subcatchment DR-2: DR-2	Runoff Area=1.561 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>0.21" Flow Length=435' Tc=17.3 min CN=60 Runoff=0.22 cfs 0.027 af
Subcatchment DR-3: DR-3	Runoff Area=3.317 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>0.16" Flow Length=447' Tc=12.6 min CN=58 Runoff=0.34 cfs 0.045 af
Subcatchment DR-4: DR-4	Runoff Area=4.130 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>0.21" Flow Length=729' Tc=15.9 min CN=60 Runoff=0.60 cfs 0.072 af
Subcatchment DR-5: DR-5	Runoff Area=5.894 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>0.18" Flow Length=530' Tc=17.0 min CN=59 Runoff=0.65 cfs 0.091 af
Pond DP: Detention Pond	Peak Elev=455.26' Storage=205 cf Inflow=0.22 cfs 0.027 af Outflow=0.09 cfs 0.025 af
Link DP-1: Design Point #1	Inflow=0.16 cfs 0.024 af Primary=0.16 cfs 0.024 af
Link DP-2: Design Point #2	Inflow=0.09 cfs 0.025 af Primary=0.09 cfs 0.025 af
Link DP-3: Design Point #3	Inflow=0.34 cfs 0.045 af Primary=0.34 cfs 0.045 af
Link DP-4: Design Point #4	Inflow=0.60 cfs 0.072 af Primary=0.60 cfs 0.072 af
Link DP-5: Design Point #5	Inflow=0.65 cfs 0.091 af Primary=0.65 cfs 0.091 af

Total Runoff Area = 16.689 ac Runoff Volume = 0.259 af Average Runoff Depth = 0.19" 100.00% Pervious = 16.689 ac 0.00% Impervious = 0.000 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-1: DR-1

Runoff = 0.16 cfs @ 12.16 hrs, Volume= 0.024 af, Depth> 0.16"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

Are	ea (ac)	CN	Desc	cription				
	1.716	58	3 Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	GB		
	0.067	65	5 Woo	ds/grass d	omb., Fair,	, HSG B		
	0.004	96	6 Grav	el surface	, HSG B			
	1.787 58 Weighted Average							
	1.787		100.	00% Pervi	ous Area			
Т	c Leng	jth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
(mir	n) (fee	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
12.	5 1	00	0.0319	0.13		Sheet Flow,		
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"		
2.	7 2	37	0.0437	1.46		Shallow Concentrated Flow,		
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps		
15	0 0	70	Tatal					

15.2 337 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-1: DR-1



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-2: DR-2

Runoff = 0.22 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.027 af, Depth> 0.21"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
	1.	405 5	58 Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	GB
*	0.	156 7	75 Limi <sup>-</sup>	ted Use Pe	ervious Gra	vel
	1.	561 6	60 Weig	ghted Avei	age	
	1.561 100.00% Pervious Area					
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	14.0	100	0.0240	0.12		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.3	335	0.0573	1.68		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	470	105	<b>T</b> ( )			

17.3 435 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-2: DR-2



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-3: DR-3

Runoff = 0.34 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.045 af, Depth> 0.16"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Desc	cription				
_	3.317 58 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B							
3.317 100.00% Pervious Area								
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description		
	9.3	100	0.0670	0.18		Sheet Flow,		
	3.3	347	0.0630	1.76		Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87" <b>Shallow Concentrated Flow,</b> Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps		
	12.6	447	Total					





#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-4: DR-4

Runoff = 0.60 cfs @ 12.15 hrs, Volume= 0.072 af, Depth> 0.21"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

	Area (	(ac) C	N De	scription		
	3.	399	58 Me	adow, non-	grazed, HS	GB
	0.3	340	65 Wo	ods/grass o	comb., Fair,	, HSG B
*	0.3	391 <sup>·</sup>	75 Lim	nited Use Pe	ervious Gra	vel
	4.	130	50 We	ighted Ave	rage	
	4.130 100.00% Pervious Area					
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.4	100	0.0659	0.18		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	6.5	629	0.0528	s 1.61		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	450	700	Tatal			

15.9 729 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-4: DR-4



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-5: DR-5

Runoff = 0.65 cfs @ 12.17 hrs, Volume= 0.091 af, Depth> 0.18"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-Year Rainfall=2.76"

	Area (	(ac) C	N D	escription		
	0.4	484	65 W	oods/grass	comb., Fair	, HSG B
	5.3	334	58 M	eadow, non-	grazed, HS	GB
*	0.	076	75 Li	mited Use F	ervious Gra	ivel
	5.	894	59 W	eighted Ave	erage	
	5.894 100.00% Pervious Area					
	Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/	ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	13.8	100	0.024	0.12		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.2	430	0.100	06 2.22		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	170	500	Tatal			

17.0 530 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-5: DR-5



#### Summary for Pond DP: Detention Pond

Inflow Are	ea =	1.561 ac,	0.00% Impervious, In	nflow Depth > 0.21"	for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	0.22 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume=	0.027 af	
Outflow	=	0.09 cfs @	12.65 hrs, Volume=	0.025 af, Atte	n= 60%, Lag= 28.9 min
Primary	=	0.09 cfs @	12.65 hrs, Volume=	0.025 af	-

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 455.26' @ 12.65 hrs Surf.Area= 689 sf Storage= 205 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 46.8 min calculated for 0.025 af (93% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 26.4 min (903.1 - 876.7)

Volume	Invert	Avail.S	torage	e Storage Description					
#1	455.00'	3,	884 cf	Custom Stage Data	Custom Stage Data (Irregular) Listed below				
Elevation Surf.Area Perin		Perim.	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area				
(166	et)	(sq-tt)	(teet)	(CUDIC-TEET)	(CUDIC-TEET)	(sq-ft)			
455.0	00	570	143.0	0	0	570			
456.0	00	1,028	167.0	788	788	1,182			
457.0	00	1,542	181.0	1,276	2,064	1,607			
458.0	00	2,113	200.0	1,820	3,884	2,214			
Device	Routing	Inve	t Out	et Devices					
#1	Primary	455.00	)' <b>12.0</b>	Round Culvert					
			L= 6	2.0' CPP, projecting	g, no headwall, Ke	≥= 0.900			
			Inlet	/ Outlet Invert= 455.	00'/454.00' S=0	0.0161 '/' Cc= 0.900			
#2	Device 1	155 00	וו= U ז' <b>3 ח"</b>	Vort Orifice/Grate	C = 0.600	Flow Area= 0.79 Si			
#Z #3		455.00	) <b>3.0</b> ) <b>34 0</b>	" $W \times 4.0$ " H Vert Orifice/Grate C= 0.600					
#J #A	Device 1	457.00	) <u>24.0</u> ) 24.0	" W x 5 0" H Vort. O	rifice/Grate $C = 0$	600			
# <del>-</del> #5	Device	457.80	) <u>2</u> 4.0	long x 5 0' breadth	Broad-Crested R	ectangular Weir			
#5	Timary	457.00	, 1 <b>3.0</b> Цор	Head (fact) $0.20$ $0.40$ $0.60$ $0.90$ $1.00$ $1.20$ $1.40$ $1.60$ $1.90$ $2.00$					
			2 50		50 5 00 5 50	1.40 1.00 1.00 2.00			
			2.00	5.00 5.50 4.00 4.3 f (English) 2.24 2.5	$30 \ 3.00 \ 3.30$				
				1. (ENYIISH) 2.34 2.3	0 2.70 2.00 2.00	2.00 2.03 2.03 2.03 2.03			
			2.07	2.00 2.00 2.70 2.	14 2.19 2.00				
Primary	rimary OutFlow Max=0.09 cfs @ 12.65 hrs HW=455.26' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)								

-1=Culvert (Passes 0.09 cfs of 0.22 cfs potential flow)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Orifice Controls 0.09 cfs @ 1.77 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

**4=Orifice/Grate** (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



**Pond DP: Detention Pond** 

#### Summary for Link DP-1: Design Point #1

Inflow Are	a =	1.787 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth $> 0$	.16" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	0.16 cfs @	12.16 hrs, Volume	= 0.024 af	
Primary	=	0.16 cfs @	12.16 hrs, Volume	= 0.024 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-1: Design Point #1

#### Summary for Link DP-2: Design Point #2

Inflow Are	ea =	1.561 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 0.	.19" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	0.09 cfs @	12.65 hrs, Volume	= 0.025 af	
Primary	=	0.09 cfs @	12.65 hrs, Volume	= 0.025 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-2: Design Point #2

#### Summary for Link DP-3: Design Point #3

Inflow Are	ea =	3.317 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth $> 0$	.16" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	0.34 cfs @	12.12 hrs, Volume	= 0.045 af	
Primary	=	0.34 cfs @	12.12 hrs, Volume	= 0.045 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-3: Design Point #3

### Summary for Link DP-4: Design Point #4

Inflow Are	a =	4.130 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 0	0.21" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	0.60 cfs @	12.15 hrs, Volume	= 0.072 af	
Primary	=	0.60 cfs @	12.15 hrs, Volume	= 0.072 at	f, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-4: Design Point #4

#### Summary for Link DP-5: Design Point #5

Inflow A	rea =	5.894 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 0	.18" for 1-Year event
Inflow	=	0.65 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume	= 0.091 af	
Primary	′ =	0.65 cfs @	12.17 hrs, Volume	= 0.091 af,	, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-5: Design Point #5

DR-PR	7
Prepared by VRTHOR2012	
HvdroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 05288	© 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment DR-1: DR-1	Runoff Area=1.787 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.09" Flow Length=337' Tc=15.2 min CN=58 Runoff=2.53 cfs 0.163 af
Subcatchment DR-2: DR-2	Runoff Area=1.561 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.22" Flow Length=435' Tc=17.3 min CN=60 Runoff=2.35 cfs 0.159 af
Subcatchment DR-3: DR-3	Runoff Area=3.317 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.09" Flow Length=447' Tc=12.6 min CN=58 Runoff=5.19 cfs 0.302 af
Subcatchment DR-4: DR-4	Runoff Area=4.130 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.22" Flow Length=729' Tc=15.9 min CN=60 Runoff=6.52 cfs 0.420 af
Subcatchment DR-5: DR-5	Runoff Area=5.894 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.15" Flow Length=530' Tc=17.0 min CN=59 Runoff=8.39 cfs 0.567 af
Pond DP: Detention Pond	Peak Elev=456.41' Storage=1,316 cf Inflow=2.35 cfs 0.159 af Outflow=1.83 cfs 0.155 af
Link DP-1: Design Point #1	Inflow=2.53 cfs 0.163 af Primary=2.53 cfs 0.163 af
Link DP-2: Design Point #2	Inflow=1.83 cfs 0.155 af Primary=1.83 cfs 0.155 af
Link DP-3: Design Point #3	Inflow=5.19 cfs 0.302 af Primary=5.19 cfs 0.302 af
Link DP-4: Design Point #4	Inflow=6.52 cfs 0.420 af Primary=6.52 cfs 0.420 af
Link DP-5: Design Point #5	Inflow=8.39 cfs 0.567 af Primary=8.39 cfs 0.567 af

Total Runoff Area = 16.689 ac Runoff Volume = 1.611 af Average Runoff Depth = 1.16" 100.00% Pervious = 16.689 ac 0.00% Impervious = 0.000 ac

#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-1: DR-1

Runoff = 2.53 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 0.163 af, Depth> 1.09"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

Area (	(ac) C	N Des	cription					
1.	716 :	58 Mea	dow, non-	grazed, HS	GB			
0.0	067 (	65 Woo	ods/grass d	omb., Fair,	, HSG B			
0.0	004 9	96 Grav	vel surface	, HSG B				
1.	1.787 58 Weighted Average							
1.	787	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area				
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
12.5	100	0.0319	0.13		Sheet Flow,			
					Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"			
2.7	237	0.0437	1.46		Shallow Concentrated Flow,			
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps			
15.2	227	Total						

15.2 337 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-1: DR-1



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-2: DR-2

Runoff = 2.35 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.159 af, Depth> 1.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription			
	1.	405 క	58 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GB	
*	0.	156	75 Limi	ted Use Pe	ervious Gra	vel	
	1.	561 (	50 Wei	ghted Aver	age		
	1.	561	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area		
	-		0		<b>•</b> •		
	IC	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cts)		
	14.0	100	0.0240	0.12		Sheet Flow,	
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"	
	3.3	335	0.0573	1.68		Shallow Concentrated Flow,	
_						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps	
	17.3	435	Total				

#### Subcatchment DR-2: DR-2



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-3: DR-3

Runoff = 5.19 cfs @ 12.06 hrs, Volume= 0.302 af, Depth> 1.09"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

 Area (	(ac) Cl	N Desc	cription					
3.317 58 Meadow, non-grazed, HSG B								
3.317 100.00% Pervious Area								
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
9.3	100	0.0670	0.18		Sheet Flow,			
3.3	347	0.0630	1.76		Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87" <b>Shallow Concentrated Flow,</b> Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps			
12.6	447	Total						





#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-4: DR-4

Runoff = 6.52 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.420 af, Depth> 1.22"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

	Area (	(ac) C	N De	scription		
	3.3	399	58 Me	adow, non-	grazed, HS	GB
	0.3	340	65 Wo	ods/grass o	comb., Fair,	, HSG B
*	0.3	391	75 Lim	nited Use Po	ervious Gra	vel
	4.	130	60 We	ighted Ave	rage	
	4.130 100.00% Pervious Area					
	Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.4	100	0.0659	0.18		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	6.5	629	0.0528	s 1.61		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	150	700	Total			

15.9 729 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-4: DR-4



#### Summary for Subcatchment DR-5: DR-5

Runoff = 8.39 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 0.567 af, Depth> 1.15"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-Year Rainfall=5.12"

	Area (	(ac) C	N	Desc	ription		
	0.484 65 Woods/grass comb., Fair, F						HSG B
5.334 58 Mea				Mead	leadow, non-grazed, HSG B		
*	0.076 75 Limited Use Pervious Gravel						
	5.894 59 Weighted Average						
	5.894 100.00% Pervious A				0% Pervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	Sl	ope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(f	ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	13.8	100	0.0	247	0.12		Sheet Flow,
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.2	430	0.1	006	2.22		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	170	E00	Tat				

17.0 530 Total

#### Subcatchment DR-5: DR-5


## Summary for Pond DP: Detention Pond

Inflow Are	ea =	1.561 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 1	.22" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	2.35 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume=	= 0.159 af	
Outflow	=	1.83 cfs @	12.22 hrs, Volume=	= 0.155 af	, Atten= 22%, Lag= 6.2 min
Primary	=	1.83 cfs @	12.22 hrs, Volume=	= 0.155 af	

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 456.41' @ 12.22 hrs Surf.Area= 1,241 sf Storage= 1,316 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 35.3 min calculated for 0.155 af (98% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 26.6 min (851.7 - 825.1)

Volume	Invert	Avail.S	torage	e Storage Description		
#1	455.00'	3	884 cf	Custom Stage Data (Irregular) Listed below		
Elevatio	on Su	rf.Area	Perim.	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area
(tee	et)	(sq-tt)	(teet)	(CUDIC-TEET)	(CUDIC-TEET)	(sq-ft)
455.0	00	570	143.0	0	0	570
456.0	00	1,028	167.0	788	788	1,182
457.0	)0	1,542	181.0	1,276	2,064	1,607
458.0	00	2,113	200.0	1,820	3,884	2,214
Device	Routing	Inve	rt Outle	et Devices		
#1	Primary	455.00	)' <b>12.0</b>	Round Culvert		
	-		L= 6	2.0' CPP, projectin	g, no headwall, Ke	= 0.900
			Inlet	/ Outlet Invert= 455	.00' / 454.00' S= 0	.0161 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0	.013 Corrugated PE	, smooth interior,	Flow Area= 0.79 sf
#2	Device 1	455.00	)' 3.0"	Vert. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.600	
#3	Device 1	456.00	)' 24.0	" W x 4.0" H Vert. O	rifice/Grate C= 0	.600
#4	Device 1	457.00	)' 24.0	" W x 5.0" H Vert. O	rifice/Grate C= 0	.600
#5	Primary	457.80	)' <b>15.0</b> '	long x 5.0' breadtl	n Broad-Crested R	ectangular Weir
	,,		Hea	d (feet) 0.20 0.40 (	0.60 0.80 1.00 1.2	20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50	3.00 3.50 4.00 4	50 5.00 5.50	
			Coe	f (English) 234 25	50 2 70 2 68 2 68	2 66 2 65 2 65 2 65 2 65
			2 67	266 268 270 2	74 2 79 2 88	2100 2100 2100 2100 2100
			2.07	2.00 2.00 2.10 2.	2 2	
Primary	OutFlow Ma	ax=1.81 cfs	s @ 12.2	22 hrs HW=456.41'	TW=0.00' (Dynar	nic Tailwater)

-1=Culvert (Passes 1.81 cfs of 2.84 cfs potential flow)

-2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.27 cfs @ 5.45 fps)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 1.54 cfs @ 2.31 fps)

**4=Orifice/Grate** (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Pond DP: Detention Pond



# Summary for Link DP-1: Design Point #1

Inflow Are	ea =	1.787 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 1.	.09" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	2.53 cfs @	12.09 hrs, Volume	= 0.163 af	
Primary	=	2.53 cfs @	12.09 hrs, Volume	= 0.163 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



Link DP-1: Design Point #1

# Summary for Link DP-2: Design Point #2

Inflow A	rea =	:	1.561 ac,	0.00% Impe	ervious,	Inflow	Depth >	1.1	19" for	10	-Year ev	ent
Inflow	=		1.83 cfs @	12.22 hrs,	Volume	=	0.155	af				
Primary	/ =		1.83 cfs @	12.22 hrs,	Volume	=	0.155	af,	Atten= (	)%,	Lag= 0.	0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-2: Design Point #2

# Summary for Link DP-3: Design Point #3

Inflow Are	a =	3.317 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 1.	.09" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	5.19 cfs @	12.06 hrs, Volume	= 0.302 af	
Primary	=	5.19 cfs @	12.06 hrs, Volume=	= 0.302 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-3: Design Point #3

# Summary for Link DP-4: Design Point #4

Inflow Ar	ea =	4.130 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 1.	.22" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	6.52 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume	= 0.420 af	
Primary	=	6.52 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume	= 0.420 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-4: Design Point #4

# Summary for Link DP-5: Design Point #5

Inflow Are	ea =	5.894 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 1.	15" for 10-Year event
Inflow	=	8.39 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume=	= 0.567 af	
Primary	=	8.39 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume=	= 0.567 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-5: Design Point #5

DR-PR	7
Prepared by VRTHOR2012	
HvdroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 05288 © 2018 HvdroCAD Software Solutions	s LL(

### Time span=5.00-20.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 301 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment DR-1: DR-1	Runoff Area=1.787 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.51" Flow Length=337' Tc=15.2 min CN=58 Runoff=8.71 cfs 0.523 af
Subcatchment DR-2: DR-2	Runoff Area=1.561 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.74" Flow Length=435' Tc=17.3 min CN=60 Runoff=7.63 cfs 0.487 af
Subcatchment DR-3: DR-3	Runoff Area=3.317 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.51" Flow Length=447' Tc=12.6 min CN=58 Runoff=17.73 cfs 0.971 af
Subcatchment DR-4: DR-4	Runoff Area=4.130 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.74" Flow Length=729' Tc=15.9 min CN=60 Runoff=21.04 cfs 1.289 af
Subcatchment DR-5: DR-5	Runoff Area=5.894 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.63" Flow Length=530' Tc=17.0 min CN=59 Runoff=28.12 cfs 1.781 af
Pond DP: Detention Pond	Peak Elev=457.93' Storage=3,750 cf Inflow=7.63 cfs 0.487 af Outflow=6.19 cfs 0.474 af
Link DP-1: Design Point #1	Inflow=8.71 cfs 0.523 af Primary=8.71 cfs 0.523 af
Link DP-2: Design Point #2	Inflow=6.19 cfs 0.474 af Primary=6.19 cfs 0.474 af
Link DP-3: Design Point #3	Inflow=17.73 cfs 0.971 af Primary=17.73 cfs 0.971 af
Link DP-4: Design Point #4	Inflow=21.04 cfs 1.289 af Primary=21.04 cfs 1.289 af
Link DP-5: Design Point #5	Inflow=28.12 cfs 1.781 af Primary=28.12 cfs 1.781 af

Total Runoff Area = 16.689 ac Runoff Volume = 5.051 af Average Runoff Depth = 3.63" 100.00% Pervious = 16.689 ac 0.00% Impervious = 0.000 ac

## Summary for Subcatchment DR-1: DR-1

Runoff = 8.71 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 0.523 af, Depth> 3.51"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

	Area (	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
	1.1	716 :	58 Mea	adow, non-	grazed, HS	GB
	0.0	067 (	65 Wo	ods/grass o	comb., Fair,	, HSG B
	0.0	004 9	96 Gra	vel surface	, HSG B	
	1.1	787 :	58 We	ghted Ave	rage	
	1.7	787	100	.00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	12.5	100	0.0319	0.13		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	2.7	237	0.0437	1.46		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	15 0	227	Total			

15.2 337 Total

## Subcatchment DR-1: DR-1



## Summary for Subcatchment DR-2: DR-2

Runoff = 7.63 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 0.487 af, Depth> 3.74"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription			
	1.	405	58 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GB	
*	0.	156	75 Limi	ted Use Pe	ervious Gra	vel	
	1.	561	60 Wei	ghted Aver	age		
	1.	561	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area		
	Tc (min)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description	
	14.0	100	0.0240	0.12	(010)	Sheet Flow	
	14.0	100	0.0240	0.12		Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"	
	3.3	335	0.0573	1.68		Shallow Concentrated Flow,	
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps	
	17.3	435	Total				

### Subcatchment DR-2: DR-2



### Hydrograph

## Summary for Subcatchment DR-3: DR-3

Runoff = 17.73 cfs @ 12.05 hrs, Volume= 0.971 af, Depth> 3.51"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Desc	cription			
_	3.	317 5	8 Mea	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GB	
	3.	317	100.	00% Pervi	ous Area		
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
	9.3	100	0.0670	0.18		Sheet Flow,	
	3.3	347	0.0630	1.76		Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87" <b>Shallow Concentrated Flow,</b> Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps	
	12.6	447	Total				





## Summary for Subcatchment DR-4: DR-4

Runoff = 21.04 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= 1.289 af, Depth> 3.74"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

	Area (	(ac) (	CN	Desc	ription		
	3.	399	58	Mead	dow, non-g	grazed, HS	GB
	0.3	340	65	Wood	ds/grass d	omb., Fair,	HSG B
*	0.3	391	75	Limit	ed Ŭse Pe	ervious Gra	vel
	4.	130	60	Weig	hted Aver	age	
	4.	130		100.0	0% Pervi	ous Area	
	Тс	Length	S	lope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(	[ft/ft]	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.4	100	0.0	)659	0.18		Sheet Flow,
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	6.5	629	0.0	)528	1.61		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	15 0	720	To	tol			

15.9 729 Total

### Subcatchment DR-4: DR-4



## Summary for Subcatchment DR-5: DR-5

Runoff = 28.12 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 1.781 af, Depth> 3.63"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=9.00"

	Area (	(ac) C	N De	escription		
	0.4	484	65 W	oods/grass	comb., Fair	, HSG B
	5.3	334	58 Me	eadow, non-	grazed, HS	GB
*	0.0	076	75 Lir	nited Use P	ervious Gra	ivel
	5.8	894	59 W	eighted Ave	rage	
	5.8	894	10	0.00% Perv	ious Area	
	Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	13.8	100	0.024	7 0.12		Sheet Flow,
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 2.87"
	3.2	430	0.100	6 2.22		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
_						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	170	E20	Total			

17.0 530 Total

## Subcatchment DR-5: DR-5



## Summary for Pond DP: Detention Pond

Inflow Area	a =	1.561 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth >	3.74" for	r 100-Year event
Inflow	=	7.63 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume	= 0.487	af	
Outflow	=	6.19 cfs @	12.21 hrs, Volume	= 0.474	af, Atten=	19%, Lag= 6.4 min
Primary	=	6.19 cfs @	12.21 hrs, Volume	= 0.474	af	-

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 457.93' @ 12.21 hrs Surf.Area= 2,071 sf Storage= 3,750 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 24.0 min calculated for 0.472 af (97% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 14.0 min (815.5 - 801.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.St	torage	Storage Description	1					
#1	455.00'	3,	884 cf	Custom Stage Data (Irregular) Listed below						
Elevatio (fee	on Su et)	rf.Area (sq-ft)	Perim. (feet)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)				
455.0 456.0 457.0 458.0	)0 )0 )0 )0	570 1,028 1,542 2,113	143.0 167.0 181.0 200.0	0 788 1,276 1,820	0 788 2,064 3,884	570 1,182 1,607 2,214				
Device	Routing	Inver	t Outle	et Devices			_			
#1	#1 Primary 455.00' <b>12.0" Round Culvert</b> L= 62.0' CPP, projecting, no headwall, Ke= 0.900 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 455.00' / 454.00' S= 0.0161 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.013 Corrugated PE smooth interior. Flow Area= 0.79 sf									
#2 #3 #4 #5	Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Primary	455.00 456.00 457.00 457.80	<ul> <li>3.0"</li> <li>24.0'</li> <li>24.0'</li> <li>24.0'</li> <li>15.0'</li> <li>Head</li> <li>2.50</li> <li>Coel</li> <li>2.67</li> </ul>	et / Outlet Invert= 455.00' / 454.00' S= 0.0161 '/' Cc= 0.900 : 0.013 Corrugated PE, smooth interior, Flow Area= 0.79 sf <b>J" Vert. Orifice/Grate</b> C= 0.600 <b>.0" W x 4.0" H Vert. Orifice/Grate</b> C= 0.600 <b>.0" W x 5.0" H Vert. Orifice/Grate</b> C= 0.600 <b>.0' long x 5.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir</b> ead (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 50 3.00 3.50 4.00 4.50 5.00 5.50 Def. (English) 2.34 2.50 2.70 2.68 2.68 2.66 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 67 2.66 2.68 2.70 2.74 2.79 2.88						
Primary	rimary OutFlow Max=6.02 cfs @ 12.21 hrs HW=457.92' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)									

-1=Culvert (Inlet Controls 4.64 cfs @ 5.91 fps)

**2=Orifice/Grate** (Passes < 0.39 cfs potential flow)

**3=Orifice/Grate** (Passes < 4.24 cfs potential flow)

**4=Orifice/Grate** (Passes < 3.36 cfs potential flow)

-5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 1.38 cfs @ 0.79 fps)

Hydrograph InflowPrimary 7.63 cfs Inflow Area=1.561 ac 8-Peak Elev=457.93' 7. 6.19 cfs Storage=3,750 cf 6-5-Flow (cfs) 4 3-2-1 0-17 5 6 Ż 8 ģ 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19 20 Time (hours)

# **Pond DP: Detention Pond**

# Summary for Link DP-1: Design Point #1

Inflow Are	a =	1.787 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 3.	51" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	8.71 cfs @	12.08 hrs, Volume	= 0.523 af	
Primary	=	8.71 cfs @	12.08 hrs, Volume	= 0.523 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-1: Design Point #1

# Summary for Link DP-2: Design Point #2

Inflow Are	a =	1.561 ac,	0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 3	.64" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	6.19 cfs @	12.21 hrs, Volume	= 0.474 af	
Primary	=	6.19 cfs @	12.21 hrs, Volume	= 0.474 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-2: Design Point #2

# Summary for Link DP-3: Design Point #3

Inflow Ar	ea =	3.317 ac,	0.00% Impervious, I	nflow Depth > 3.	51" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	17.73 cfs @	12.05 hrs, Volume=	0.971 af	
Primary	=	17.73 cfs @	12.05 hrs, Volume=	0.971 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-3: Design Point #3

# Summary for Link DP-4: Design Point #4

Inflow Are	ea =	4.130 ac,	0.00% Impervious, I	nflow Depth > 3."	74" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	21.04 cfs @	12.09 hrs, Volume=	1.289 af	
Primary	=	21.04 cfs @	12.09 hrs, Volume=	1.289 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

Hydrograph Inflow
Primary 21.04 cfs 21.04 cfs Inflow Area=4.130 ac 22-20 18-16 14 Flow (cfs) 12 10-8-6 4 2-0-7 6 8 ģ 16 17 5 10 11 12 13 14 15 18 19 20 Time (hours)

# Link DP-4: Design Point #4

# Summary for Link DP-5: Design Point #5

Inflow Are	a =	5.894 ac,	0.00% Impervious, Int	flow Depth > 3.63"	for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	28.12 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	1.781 af	
Primary	=	28.12 cfs @	12.10 hrs, Volume=	1.781 af, At	ten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



# Link DP-5: Design Point #5



# Appendix R-3 NRCS Soil Report



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

# Custom Soil Resource Report for Westchester County, New York



# Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
Westchester County, New York	13
ChB—Charlton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	13
ChC—Charlton fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	14
LcB—Leicester loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, stony	16
Sh—Sun Ioam	18
Uf—Urban land	19
References	21

# **How Soil Surveys Are Made**

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

# Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

### Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



	MAP L	EGEND	)	MAP INFORMATION		
Area of Int	terest (AOI)	000	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at		
	Area of Interest (AOI)		Stony Spot	1:12,000.		
Soils	Soil Map Unit Polygons	0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.		
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	Ŷ	Wet Spot			
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	Δ	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil		
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of		
Special	Blowout	Water Fea	atures	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.		
	Borrow Pit	$\sim$	Streams and Canals			
	Clav Spot	Transport	tation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map		
~	Closed Depression	•••	Rails	measurements.		
ž	Gravel Pit	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service		
ສ <sup>າ</sup> ກ •	Gravelly Spot	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate Svstem: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)		
		$\sim$	Major Roads	·····		
9 4		Backgrou	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts		
	Lava I low		Ind	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the		
			Aenai Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.		
22						
0				This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.		
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.		
$\sim$	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: Westchester County, New York		
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 16, Jun 11, 2020		
0 0 0 0	Sandy Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales		
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.		
$\diamond$	Sinkhole			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Dec 31, 2009—Oct 5,		
≽	Slide or Slip			2016		
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.		

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
ChB	Charlton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	9.5	49.1%
ChC	Charlton fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	7.9	40.8%
LcB	Leicester loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, stony	1.3	6.8%
Sh	Sun loam	0.6	3.2%
Uf	Urban land	0.0	0.1%
Totals for Area of Interest		19.4	100.0%

# Map Unit Legend

# **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

# Westchester County, New York

## ChB—Charlton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 2wh0n Elevation: 0 to 1,440 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

### **Map Unit Composition**

*Charlton and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

### **Description of Charlton**

### Setting

Landform: Hills, ground moraines, ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope, nose slope Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite, gneiss, and/or schist

### **Typical profile**

*Ap - 0 to 7 inches:* fine sandy loam *Bw - 7 to 22 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *C - 22 to 65 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam

### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 6.9 inches)

### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F144AY034CT - Well Drained Till Uplands Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Sutton

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Landform: Ground moraines, hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

### Paxton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drumlins, hills, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, summit, shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Leicester

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Drainageways, depressions Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Chatfield

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Hills, ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope, nose slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Hydric soil rating: No

## ChC—Charlton fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2wh0q Elevation: 0 to 1,440 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

### **Map Unit Composition**

Charlton and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

### **Description of Charlton**

### Setting

Landform: Ground moraines, ridges, hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite, gneiss, and/or schist

### **Typical profile**

*Ap - 0 to 7 inches:* fine sandy loam *Bw - 7 to 22 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *C - 22 to 65 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam

### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 6.9 inches)

### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F144AY034CT - Well Drained Till Uplands Hydric soil rating: No

### **Minor Components**

### Paxton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drumlins, hills, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

### Sutton, fine sandy loam

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, ridges, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No
## Chatfield

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Hills, ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope, nose slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Hydric soil rating: No

## Canton

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Hills, ground moraines, ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, backslope, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, nose slope, crest Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

# LcB—Leicester loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, stony

## **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: bd8w Elevation: 0 to 1,120 feet Mean annual precipitation: 46 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 115 to 215 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

## Map Unit Composition

Leicester, somewhat poorly drained, and similar soils: 50 percent Leicester, poorly drained, and similar soils: 35 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

## **Description of Leicester, Somewhat Poorly Drained**

## Setting

Landform: Hills, ridges, till plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Loamy acid till derived mostly from schist and gneiss

## **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: loam H2 - 8 to 26 inches: sandy loam C - 26 to 60 inches: sandy loam

## **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 0.1 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 6 to 18 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.7 inches)

## Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s Hydrologic Soil Group: A/D Ecological site: F144AY009CT - Wet Till Depressions Hydric soil rating: No

## **Description of Leicester, Poorly Drained**

#### Setting

Landform: Ridges, till plains, hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Loamy acid till derived mostly from schist and gneiss

## **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: loam H2 - 8 to 26 inches: sandy loam C - 26 to 60 inches: sandy loam

## **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 0.1 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 12 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.7 inches)

## Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s Hydrologic Soil Group: A/D Ecological site: F144AY009CT - Wet Till Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### **Minor Components**

#### Sun

Percent of map unit: 7 percent

Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Sutton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Leicester, very stony

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# Sh—Sun Ioam

## Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: bd9q Elevation: 600 to 1,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 46 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 115 to 215 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

#### Map Unit Composition

*Sun and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

## **Description of Sun**

## Setting

Landform: Depressions Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Loamy till derived primarily from limestone and sandstone, with a component of schist, shale, or granitic rocks in some areas

## **Typical profile**

- H1 0 to 9 inches: loam
- H2 9 to 27 inches: loam
- H3 27 to 60 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam

## **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Very poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 inches
Frequency of flooding: None

*Frequency of ponding:* Frequent *Calcium carbonate, maximum content:* 15 percent *Available water capacity:* Moderate (about 6.7 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4w Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D Ecological site: F144AY039NY - Semi-Rich Wet Till Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### **Minor Components**

#### Leicester

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Ridgebury

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Palms

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Swamps, marshes Hydric soil rating: Yes

## Sun, stony

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

# Uf—Urban land

## **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: bd7j Elevation: 50 to 2,400 feet Mean annual precipitation: 46 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 115 to 215 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

## Map Unit Composition

*Urban land:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

## **Minor Components**

## Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

## Riverhead

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: No

## Chatfield

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: No

## Udorthents, wet substratum

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# Unadilla

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: No

## Sutton

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_052290.pdf